arXiv:1411.7851v1 [math.DG] 28 Nov 2014

HEAT KERNEL EXPANSIONS, AMBIENT METRICS AND CONFORMAL INVARIANTS

ANDREAS JUHL

Abstract. The conformal powers of the Laplacian of a Riemannian metric which are known as the GJMS-operators admit a combinatorial description in terms of the Taylor coefficients of a natural second-order one-parameter family $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ of self-adjoint elliptic differential operators. $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ is a non-Laplace-type perturbation of the conformal Laplacian $P_2(g) = \mathcal{H}(0;g)$. It is defined in terms of the metric g and covariant derivatives of the curvature of g. We study the heat kernel coefficients $a_{2k}(r;g)$ of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ on closed manifolds. We prove general structural results for the heat kernel coefficients $a_{2k}(r;g)$ and derive explicit formulas for $a_0(r)$ and $a_2(r)$ in terms of renormalized volume coefficients. The Taylor coefficients of $a_{2k}(r;g)$ (as functions of r) interpolate between the renormalized volume coefficients of a metric g ($k = 0$) and the heat kernel coefficients of the conformal Laplacian of g ($r = 0$). Although $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ is not conformally covariant, there is a beautiful formula for the conformal variation of the trace of its heat kernel. Its proof rests on the combinatorial relations between Taylor coefficients of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ and GJMS-operators. As a consequence, we give a heat equation proof of the conformal transformation law of the integrated renormalized volume coefficients. By refining these arguments, we also give a heat equation proof of the conformal transformation law of the renormalized volume coefficients itself. The Taylor coefficients of $a_2(r)$ define a sequence of higher-order Riemannian curvature functionals with extremal properties at Einstein metrics which are analogous to those of integrated renormalized volume coefficients. Among the various additional results the reader finds a Polyakov-type formula for the renormalized volume of a Poincaré-Einstein metric in terms of Q-curvature of its conformal infinity and additional holographic terms.

The present study of relations between spectral theoretic heat kernel coefficients and geometric quantities like renormalized volume coefficients is stimulated by the holographic perspective of the AdS/CFT-duality (proposing relations between functional determinants and renormalized volumes).

December 1, 2014

Contents

1. Introduction and formulation of the main results 2
2. GJMS-operators and the holographic Laplacian 18
3. Conformal variation 21
4. Asymptotic expansions 26
5. The double-commutator term 29
6. Proof of Theorem 1.3 33

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35K08 53A30 58J35 58J50; Secondary 35Q76 53C25 58J50.

[0]The work was supported by the University of Uppsala and the Collaborative Research Centre 647 "Space-Time-Matter" of the German Research Foundation at Humboldt-University Berlin.

7. The Gaussian integral 34
8. A conformal primitive of the Gaussian integral 41
9. Proof of Theorem 1.4 44
10. Proof of Theorem 1.8 44
11. Proof of Theorem 1.7 49
12. Extremal properties of some curvature functionals 52
13. Further results, comments and open problems 60
13.1. Global conformal invariants 60
13.2. Spectral zeta functions 61
13.3. A heat kernel proof of the variational formula of $v(r)$ 65
13.4. Hessians 67
13.5. Spectral geometry 70
13.6. Relation to Q-curvature 71
13.7. First-order perturbation 72
13.8. Quantization and Einstein condition 72
13.9. Non-Laplace-type operators 72
14. Appendix 72
14.1. The holographic Laplacian 73
14.2. The heat kernel coefficients a_0, a_2 and a_4 73
14.3. The heat kernel coefficient a_6 74
14.4. a_6 for locally conformally flat metrics 79
14.5. Holographic formulas for heat kernel coefficients and applications 82
14.6. Polyakov formulas for functional determinants of P_2 89
14.7. Polyakov type formulas for renormalized volumes 90
14.8. Heat kernel coefficients of round spheres and hyperbolic spaces 93
14.9. The correction terms as polynomials in v_{2k} 95
14.10. Product metrics and consequences 95
14.11. On the coefficient $a_4(r)$ 99
References 107

1. Introduction and formulation of the main results

Since the pioneering work [MP49], enormous progress has been made in understanding the heat kernel of elliptic self-adjoint differential operators on manifolds. In this context, the so-called Laplace-type operators are best understood. The small time asymptotic expansion of the restriction of the heat kernel to the diagonal defines the so-called (local) heat kernel coefficients. For geometric operators, these are of fundamental interest since they bear geometric information. In fact, heat kernel coefficients of Laplace-type operators play a central role in one approach to the index theorem ([G84], [BGV92]) and the heat kernel coefficients of the Laplace-Beltrami operator and the Hodge-Laplacian on forms contain basic information on the relation between spectral theory and geometry ([Ch84]). In addition, heat kernel coefficients of various operators are of interest in quantum field theory (see [BD82], [A00] and the review [V03]).

An obstacle in analyzing the properties of heat kernel coefficients is their quickly growing complexity (as a function of their order). However, at least in low-order cases, there are well-known explicit formulas in terms of local invariants (see [BGM71], [McS67], [G75] and [G84]). Note also that Weingart [W04] found very remarkable closed combinatorial formulas of heat kernel coefficients of arbitrary order.

The asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel is closely related to the notion of the (zeta-regularized) functional determinant. For a self-adjoint Laplace-type operator on a closed manifold, it gives the infinite product of its (non-zero) real eigenvalues a sense. The notion was introduced by Ray and Singer [RS71] in order to define an analytic analog of Reidemeister torsion. In addition, standard constructions in quantum field theory [H77] lead to the consideration of functional determinants of differential operators (acting on various types of fields). Of particular interest are operators which are determined by a background metric and which are covariant with respect to conformal changes of the metric. Although the functional determinant of such operators in general is invariant under conformal changes, there are remarkable instances where the violation of its conformal invariance can be described in terms of *local* quantities. For instance, let $\Delta_g = -\delta_g d$ be the non-positive Laplacian of g[1] The transformation law

$$e^{2\varphi}\Delta_{\hat{g}} = \Delta_g, \quad \hat{g} = e^{2\varphi}g,\ \varphi \in C^\infty(M) \tag{1.1}$$

for the Laplacian of a closed surface (M^2, g) implies that the behaviour of its functional determinant $\det(-\Delta_g)$ under conformal changes $g \to \hat{g}$ of the metric is governed by the Polyakov formula

$$\log\left(\frac{\det(-\Delta_{\hat{g}})}{\det(-\Delta_g)}\right) = -\frac{1}{4\pi}\int_M \varphi(a_2(g)dv_g + a_2(\hat{g})dv_{\hat{g}}) \tag{1.2}$$

(if g and $\hat{g}$ have the same volume).[2] Here the quantity $a_2(g) = \mathrm{scal}(g)/6$ is the second heat kernel coefficient of the operator Δ_g. By the transformation formula

$$e^{2\varphi}K(e^{2\varphi}g) = K(g) - \Delta_g(\varphi)$$

for the Gauss curvature $K(g) = \mathrm{scal}(g)/2$, formula (1.2) is equivalent to the more familiar version [OPS88]

$$\log\left(\frac{\det(-\Delta_{\hat{g}})}{\det(-\Delta_g)}\right) = -\frac{1}{12\pi}\int_M (2\varphi K(g) + |d\varphi|_g^2)dv_g.$$

Polyakov's formula is the key to the study of extremal properties of the functional determinant of the Laplacian of surfaces [OPS88]. For a mathematical discussion of some of the related constructions of string theory see [AJPS97].

In higher dimensions, (1.1) generalizes to the conformal covariance

$$e^{(\frac{n}{2}+1)\varphi}P_2(\hat{g}) = P_2(g)e^{(\frac{n}{2}-1)\varphi},\ \hat{g} = e^{2\varphi}g,\ \varphi \in C^\infty(M)$$

of the conformal Laplacian

$$P_2(g) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \Delta_g - \Big(\frac{n}{2}-1\Big)\,\mathsf{J}(g), \quad \mathsf{J}(g) = \frac{\mathrm{scal}(g)}{2(n-1)}. \tag{1.3}$$

[1]We use the convention that $-\Delta = \delta d$ is non-negative. In particular, the Laplacian Δ of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ is the sum of the second-order partial derivatives.

[2]For the definition of the determinant see Section 13.2.

On closed manifolds (M^n, g) of even dimension n, an infinitesimal version of (1.2) for the functional determinant of $P_2(g)$ states that[3]

$$(d/d\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0}(\log\det(-P_2(e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g)) = -2\int_M \varphi a_n(g)dv_g, \tag{1.4}$$

where the conformal anomaly $a_n \in C^\infty(M^n)$ is the *critical* heat kernel coefficient of the conformal Laplacian (see (1.16)).[4] The derivation of a full analog of Polyakov's formula (1.2) then requires finding a conformal primitive of the right-hand side of the latter formula. This is a delicate matter of substantial interest (see [B95]). It motivated Branson to introduce the notion of Q-curvature Q_n, and experimental evidence in low-order cases led him to suspect that in some sense the critical Q-curvature Q_n plays the role of a *main part* of the critical heat kernel coefficient (universally also for other conformally covariant operators) [BG08]. The functional $g \mapsto a_n(g)$ is a local Riemannian invariant of weight n with the property that its total integral on closed manifolds is conformally invariant ([BO86], [PR87]). This is one of the basic consequences of the conformal covariance of P_2 for its heat kernel coefficients. Although this property already has the strong implication that $a_n(g)$ is a linear combination of the Pfaffian of g, a local conformal invariant and a divergence (by the Deser-Schwimmer decomposition proved in [A12]), the full structure of its heat kernel coefficients is far from being well-understood. In particular, the explicit form of the mentioned decomposition of the critical heat kernel coefficients is not known, and the general principles which may connect heat kernel coefficients of P_2 (and other conformally covariant operators) and Q-curvatures have not yet been found. For a brief introduction to the numerous aspects of Q-curvature see [CEOY08].

In recent years, speculations on dalities between gauge field theories and theories of gravity (as the so-called AdS/CFT-correspondence and versions of it)[5] led to the introduction of the notion of renormalized volume (see [HS98] and [G00]). The definition of the renormalized volume rests on the notion of Poincaré-Einstein metrics in the sense of Fefferman and Graham ([FG85], [FG12]). In some respects, the behaviour of the renormalized volume functional resembles that of the functional determinant. In fact, in even dimension its conformal variation is given by an anomaly v_n. Like a_n, the critical renormalized volume coefficient $g \mapsto v_n(g)$ is a scalar curvature invariant of weight n whose total integral on closed manifolds is a global conformal invariant. Moreover, in odd dimensions, both the functional determinant of the conformal Laplacian and the renormalized volume are conformally invariant. The AdS/CFT-correspondence actually involves (in specific cases) relations between (critical) heat kernel coefficients and (critical) renormalized volume coefficients; one of these relations was confirmed in [HS98] (for details see also Section 6.15 of [J09a]). For recent progress on the extremal properties of the renormalized volume functional we refer to [GMS12] and [CFG12].

These developments motivate the study of relations among the elements of the three series of scalar local Riemannian invariants which (for even n) are given by

[3]In order to simplify the formulation, we assume that $P_2(g)$ has only positive eigenvalues.

[4]Here we refer to the coefficient of t^0 in the heat kernel expansion as to the critical heat kernel coefficient. Later we shall change the convention and denote the product of this coefficient with the factor $(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ by a_n.

[5]Although that subject has its own huge literature, we only refer to Witten's seminal work [W98].

- the heat kernel coefficients $a_2, a_4, \dots, a_n$ of the conformal Laplacian,[6]
- the Branson Q-curvatures $Q_2, Q_4, \dots, Q_n$,
- the renormalized volume coefficients $v_2, v_4, \dots, v_n$.

A remarkable common property of the corresponding integral functionals is their conformal variational formula

$$\left(\int_{M^n} F_{2k}(g)dv_g\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-2k)\int_{M^n}\varphi F_{2k}(g)dv_g \tag{1.5}$$

on closed manifolds. Here and in the following we use the notation

$$\mathcal{F}(g)^\bullet[\varphi] = (d/d\varepsilon)|_0(\mathcal{F}(e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g))$$

for the conformal variation of a scalar-valued functional $\mathcal{F}$. For the respective proofs see [BO86], [PR87] (for $F_{2k} = a_{2k}$), [B95] (for $F_{2k} = Q_{2k}$) and [CF08], [G00] (for $F_{2k} = v_{2k}$). Equation (1.5) implies that (for even n) the total integral of F_n is a global conformal invariant. Hence the Deser-Schwimmer decomposition (proved in [A12]) shows that each of the quantities a_n, Q_n and v_n decomposes as a linear combination of the Pfaffian, local conformal invariants and a divergence.

As explained above, the relation between heat kernel coefficients and Q-curvatures is expected to be important for generalizations of Polyakov's formula, and the relation of heat kernel coefficients to renormalized volume coefficients plays a central role in tests of gauge-gravity dualities.

On the other hand, recent years have seen substantial progress in understanding the relations between Q-curvatures Q_{2N} and renormalized volume coefficients v_{2N}. First of all, there is a natural decomposition of the critical Q-curvature Q_n (in even dimension) as a linear combination of v_n and a sequence of differential operators acting on lower-order renormalized volume coefficients [GJ07]. That result extends a result of [GZ03] and has natural generalizations to all Q-curvatures [J11]. Next, the sequence of Q-curvatures turned out to have a recursive structure which allows to determine any Q-curvature in terms of renormalized volume coefficients and GJMS-operators acting on respective lower-order Q-curvatures ([J14]).

We continue with the description of the content of the present paper. The central point is that we consider the heat kernel of the conformal Laplacian from a new perspective. Here we develop only some of the aspects of this point of view, and expect that further investigations will be fruitful. The new perspective is inspired by the interactions of the theories mentioned above. More precisely, we use Poincaré-Einstein metrics to introduce a one-parameter family $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ of self-adjoint elliptic operators so that

$$\mathcal{H}(0; g) = P_2(g).$$

We regard $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ as a perturbation of the conformal Laplacian. Through the heat kernel coefficients of the family $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ we embed the heat kernel coefficients of P_2 into one-parameter families of local Riemannian invariants. Although the operator $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ for $r \neq 0$ is not conformally covariant, its behaviour resembles that of a conformally covariant one. An important observation is that in the theory of the heat kernel of $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ the variables r and t play similar roles. In particular, that will allow us to give a

[6]Here one may also consider heat kernel coefficients of other conformally covariant operators.

heat equation proof of the conformal variational properties of the renormalized volume coefficients.

We continue with the formulation of the main results. We start with the definition of the holographic Laplacian $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$. On any Riemannian manifold (M,g) of dimension $n \geq 3$, there is a sequence $P_2(g), P_4(g), \ldots$ of higher-order generalizations of the conformal Laplacian which are known as the GJMS-operators [GJMS92]. These operators are conformally covariant in the sense that

$$e^{(\frac{n}{2}+N)\varphi} P_{2N}(e^{2\varphi}g) = P_{2N}(g) e^{(\frac{n}{2}-N)\varphi}$$

for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, and can be viewed as corrections of powers of the Laplacian Δ_g by lower-order terms which, as for P_2, are determined by the covariant derivatives of the curvature of the metric g. The second operator in the sequence is the well-known Paneitz operator [P08][7]

$$P_4 = \Delta^2 + \delta((n-2)\mathsf{J}g - 4\mathsf{P})d + \left(\frac{n}{2}-2\right)\left(\frac{n}{2}\mathsf{J}^2 - 2|\mathsf{P}|^2 - \Delta\mathsf{J}\right), \tag{1.6}$$

where

$$\mathsf{P} = \frac{1}{n-2}\left(\mathrm{Ric} - \frac{\mathrm{scal}}{2(n-1)}\right)$$

is the Schouten tensor of g and J its trace. The construction of these operators in [GJMS92] works for all orders on manifolds of odd dimension but is restricted to orders not exceeding the dimension of the underlying manifold if the dimension is even.

For $N \geq 3$, the structure of these conformally covariant powers of the Laplacian is quite complicated. However, in the recent work [J13] we revealed new structures in the sequence of these operators. In particular, we found that any GJMS-operator $P_{2N}(g)$ can be written as a linear combination

$$P_{2N} = \sum_{|I|=N} n_I \mathcal{M}_{2I}$$

of compositions of certain natural self-adjoint *building-block* operators $\mathcal{M}_{2N}(g)$ with $\mathcal{M}_2 = P_2$ (see Section 2). One of the main results of [J13] (see Theorem 2.1) is a description of these building-block operators. It states that the generating function

$$\sum_{N\geq 1} \mathcal{M}_{2N}(g) \frac{1}{(N-1)!^2}\left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^{N-1}$$

of the building-block operators coincides with the Schrödinger-type operator

$$\mathcal{H}(r;g) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} -\delta(g(r)^{-1}d) + \mathcal{U}(r;g) \tag{1.7}$$

with the potential

$$\mathcal{U}(r;g) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} -w(r)^{-1}\left(\partial^2/\partial r^2 - (n-1)r^{-1}\partial/\partial r - \delta(g(r)^{-1}d)\right)(w(r)). \tag{1.8}$$

In particular, all building-block operators are only second-order. In these definitions, $\delta : \Omega^1(M) \to C^\infty(M)$ denotes the adjoint of $d : C^\infty(M) \to \Omega^1(M)$ with respect to the Hodge scalar products defined by g; on vector fields it corresponds to the (negative)

[7]Paneitz discovered that operator in general dimensions in 1983. Around the same time, this operator in dimension $n = 4$ independently appeared in different contexts in [FT82], [R84] and [ES85].

divergence with respect to g. $g(r)$ is a smooth even one-parameter family of metrics on M so that $g(0) = g$ and

$$g_+ = r^{-2}(dr^2 + g(r))$$

is an asymptotic Einstein metric in the sense that the tensor

$$\mathrm{Ric}(g_+) + ng_+$$

vanishes asymptotically at $r = 0$. In (1.7) and (1.8), $g(r)$ is regarded as an endomorphism on one-forms using g. The metric g_+ is a Poincaré-Einstein metric in the sense of [FG12]. In odd dimension n, all coefficients in the formal *even* power series expansion

$$g(r) = g + r^2 g_{(2)} + r^4 g_{(4)} + \cdots + r^{n-1} g_{(n-1)} + \cdots$$

at $r = 0$ are determined by g. In even dimension n, the situation is more subtle. For general metrics g, the coefficients $g_{(2)}, \ldots, g_{(n-2)}$ in the even expansion

$$g(r) = g + r^2 g_{(2)} + \cdots + r^{n-2} g_{(n-2)} + \cdots$$

are determined by g. However, there is an obstruction to finding a solution to the order n. More precisely, the trace of the Taylor coefficient of r^n is still determined by g, but the determination of the trace-free part is obstructed by the so-called obstruction tensor. For some special metrics, more information on these expansions is available. In particular, for conformally flat metrics in dimension $n \geq 4$, the expansion of $g(r)$ terminates at r^4. Moreover, for conformally Einstein metrics g (in even dimension $n \geq 4$), the family $g(r)$ is uniquely determined (although explicit formulas are not known except for Einstein metrics). For more details we refer to Section 2.

The function $w(r) \in C^\infty(M)$ in (1.8) is defined as the square root

$$w(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{v(r)} \tag{1.9}$$

of

$$v(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sqrt{\det g(r)}/\sqrt{\det g} \in C^\infty(M). \tag{1.10}$$

It also plays an important role in structural formulas for Q-curvatures (see (2.10)).

The power series coefficients v_{2k} of $v(r)$ are the renormalized volume coefficients. For general metrics, they are well-defined for all $k \geq 0$ in odd dimensions and for $2k \leq n$ in even dimension.[8] However, for locally conformally flat and conformally Einstein metrics, all coefficients v_{2k} are well-defined also in even dimension $n \geq 4$. In all cases, the coefficients v_{2k} are scalar Riemannian invariants of g of weight $2k$.

Now, for general metrics g, we define the operator $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ by the formula (1.7) using an even Poincaré-Einstein metric relative to g. Then the second-order and potential parts of the Taylor coefficient of r^{2N} of $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ involve the tensors $g_{(2j)}$ up to $g_{(2N)}$ and $g_{(2N+2)}$, respectively. Here the the potential part depends on the coefficient $g_{(2N+2)}$ only through v_{2N+2}. In particular, for even n, the Taylor coefficients of $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ up to r^{n-2} are uniquely determined by the metric g. In the discussions below, the parts of $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ which are not uniquely determined by g will play no role. Similarly, for odd n, the full formal Taylor expansion of $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ at $r = 0$ is uniquely determined by g. For more details we refer to Section 2.

[8] $g_{(n)}$ contributes to v_n only through its uniquely determined g-trace.

We regard the second-order operator $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ as a *canonical geometric* differential operator defined by a Riemannian metric. Since its definition involves concepts on a space of one higher dimension including the concept of renormalized volume (or holographic) coefficients $v_{2k}(g)$, we refer to it as to the *holographic* Laplacian of g. We emphasize, that $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ is not a Laplace-type operator or generalized Laplacian (with respect to g) in the sense of [G84] and [BGV92], respectively.

In these terms, the main result of [J13] can be rephrased by saying that the holographic Laplacian *coincides* with the generating function of the building-block operators of the GJMS-operators.

In the first section of Section 14, we display explicit formulas for the first three terms in the power series expansion of the holographic Laplacian (as a function of r^2) regarded as a perturbation of the conformal Laplacian P_2. In particular, the first-order perturbation is given by the operator

$$P_2 + \mathcal{M}_4 \frac{r^2}{4} = P_2 - \delta(\mathsf{P}d)r^2 + (-\mathsf{J}^2 - (n-4)|\mathsf{P}|^2 + \Delta\mathsf{J})\frac{r^2}{4}.$$

We also note that, for an Einstein metric g, the operator $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ takes the particularly simple form

$$(1-\lambda r^2/4)^{-2} P_2(g),\ \lambda = \mathrm{scal}(g)/n(n-1) \tag{1.11}$$

(see Section 11.2 of [J13]).

In [FG12], Fefferman and Graham revealed the relation of the operator (1.7) to the Laplacian of the ambient metric and used it for an alternative proof of the results in [J13]. For more details we refer to Section 2.

The building-block operators $\mathcal{M}_{2N}(g)$ are natural in g but not covariant under conformal changes of the metric (except for $N=1$). However, for constant conformal changes $g \mapsto \lambda^2 g$, i.e., for rescalings of g, they obey the transformation law

$$\lambda^{2N} \mathcal{M}_{2N}(\lambda^2 g) = \mathcal{M}_{2N}(g), \lambda \neq 0.$$

These relations imply

$$\lambda^2 \mathcal{H}(r;\lambda^2 g) = \mathcal{H}(r/\lambda; g),\ \lambda \neq 0. \tag{1.12}$$

Under general conformal changes, the behaviour of the building block operators is much more complicated. But it is remarkable that the conformal variation of any $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ can be described only in terms of respective lower-order building-block operators. The following result is a consequence of such a description. It is the first main result of the present paper and constitutes the basis of the whole work.

Theorem 1.1 (Conformal variation of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$). *For any metric g and any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial/\partial t)|_{t=0} \left(e^{(\frac{n}{2}+1)t\varphi} \mathcal{H}(r; e^{2t\varphi} g) e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-1)t\varphi}\right) \\ = -\frac{1}{2} r(\partial/\partial r)(\varphi \mathcal{H}(r;g) + \mathcal{H}(r;g)\varphi) - [\mathcal{H}(r;g), [\mathcal{K}(r;g), \varphi]]\end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

for sufficiently small r. Here

$$\mathcal{K}(r;g) \overset{def}{=} \sum_{N \geq 1} \mathcal{M}_{2N}(g) \frac{1}{N!(N-1)!} \left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^N = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^r s\mathcal{H}(s;g) ds.$$

On the right-hand side of (1.13), φ *is regarded as a multiplication operator.*

Here we use the following conventions. For odd $n \geq 3$, the identity (1.13) is to be interpreted as a relation of formal power series in r. For even $n \geq 4$ and general metrics, it asserts the equality of the power series expansions of both sides of (1.13) up to r^{n-2}. However, for locally conformally flat metrics and conformally Einstein metrics in even dimension $n \geq 4$, the equality of formal power series is valid without restrictions on the powers of r^2. Similar interpretations will be used in what follows without mentioning.

Theorem 1.1 obviously generalizes the infinitesimal version

$$(d/dt)|_{t=0}(e^{2\lambda t}\mathcal{H}(r; e^{2\lambda t}g)) = (d/dt)|_{t=0}(\mathcal{H}(re^{-\lambda t}; g)),\ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

of (1.12). The restriction of the right-hand side of (1.13) to $r = 0$ vanishes. Thus, in view of $\mathcal{M}_2 = P_2$, Equation (1.13) also generalizes the infinitesimal conformal covariance of the conformal Laplacian. In particular, the conformal weights $\frac{n}{2} + 1$ and $\frac{n}{2} - 1$ in (1.13) are the same as for the conformal Laplacian.

Now we consider spectral invariants for the holographic Laplacian. For this purpose, we assume from now on that the underlying manifold M is closed. For sufficiently small r, $g(r)$ is a Riemannian metric and the holographic Laplacian is elliptic.

The following result is a conformal variational formula for the trace of the heat kernel of the (negative) holographic Laplacian. It is a consequence of the variational formula in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 (**Conformal variation of trace of heat kernel of $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$**)**.** *For any metric g on a closed manifold M, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0}(\mathrm{Tr}(\exp(t\mathcal{H}(r; e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g))))\\ = -2t(\partial/\partial t)(\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)})) - rt\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left((\varphi\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r; g) + \dot{\mathcal{H}}(r; g)\varphi)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}\right) \quad (1.14)\end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently small r and all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$. Here traces are taken in $L^2(M, g)$ and the dot denotes the derivative with respect to r.

Two comments on this result are in order. First, the relation (1.14) is to be interpreted as an identity of formal power series in r (up to r^{n-2} for even n and general metrics). Second, it is one of the basic features of Theorem 1.2 that the massive double-commutator term on the right-hand side of (1.13) does *not* contribute to (1.14). This follows from the observation that for any differential operator $\mathcal{K}$ on M we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}([\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}]e^{t\mathcal{H}}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{H}\mathcal{K}e^{t\mathcal{H}} - \mathcal{K}\mathcal{H}e^{t\mathcal{H}}) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{K}e^{t\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H}) - \mathrm{Tr}(\mathcal{K}\mathcal{H}e^{t\mathcal{H}}) = 0$$

by the cyclicity of the trace and the fact that $\mathcal{H}$ commutes with $e^{t\mathcal{H}}$. It is actually this result which makes the trace of the heat kernel of $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ a manageable object. On the other hand, the discussion of the local variational formula for the renormalized volume coefficients in Section 13.3 will illustrate the significance of the double-commutator term in (1.13).

By the ellipticity of $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ (for sufficiently small r), the trace of $\exp(t\mathcal{H}(r; g))$ has an asymptotic expansion

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\exp(t\mathcal{H}(r; g)) \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j\geq 0} t^j \int_M a_{2j}(r; g)dv_g,\ t \to 0 \quad (1.15)$$

which generalizes the asymptotic expansion

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\exp(tP_2(g)) \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j\geq 0} t^j \int_M a_{2j}(g) dv_g,\ t \to 0 \tag{1.16}$$

of the trace of the heat kernel of the conformal Laplacian. Indeed, the heat kernel coefficients $a_{2j}(r;g)$ satisfy the restriction property $a_{2j}(0;g) = a_{2j}(g)$. Theorem 1.7 implies that the functionals $g \mapsto a_{2k}(r;g)$ are one-parameter families of local Riemannian invariants of g. Let $a_{(2j,2k)}(g)$ be the coefficients in the Taylor expansion of $a_{2k}(r;g)$:[9]

$$a_{2j}(r;g) \sim \sum_{k\geq 0} a_{(2j,2k)}(g) r^{2k},\ r \to 0. \tag{1.17}$$

For constant conformal changes $g \mapsto \lambda^2 g$, i.e., for rescalings of the metric, the relation (1.12) implies that

$$a_{2j}(r;\lambda^2 g) = \lambda^{-2j} a_{2j}(r/\lambda;g),\ \lambda \neq 0. \tag{1.18}$$

Hence

$$a_{(2j,2k)}(\lambda^2 g) = \lambda^{-2j-2k} a_{(2j,2k)}(g),\ \lambda \neq 0.$$

In other words, the invariant $a_{(2j,2k)}(g) \in C^\infty(M)$ is of weight $2j+2k$.

In the following, the coefficients $a_{(2j,n-2j)}$ (for even n) will be called *critical* heat kernel coefficients of $\mathcal{H}(r)$. Since these coefficients satisfy

$$a_{(2j,n-2j)}(\lambda^2 g) = \lambda^{-n} a_{(2j,n-2j)}(g), \tag{1.19}$$

their total integrals are scale-invariant.

We note that the explicit formula (1.11) for the holographic Laplacian $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ of an Einstein metric g shows that for such a metric the heat kernel coefficients $a_{2j}(r;g)$ are given by the relation

$$a_{2j}(r;g) = (1-\lambda r^2/4)^{n-2j} a_{2j}(g). \tag{1.20}$$

For general metrics, the dependence of the coefficients $a_{2j}(r;g)$ on r is significantly more complicated, however.

We continue with the discussion of consequences of Theorem 1.2 for the behaviour of the heat kernel coefficients of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ under general conformal changes of the metric. Due to the overall factor r in the second term on right-hand side of (1.14), this equation specializes for $r=0$ to the conformal variational formula

$$(\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0}(\mathrm{Tr}(\exp(tP_2(e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g)))) = -2t(\partial/\partial t)(\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi e^{tP_2(g)}))$$

for the trace of the heat kernel of the conformal Laplacian. In [BO86] and [PR87] it is shown that the latter formula implies the conformal variational formulas

$$\left(\int_{M^n} a_{2j}(g) dv_g\right)^\bullet [\varphi] = (n-2j) \int_{M^n} \varphi a_{2j}(g) dv_g. \tag{1.21}$$

In particular, for even n, the functional

$$g \mapsto \int_{M^n} a_n(g) dv_g \tag{1.22}$$

[9]For even n and general metrics g, the operator $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ is uniquely defined only up to order r^{n-2}. Hence, in this case, the expansions of $a_{2j}(r;g)$ are well-defined also only up to order r^{n-2}. However, they are well-defined to all orders for conformally Einstein metrics.

is a global conformal invariant. Since the integrand a_n is the constant term in the expansion (1.16), the integral (1.22) is often referred to as a conformal index [BO86]. The second term on the right-hand side of (1.14) contributes only to the conformal transformation laws of the *non-constant* Taylor coefficients of $a_{2j}(r;g)$ with respect to r. By the cyclicity of the trace, it equals

$$-rt\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi\left(\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}+e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g)\right)\right). \tag{1.23}$$

If $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g)$ commute, this sum can be written in the form

$$-r(\partial/\partial r)(\operatorname{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)})). \tag{1.24}$$

The similarity of both contributions

$$-2t(\partial/\partial t)(\operatorname{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)})) \quad \text{and} \quad -r(\partial/\partial r)(\operatorname{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}))$$

suggests to consider r^2 as a second time variable. But since $\mathcal{H}(r)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)$ do not commute in general, the right-hand side of (1.14) is the sum of these two contributions and a contribution given by the difference of (1.23) and (1.24). The additional term can be described in terms of the restriction to the diagonal of the kernel of the operator

$$\mathscr{C}(t;r)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma}[\mathcal{R}(\lambda),[\mathcal{R}(\lambda),\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)]]e^{-t\lambda}d\lambda \tag{1.25}$$

(Lemma 5.1), where $\mathcal{R}(\lambda)=\mathcal{R}(r;\lambda)$ denotes the resolvent of $-\mathcal{H}(r)$. Theorem 1.5 below is motivated by the analysis of this term.

For special metrics, the operator $\mathscr{C}(t;r)$ may vanish. For instance, (1.11) implies that for an Einstein metric g the families $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ and $\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g)$ are proportional by a factor which only depends on r. Hence, for such metrics, the term (1.25) vanishes and, by combining Theorem 1.2 with the above arguments, we obtain the following result.

Proposition 1.1. *On closed Einstein manifolds (M^n,g) of even dimension n, we have*

$$\left(\int_{M^n}a_{(2j,2k)}(g)dv_g\right)^{\bullet}[\varphi]=(n-2j-2k)\int_{M^n}\varphi a_{(2j,2k)}(g)dv_g. \tag{1.26}$$

In particular, the total integrals

$$\int_{M^n}a_{(2j,n-2j)}dv \tag{1.27}$$

of the critical heat kernel coefficients are critical at Einstein metrics in their conformal class.

It is natural to regard Proposition 1.1 as a result on the two-parameter *spectral zeta function*

$$\zeta(r;s)\overset{\text{def}}{=}\sum_k\frac{1}{\lambda_k(r)^s},\quad \Re(s)>\frac{n}{2} \tag{1.28}$$

of $-\mathcal{H}(g;r)$. In the latter definition, we assume that the eigenvalues $\lambda_k(r)$ of $-\mathcal{H}(g;r)$ are positive (for sufficiently small r). The function $s\mapsto\zeta(r;s)$ admits a meromorphic

continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ with simple poles in $s \in \{n/2, n/2-1, \dots, 1\}$. Moreover, the corresponding residue in $n/2-k$ is a constant multiple of the total integral of $a_{2k}(g;r)$. Now the conformal variation of $\zeta(r;s)$ at an Einstein metric is given by the formula

$$\zeta(r;s)^\bullet[\varphi] = (2s - r\partial/\partial r)\zeta(\varphi;r;s), \tag{1.29}$$

where the local zeta function $\zeta(\varphi;r;s)$ is defined by

$$\zeta(\varphi;r;s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \operatorname{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}) dt, \quad \Re(s) > n/2.$$

Like $\zeta(r;s)$, the local zeta function $\zeta(\varphi;r;s)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ and the relation (1.29) is valid as an identity of meromorphic functions. For more details we refer to Section 13.2.

In particular, expanding the resulting relation for residues in the variable r for even n yields the relations (1.26) for $j = 0, 1, \dots, n/2-1$. Similarly, the relations (1.26) for $j \geq n/2$ are obtained by expanding the values of both sides of (1.29) at $s = n/2 - j \in -\mathbb{N}_0$.

The formula (1.29) also has an interesting consequence for odd n. Let $\zeta_{2k}(s)$ be the coefficients in the expansion of $\zeta(r;s)$ in the variable r (as in (13.12)). Now, for odd n, $\zeta(r;s)$ is regular at $s \in \mathbb{N}$. The functionals

$$g \mapsto \zeta_{2k}(k;g)$$

are scale-invariant and (1.29) implies that all zeta values

$$\zeta_{2k}(k) \quad \text{for } k \in \{0, 1, \dots, (n-1)/2, \dots\}$$

are *critical* at Einstein metrics in their conformal class.

In the variational formula (1.14), the contribution by (1.25) vanishes for $r = 0$ for all metrics (due to the overall r-factor). Thus, the same arguments prove the conformal invariance of the total integral of $a_n = a_{(n,0)}$ for general metrics.

For general metrics, the term (1.25) does *not* contribute to the leading heat kernel coefficient $a_0(r)$ of $\mathcal{H}(r)$. More precisely, we shall prove the relation

$$a_0(r) = v(r) \tag{1.30}$$

(Lemma 6.1). The latter result also follows from the well-known general results in Chapter II of [S01] and [DG75]. The main point here is that by combining the relation (1.30) with the above arguments concerning the behaviour of the total integrals of $a_0(r)$ naturally leads to a heat equation proof of the following variational formula.

Theorem 1.3 ([CF08], [G09])**.** *For any metric g on a closed manifold M of dimension n and $2k \leq n$ if n is even, we have*

$$\left(\int_M v_{2k}(g) dv_g \right)^\bullet [\varphi] = (n-2k) \int_M \varphi v_{2k}(g) dv_g \tag{1.31}$$

for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$.

In particular, for closed manifolds M of even dimension n, the total integral of v_n is conformally invariant. We also recall that this integral is proportional to the total integral of the critical Q-curvature (see [GZ03], [GJ07], [J09a]). The quantity v_n is the conformal anomaly of the renormalized volume [G00], [CFG12].

A somewhat subtle point in the heat equation proof of Theorem 1.3 is that, for general metrics in even dimension n, it does not cover the total integral of the critical coefficient v_n. The reason is that for general metrics the holographic Laplacian is only uniquely defined up to order r^{n-2}.

The heat equation proof of Theorem 1.3 is an application of the algebraic relation between the operator $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ and the GJMS-operators (Theorem 2.1). It completely differs from earlier arguments in [CF08] and [G09].

For locally conformally flat metrics on manifolds of even dimension n, the coefficient v_n is a multiple of the integrand in the Chern-Gauss-Bonnet theorem, i.e., of the Euler form (or Pfaffian) (see [GJ07]). Hence, for such metrics, the integrated *leading* heat kernel coefficient of $\mathcal{H}(r)$ spectrally detects the Euler characteristic of the underlying manifold in its Taylor coefficient of r^n.

We continue with a discussion of the *sub-leading* heat kernel coefficient $a_2(r)$ of $\mathcal{H}(r)$. In order to describe the conformal variation of its total integral, we introduce the *corrected* sub-leading heat kernel coefficient

$$\Lambda(r) = a_2(r) - (\dot{w}(r))^2 \in C^\infty(M). \tag{1.32}$$

The function $\Lambda(r)$ has an even expansion $\Lambda_0 + \Lambda_2 r^2 + \Lambda_4 r^4 + \cdots$. Note that $\Lambda_0 = a_2$. In these terms, we state our second main result.

Theorem 1.4. *For any metric g on a closed manifold M of dimension n and $2k \leq n-2$ if n is even, we have*

$$\left(\int_M \Lambda_{2k}(g)dv_g\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-2-2k)\int_M \varphi\Lambda_{2k}(g)dv_g \tag{1.33}$$

for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$. In particular, for even n, the functional

$$\mathscr{L}_{n-2} : g \mapsto \int_M \Lambda_{n-2}(g)dv_g$$

is conformally invariant, i.e., $\mathscr{L}_{n-2}(e^{2\varphi}g) = \mathscr{L}_{n-2}(g)$.

Theorem 1.4 shows how to extract from the heat kernel coefficient $a_2(r)$ of $\mathcal{H}(r)$ a Riemannian curvature integral which, under conformal changes of the metric, behaves similarly as the total integrals of the renormalized volume coefficients v_{2k} and the heat kernel coefficients a_{2k} of the conformal Laplacian. In particular, the conformal invariance of $\mathscr{L}_{n-2}$ is an analog of the conformal invariance of the total integrals of a_n (conformal index) and v_n. Theorem 1.8 will actually explain this result by an independent calculation of the invariants Λ_{2k}.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 rests on Theorem 1.2 and a certain integral formula. In order to state that formula, we introduce some additional notation. For any $\Phi \in C^\infty(T^*M)$ which is polynomial on the fibers of T^*M, we set

$$\langle\Phi\rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Phi e^{-\mathcal{H}(r)}d\xi\right) / \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\mathcal{H}(r)}d\xi\right) \in C^\infty(M),$$

where the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{H}(r) = \mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi) = \sum_{i,j} g(r,x)^{-1}_{ij}\xi_i\xi_j \in C^\infty(T^*M) \tag{1.34}$$

is the principal symbol of the (negative) holographic Laplacian, i.e., of $-\Delta_{g(r)}$, in local coordinates.[10] In these terms, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.5. *For any metric g, we have*

$$\frac{1}{3!}\left\langle\left\{\mathcal{H}(r),\left\{\mathcal{H}(r),\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\right\}\right\}\right\rangle = -\Delta_{g(r)}((\dot{v}/v)(r)) \tag{1.35}$$

for sufficiently small r as an identity of functions on M. Here the brackets denote the Poisson brackets of the standard symplectic structure on T^*M.

On the other hand, the following variational formula yields a local conformal primitive of the "expectation value" in Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.6. *For any metric g on a closed manifold M of dimension n, we have*

$$\left(\int_M (\dot{w}(r))^2 dv\right)^{\bullet}[\varphi] = (n\text{–}2\text{–}r\partial/\partial r)\int_M \varphi(\dot{w}(r))^2 dv + r\frac{1}{2}\int_M \varphi v(r)\Delta_{g(r)}((\dot{v}/v)(r))dv$$

for sufficiently small r and all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$.

Theorem 1.6 is a consequence of a variational formula for $v(r)$ (Theorem 8.1) which constitutes a *local* refinement of Theorem 1.3.

Next, we formulate a structural result for the invariants $a_{2k}(r)$. For this purpose, we first write $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ in the form

$$\mathcal{H}(r;g) = \Delta_{g(r)} - (d\log v(r), d)_{g(r)} + \mathcal{U}(r;g). \tag{1.36}$$

It relates the non-Laplace-type operator $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ for the metric g to a Laplace-type operator for the metric $g(r)$. Indeed, we identify the latter operator with an operator of the form

$$\mathrm{tr}_{g(r)}(\nabla^{v(r)} \circ \nabla^{v(r)}) + \text{endomorphism}$$

on the sections of the trivial line bundle $\mathscr{L} = M \times \mathbb{C}$ equipped with the connection $\nabla^{v(r)}$ with connection one-form

$$\omega(r) = -\frac{1}{2} d\log v(r) \in \Omega^1(M) \tag{1.37}$$

for the trivialization by the section $s_0 = 1$.

For arbitrary $E \in C^\infty(M)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^1(M)$, let $a_{2k}(L)$ be the k^{th} heat kernel coefficient of the Laplace type operator $L = \mathrm{tr}_g(\nabla \circ \nabla) + E$ on the sections of the line bundle $\mathscr{L} = M \times \mathbb{C}$ equipped with the connection ∇^ω with connection one-form ω. Then $a_{2k}(L)$ is an invariant non-commutative polynomial

$$a_{2k}(R, \Omega, E)$$

of order $2k$ in the covariant derivatives of the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection of g, of the curvature $\Omega = d\omega$ and of the endomorphism E (see Chapter 4 of [G84]).

Theorem 1.7. *The heat kernel coefficients $a_{2k}(r)$ have the form*

$$a_{2k}(r) = a_{2k}(R(r), 0, E(r))v(r), \tag{1.38}$$

[10] It is easy to verify that $\langle\Phi\rangle$ is well-defined, i.e., independent of the choice of local coordinates.

where $R(r) = R(g(r))$ denotes the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection of $g(r)$ and the endomorphism $E(r)$ is given by the function

$$E(r) = -w(r)^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{n-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)(w(r)). \tag{1.39}$$

Note that the exactness of the connection one-form $d\log v$ implies that the curvature of ∇^v vanishes. We also emphasize that the potential $E(r)$ does not contain derivatives of the function $w(r)$ along M. By Theorem 1.7, explicit formulas for low-order heat kernel coefficients of Laplace-type operators imply formulas for low-order coefficients $a_{2k}(r)$.

In particular, Theorem 1.7 generalizes (1.30) (by using $a_0 = 1$). Similarly, using

$$a_2 = \frac{\mathrm{scal}}{6} + E,$$

we obtain the following result for the sub-leading heat kernel coefficient $a_2(r)$.

Theorem 1.8. *For any metric, the sub-leading heat kernel coefficient of the holographic Laplacian $\mathcal{H}(r)$ is given by the formula*

$$a_2(r) = -\frac{1}{3}\left(\ddot{v}(r) - \left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)r^{-1}\dot{v}(r)\right) + (\dot{w}(r))^2. \tag{1.40}$$

Equivalently, the power series coefficients of the corrected heat kernel coefficient

$$\Lambda(r) = a_2(r) - (\dot{w}(r))^2 = \sum_{k\geq 0}\Lambda_{2k}r^{2k}$$

satisfy the relations

$$\Lambda_{2k-2} = \frac{1}{3}k(n-4k)v_{2k},\ k \geq 1. \tag{1.41}$$

In particular, we have

$$\mathscr{L}_{n-2}(g) = -\frac{n^2}{6}\int_{M^n} v_n(g)dv_g.$$

Some comments on Theorem 1.8 and its proof are in order.

We recall that for general metrics the relation (1.40) is to be understood as an identity of formal power series (up to r^{n-2} for even n). However, for locally conformally flat metrics in dimension $n \geq 3$, we have

$$g(r) = g - r^2\mathsf{P} + r^4/4\mathsf{P}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad v(r) = \det(1 - r^2/2\mathsf{P}),$$

and $\mathcal{H}(r)$ is well-defined as an analytic function in r. In that case, the relation (1.40) is a formula for the analytic function $a_2(r)$ in terms of $v(r)$.

If g is Einstein, then $v(r) = (1-cr^2)^n$ for some constant c so that $\mathsf{J} = 2cn$. In this case, Theorem 1.8 yields

$$a_2(r) = -\frac{1}{3}cn(n-4)(1-cr^2)^{n-2} = -\frac{1}{6}(n-4)\mathsf{J}(1-cr^2)^{n-2}.$$

In view of $a_2 = -\frac{1}{6}(n-4)\mathsf{J}$, this fits with the consequence $a_2(r) = (1-cr^2)^{n-2}a_2$ of (1.20).

The power series coefficients ω_{2k} of $(\dot{w}(r))^2$ are polynomials of degree k (without a linear term) in the coefficients $v_2, v_4, \dots$. For instance, we have $\omega_2 = v_2^2$ and $\omega_4 = 4v_2v_4 - v_2^3$. Thus, the power series of $a_2(r)$ starts with

$$\frac{n-4}{3}v_2 + r^2\left(\frac{2(n-8)}{3}v_4 + v_2^2\right) + r^4\left((n-12)v_6 + 4v_2v_4 - v_2^3\right) + \cdots .$$

It seems remarkable that the sub-leading heat kernel coefficient $a_2(r)$ can be described in terms of renormalized volume coefficients only. In view of $a_0(r) = v(r)$, the relation (1.40) actually may be regarded as a relation between the heat kernel coefficients $a_2(r)$ and $a_0(r)$. The structure of $a_4(r)$ is substantially more complicated, however. In fact, $a_4 = a_4(0)$ contains a contribution by the norm of the Weyl tensor (see Section 14.2) and the Taylor coefficients of $a_4(r)$ cannot be written in terms of renormalized volume coefficients only (see Proposition 14.13).

Combining Theorem 1.8 with Theorem 1.4 leads to a second heat kernel proof of the variational formula for integrated renormalized volume coefficients (Theorem 1.3); that proof also covers the conformal invariance of the total integral of v_n for even n.

Of course, combining Theorem 1.8 with Theorem 1.3, yields a second proof of Theorem 1.4. Nevertheless, the arguments leading to Theorem 1.4 *without* invoking an explicit formula for $a_2(r)$ do not lose their significance since they suggest the existence (and ways of finding) of analogs of Theorem 1.4 for the heat kernel coefficients a_{2k} for $k \geq 2$ (for more details we refer to Section 13).

In addition to the above argument, we shall give a proof of Theorem 1.8 which only rests on the direct evaluation of the algorithm for the calculation of the heat kernel coefficients (described in Section 4).

Now we turn to the formulation of the last main result of the paper. For closed manifolds M, the total integrals of the heat kernel coefficients $a_{2k}(r)$ are spectral invariants. For $k = 0$, the Taylor coefficients of this invariant are given by the total integrals of the renormalized volume coefficients. These functionals are variational [CF08] and have interesting extremal properties at Einstein metrics [GL11], [CFG12]. From that perspective, Theorem 1.8 suggests to study the extremal properties of the Taylor coefficients $\mathscr{W}_{2k}$ of the functional

$$\mathscr{W}(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{M^n} (\dot{w}(r))^2 dv, \tag{1.42}$$

i.e., of

$$\mathscr{W}_{2k} = \int_{M^n} \omega_{2k} dv$$

at Einstein metrics. In view of $\omega_2 = v_2^2$, the functionals $\mathscr{W}_{2k}$ are generalizations of the quadratic curvature functional $\int_M \mathrm{scal}^2\, dv$. The local Riemannian invariant ω_{2k} is a polynomial of degree $2k$ in the curvature with the property that $\omega_{2k}(\lambda^2 g) = \lambda^{-2k-2}\omega_{2k}(g)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$. Hence $\mathscr{W}_{2k}$ is homogeneous of degree $n - 2 - 2k$. Although the invariants ω_{2k} become very complicated for large k, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.9. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed unit volume Einstein manifold of dimension $n \geq 4$. Assume that $\mathrm{scal}(g) > 0$ and let $2k \leq n-2$. Then the restriction of the functional $(-1)^k\mathscr{W}_{2k}$ to the set $[g]_1$ of unit volume metrics conformal to g has a strict local maximum at g unless (M^n, g) is isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere.*

For the proof of Theorem 1.9 we combine the conformal variational formula for $v(r)$ (Theorem 8.1) with a well-known result of Obata [O62].

Let $n \geq 4$ be even. Then the special case $2k = n-2$ of Theorem 1.9 implies a corresponding result for the total integral $\int_M a_{(2,n-2)}dv$ by using Theorem 1.8 and the conformal invariance of $\int_M v_n dv$. On the other hand, the total integral of $a_{(2,n-2)}$ has a local minimum at Einstein metrics g with $\mathrm{scal}(g) < 0$. For the details and further results in this direction we refer to Section 12.

For the readers convenience, we close this section with a review of the content of the paper. In Section 2, we review the theory of building-block operators from [J13] and [FG12]. Section 3 contains the proof of the basic conformal variational formula for the trace of the heat kernel of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ (Theorem 1.2). In Section 4, we recall Gilkey's pseudo-differential algorithm which yields the asymptotic expansion of the trace of the heat kernel. In Section 5, we describe the effect of isolating the contribution (1.24) on the right-hand side of the variational formula in Theorem 1.2. Section 6 then contains the heat equation proof of Theorem 1.3. The discussion of the sub-leading heat kernel coefficient $a_2(r;g)$ starts in Section 7. Here we prove Theorem 1.5. In Section 8, we determine a local conformal primitive of the correction term for $a_2(r;g)$ found in Theorem 1.5. We combine these results in Section 9 to complete the proof of Theorem 1.4. Section 10 contains the details of the derivation of the closed formula for $a_2(r;g)$ given in Theorem 1.8. In Section 11 we return to the discussion of the structure of the coefficients $a_{2k}(r)$. Here the main idea is to regard $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ as a Laplace-type operator with respect to the metric $g(r)$ and to combine this with structural results for Laplace-type operators. This yields a proof of Theorem 1.7 and a second proof of Theorem 1.8. Section 12 is devoted to the study of extremal properties of various curvature integrals arising from heat kernel coefficients. The first set of such integrals are defined by the Taylor coefficients of $a_0(r)$. These are given by the renormalized volume coefficients v_{2k} and we review and reprove corresponding results of [CFG12]. The remainder of this section contains a proof of Theorem 1.9. In Section 13, we collect various additional results and indicate some open problems. In particular, in Section 13.2 we introduce the two-parameter spectral zeta function (1.28) and prove the variational formula (1.29), and in Section 13.3 we outline a heat equation proof of the conformal transformation rule of the non-integrated renormalized volume coefficients. The final section of the paper is a long appendix which contains background information and complementary material. In particular, we display the first few terms in the expansion of the holographic Laplacian, and discuss in detail the heat kernel coefficients a_2, a_4 and a_6 of the conformal Laplacian. In this connection, we give a new derivation of an explicit formula for a_6 (for locally conformally flat metrics) which does not depend on Gilkey's formula for a_6, and provide a direct proof of the relation between the local conformal invariant a_6 in dimension $n = 8$ and the formal heat kernel coefficient $\tilde{a}_6$ of the ambient Laplacian $\tilde{\Delta}$. In addition, we derive a Polyakov-type formula for the renormalized volume $\mathcal{V}(g_+;g)$ of a Poincaré-Einstein metric g_+ (Theorem 14.1). For even dimensional conformal infinity, this result expresses the behaviour of the renormalized volume under conformal changes of the metric g in terms of a Q-curvature term and some holographic correction terms. The formula is a consequence of the results in [GJ07] and [J11] and should be regarded as an analog of Branson's (conjectural) Polyakov-type formula for the determinant of P_2 with

an analogous main part in terms of the critical Q-curvature Q_n. Finally, we determine the coefficient $a_{(4,2)}$ of r^2 in the expansion of $a_4(r)$ in terms of Riemannian invariants of g (Proposition 14.13). For conformally flat metrics, the results in Lemma 14.9, Lemma 11.2 and (10.20) actually allow to derive a formula for the full expansion of $a_4(r)$ in terms of P (at least in principle). Moreover, we apply these results to the study of the Hessian of the integral of $a_{(4,2)}$ in the critical dimension $n = 6$.

The present paper touches a large variety of topics like heat kernels, spectral theory, conformal differential geometry, AdS/CFT duality. Each of these has its own huge literature. We minimize the number of references by citing only items of immediate relevance.

Some of the results of this paper were presented at the conference "The Interaction of Geometry and Representation Theory" at ESI (Vienna) in 2012, during the program "Conformal Geometry and Geometric PDE's" at CRM (Barcelona) in 2013, at the Winter School "Geometry and Physics" 2014 (Srni) and at the conference "Lorentzian and Conformal Geometry" (Greifswald) in 2014. Finally, I am grateful to A. Tseytlin for pointing out the reference [FT82].

2. GJMS-operators and the holographic Laplacian

In the present section, we describe the relation between the holographic Laplacian $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ and the GJMS-operators $P_{2N}(g)$. The following material is based on [J13] and [FG12].

We start with the definition of the concepts involved. For a given Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$, a Poincaré-Einstein metric g_+ in normal form relative to g is a metric g_+ on $M \times (0, \varepsilon)$ of the form

$$g_+ = r^{-2}(dr^2 + g(r))$$

with a smooth one-parameter family $g(r)$ of metrics on M so that $g(0) = g$, and for which $\mathrm{Ric}(g_+) + ng_+$ vanishes on M in the following asymptotic sense. We require that $\mathrm{Ric}(g_+) + ng_+ = O(r^\infty)$ if n is odd and $\mathrm{Ric}(g_+) + ng_+ = O(r^{n-2})$ and the tangential trace of $r^{2-n}(\mathrm{Ric}(g_+) + ng_+)$ vanishes at $r = 0$ if n is even.

The Poincaré-Einstein metric g_+ associated to g is closely related to an ambient metric associated to g. In normal form, this is the metric

$$\tilde{g} = 2\rho dt^2 + 2tdtd\rho + t^2\mathbf{g}(\rho)$$

on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times M \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ with $\mathbf{g}(-r^2/2) = g(r)$.

As explained in Section 1, $g(r)$ has a uniquely determined formal *even* power series for odd n and a uniquely determined *even* power series up to r^{n-2} for even n. In the latter case, also the trace of the coefficient of r^n is determined by g. For general metrics, the Taylor series of $g(r)$ starts with

$$g(r) = g - r^2\mathsf{P} + r^4/4(\mathsf{P}^2 - \mathcal{B}/(n-4)) + \cdots, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{B}$ is the Bach tensor of g (Chapter 3 of [FG12] or Section 6.9 of [J09a]). This formula also shows that in dimension 4 the obstruction tensor is given by $\mathcal{B}$.

For some exceptional classes of metrics g, there are closed formulas for $g(r)$. For instance, for a locally conformally flat metric g, we have

$$g(r) = g - r^2\mathsf{P} + r^4/4\mathsf{P}^2.$$

The same formula holds true for an Einstein metric g. In that case, it further simplifies to

$$g(r) = (1-\lambda r^2)^2 g, \quad \lambda = \frac{\mathrm{scal}(g)}{4n(n-1)}.$$

For the corresponding details we refer to Chapter 7 of [FG12] or Section 6.14 and Section 6.16 in [J09a]. Now we define

$$\mathbf{v}(\rho) = \sqrt{\det \mathbf{g}(\rho)}/\sqrt{\det \mathbf{g}(0)} \quad \text{and} \quad v(r) = \sqrt{\det g(r)}/\sqrt{\det g(0)}.$$

Then $\mathbf{v}(-r^2/2) = v(r)$. The power series coefficients v_{2k} of $v(r)$ are the renormalized volume (or holographic) coefficients [G00]. We also set

$$\mathbf{w}(\rho) = \sqrt{\mathbf{v}(\rho)} \quad \text{and} \quad w(r) = \sqrt{v(r)}.$$

Let w_{2k} be the power series coefficients of $w(r)$.

The original definition of the GJMS-operators P_{2N} [GJMS92] defines these operators through the composition

$$P_{2N}(u) = \tilde{\Delta}^N (t^{N-\frac{n}{2}} \tilde{u})|_{\rho=0,t=1}, \ u \in C^\infty(M). \tag{2.2}$$

Here $\tilde{\Delta}$ denotes the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the ambient metric $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{u} \in C^\infty(M \times (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon))$ is an arbitrary extension of u: $\tilde{u}(x,0) = u(x)$. That construction is well-defined (for general metrics) under the assumption $2N \leq n/2$ for even n and $N \geq 1$ for odd n. Moreover, it is well-defined for all $N \geq 1$ for locally conformally flat metrics and conformally Einstein metrics in all dimensions $n \geq 3$.

Next, we recall the definition of the building-block operators $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ in terms of GJMS-operators.

For this purpose, we shall use the following combinatorial conventions. A sequence $I = (I_1, \ldots, I_r)$ of natural numbers $I_j \geq 1$ will be regarded as a composition of the natural number $|I| = I_1 + I_2 + \cdots + I_r$. In other words, compositions are partitions in which the order of the summands is considered. $|I|$ is called the size of I. To any composition $I = (I_1, \ldots, I_r)$, we associate the multiplicities

$$m_I = -(-1)^r |I|! \, (|I|-1)! \prod_{j=1}^{r} \frac{1}{I_j! \, (I_j-1)!} \prod_{j=1}^{r-1} \frac{1}{I_j + I_{j+1}} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$n_I = \prod_{j=1}^{r} \binom{\sum_{k \leq j} I_k - 1}{I_j - 1} \binom{\sum_{k \geq j} I_k - 1}{I_j - 1}.$$

Note that $m_{(N)} = n_{(N)} = 1$ for all $N \geq 1$ and $n_{(1,\ldots,1)} = 1$.

Now, for any composition $I = (I_1, \ldots, I_r)$, we set $P_{2I} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} P_{2I_1} \circ \cdots \circ P_{2I_r}$. Then we define

$$\mathcal{M}_{2N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{|I|=N} m_I P_{2I} = P_{2N} + \text{compositions of lower-order GJMS-operators.} \tag{2.4}$$

Note that $\mathcal{M}_2 = P_2$. By an inversion formula (Theorem 2.1 in [J13]), these definitions are equivalent to the basic representation formulas

$$P_{2N} = \sum_{|I|=N} n_I \mathcal{M}_{2I} = \mathcal{M}_2^N + \text{compositions of} < N \text{ building-block operators} \tag{2.5}$$

for GJMS-operators in terms of building-block operators. Here we use the convention $\mathcal{M}_{2I} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{M}_{2I_1} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{M}_{2I_r}$. In these constructions, the integer N is subject to the usual condition $2N \leq n$ for even n.

The following result provides a formula for the building-block operators.

Theorem 2.1 ([J13])**.** *The generating function*

$$\sum_{N \geq 1} \mathcal{M}_{2N}(g) \frac{1}{(N-1)!^2} \left(\frac{r^2}{4} \right)^{N-1} \tag{2.6}$$

of the building-block operators coincides with the operator $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$.

The relation (2.6) is to be interpreted as an equality of formal power series (up to r^{n-2} for even n). Theorem 2.1 and (2.4) show that, by comparing coefficients of power series in the equality of (2.6) and $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$, one obtains formulas for all GJMS-operators P_{2N} in terms of the Taylor coefficients of $g(r)$ and $v(r)$.

The proof of Theorem 2.1 in [J13] rests on the theory of residue families (as introduced in [J09a]).

In [FG12], Fefferman and Graham gave an alternative proof of these formulas for the operators P_{2N}. The idea of that proof is the following. Instead of appealing to the inversion formula of [J13], *define* the operators $\mathcal{M}_{2N}(g)$ by the identity

$$\mathcal{H}(r;g) = \sum_{N \geq 1} \mathcal{M}_{2N}(g) \frac{1}{(N-1)!^2} \left(\frac{r^2}{4} \right)^{N-1}$$

(with $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ given by (1.7) and (1.8)). The starting point of the proof is a formula which relates a conjugate of the Laplacian of the ambient metric of g to $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$. In fact, let

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{w}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathbf{w} \circ \tilde{\Delta} \circ \mathbf{w}^{-1}.$$

Then a calculation shows that, for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{w}}(t^\lambda \tilde{u}) = t^{\lambda-2} \left(-2\rho(\partial/\partial\rho)^2 + (2\lambda+n-2)\partial/\partial\rho + \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\rho) \right) \tilde{u}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(-r^2/2) = \mathcal{H}(r)$. Now the defining equation (2.2) is easily seen to be equivalent to

$$P_{2N}(u) = \tilde{\Delta}_{\mathbf{w}}^N (t^{N-\frac{n}{2}} \tilde{u})|_{\rho=0,t=1}. \tag{2.8}$$

The evaluation of (2.8) then yields a formula for P_{2N} in terms of the power series coefficients of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}(\rho)$, and it turns out that the results of both methods coincide.

Note that there is an analog of the conjugation formula (2.7) for the Laplacian of the Poincaré-Einstein metric.

Proposition 2.1. *For $u \in C^\infty(M \times (0,\varepsilon))$, we have*

$$w\Delta_{g_+}(w^{-1}u) = r^2\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial r^2} - (n-1)r\frac{\partial u}{\partial r} + r^2\mathcal{H}(r;g)u. \tag{2.9}$$

The relation (2.9) shows that the holographic Laplacian $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ is given by the *tangential part* of the conjugation of the Laplacian Δ_{g_+} by $w(r)$.

An important effect of the conjugation by $\mathbf{w}$ (in (2.7)) and w (in (2.9)) is that the coefficients of the respective first-order partial derivative with respect to ρ and r are constant on M.[11]

Finally, we briefly discuss Branson's Q-curvatures [B95]. First of all, we recall that the Q-curvatures arise through the zeroth order terms of the GJMS-operators. More precisely, for even n and $2N < n$, the local Riemannian invariant Q_{2N} is defined by

$$P_{2N}(1) = \Big(\frac{n}{2} - N\Big)\,(-1)^N Q_{2N}.$$

Similarly, Q_{2N} is defined for odd n and all $N \geq 1$. In even dimension n, the *critical* Q-curvature Q_n is defined by an argument of analytic continuation in n. The functional $g \mapsto Q_{2N}(g)$ is a local Riemannian invariant of weight $2N$. Q-curvatures (of low-order) play an important role in geometric analysis. Among the important properties of Q-curvature, we emphasize that, in even dimension n, the critical Q-curvature Q_n satisfies the conformal transformation law

$$e^{n\varphi}Q_n(e^{2\varphi}g) = Q_n(g) + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}P_n(g)(\varphi).$$

It is this result which implies that the total integral of Q_n on closed manifolds is a global conformal invariant. Note that the first two Q-curvatures (in general dimension) are given by $Q_2 = \mathsf{J}$ and $Q_4 = \frac{n}{2}\mathsf{J}^2 - 2|\mathsf{P}|^2 - \Delta\mathsf{J}$ (see (1.6)). For $N \geq 3$, Q_{2N} is much more complicated, and the following recent result on its recursive structure [J14] may be used to derive explicit formulas in terms of g. For $N \geq 1$ and $2N \leq n$ (if n is even), we have

$$(-1)^N Q_{2N} = -\sum_{|I|+a=N,\,a<N} m_{(I,a)}(-1)^a P_{2I}(Q_{2a}) + N!(N-1)!2^{2N}w_{2N} \tag{2.10}$$

where for any composition $I = (I_1,\dots,I_r)$ and $a \in \mathbb{N}$, the notation (I,a) means the composition $(I_1,\dots,I_r,a)$. An alternative proof of this formula was given in [FG12]. We recall that the contributions w_{2N} are the power series coefficients of the square-root $w(r)$ of $v(r)$. For more details on Q-curvatures we refer to [J09a], [GJ07] and [J11].

3. Conformal variation

In the present section we prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2. The proof of Theorem 1.1 rests on the identification of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ with the generating function (2.6) (Theorem 2.1) and a formula for the conformal variation of the building-block operators $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ found in [J09b]. We first recall the formulation and the proof of that result.

For $N \geq 2$, the operators $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ are not conformally covariant. However, the conformal variation of any building-block operator $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ is given by an expression in terms of respective lower-order building-block operators.

[11] The role of the square-root of $v(r)$ resembles the role of the square-root of the determinant $j(x)$ of the Jacobian of the exponential map in the theory of the heat equation (see Chapter 2 of [BGV92]).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $N \geq 1$ for n odd and $2N \leq n$ for n even. Then, for all metrics and all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$,*[12]

$$(d/dt)|_{t=0}\big(e^{(\frac{n}{2}+N)t\varphi}\mathcal{M}_{2N}(e^{2t\varphi}g)e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-N)t\varphi}\big) \\ = -\sum_{j=1}^{N-1}\binom{N-1}{j-1}^2(N-j)\left[\mathcal{M}_{2j}(g),[\mathcal{M}_{2N-2j}(g),\varphi]\right]. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. The assertion follows from the conformal covariance

$$e^{(\frac{n}{2}+N)\varphi}\circ P_{2N}(e^{2\varphi}g) = P_{2N}(g)\circ e^{(\frac{n}{2}-N)\varphi} \quad (3.2)$$

of the GJMS-operators. The right-hand side of (3.1) is a weighted sum of terms of the form

$$\mathcal{M}_{2j}\circ\mathcal{M}_{2N-2j}\circ\varphi - \mathcal{M}_{2j}\circ\varphi\circ\mathcal{M}_{2N-2j} - \mathcal{M}_{2N-2j}\circ\varphi\circ\mathcal{M}_{2j} + \varphi\circ\mathcal{M}_{2N-2j}\circ\mathcal{M}_{2j}.$$

By (2.4), on the left-hand side of (3.1) the term P_{2I} with $I = (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_r)$ contributes a constant multiple of

$$(N-I_1)\varphi\circ P_{2I} - (I_1+I_2)P_{2I_1}\circ\varphi\circ P_{2I_2}\cdots P_{2I_r} \\ - \cdots - (I_{r-1}+I_r)P_{2I_1}\cdots P_{2I_{r-1}}\circ\varphi\circ P_{2I_r} + (N-I_r)P_{2I}\circ\varphi. \quad (3.3)$$

For the term $P_{2I}\circ\varphi$, the claim is

$$-(N-I_r)m_I = \binom{N-1}{I_1-1}^2(N-I_1)\, m_{(I_1)}m_{(I_2,\dots,I_r)} \\ + \binom{N-1}{I_1+I_2-1}^2(N-I_1-I_2)\, m_{(I_1,I_2)}m_{(I_3,\dots,I_r)} \\ + \cdots + \binom{N-1}{I_1+I_2+\cdots+I_{r-1}-1}^2(N-I_1-I_2-\cdots-I_{r-1})\, m_{(I_1,I_2,\dots,I_{r-1})}m_{(I_r)}. \quad (3.4)$$

In order to prove this identity, we use the explicit formula for the coefficients m_I (see (2.3)) to write the terms in the sum as multiples of m_I. We find

$$-\frac{1}{N}\Big[I_1(I_1+I_2) + (I_1+I_2)(I_2+I_3) + (I_1+I_2+I_3)(I_3+I_4) \\ + \cdots + (I_1+I_2+\cdots+I_{r-1})(I_{r-1}+I_r)\Big]m_I. \quad (3.5)$$

Now the relation

$$I_1(I_1+I_2) + \cdots + (I_1+I_2+\cdots+I_{r-1})(I_{r-1}+I_r) = (I_1+\cdots+I_r)(I_1+\cdots+I_{r-1})$$

(which easily follows by induction) implies that in (3.5) the sum in brackets equals $N(N-I_r)$ if $|I| = N$. Thus, (3.5) equals $-(N-I_r)m_I$. This proves the assertion.

Next, for the term $\varphi\circ P_{2I}$ with $|I| = N$, the claim is

$$-(N-I_1)m_I = \binom{N-1}{I_2+\cdots+I_r-1}^2(N-I_2-\cdots-I_r)\, m_{(I_1)}m_{(I_2,I_2,\dots,I_r)}$$

[12]For $N = 1$, the right-hand side of (3.1) is defined to be 0.

$$+\cdots+\binom{N-1}{I_r-1}^2(N-I_r)\,m_{(I_1,\dots,I_{r-1})}m_{(I_r)}.$$

This identity follows by applying (3.4) to the inverse composition I^{-1} of I and using the relations $m_{I^{-1}}=m_I$ for all compositions I.

It remains to prove the corresponding identities for the coefficients of the terms

$$P_{2I_1}\cdots P_{2I_a}\circ\varphi\circ P_{2I_{a+1}}\dots P_{2I_r}.$$

In that case, the claim is

$$\begin{aligned}-(I_a+I_{a+1})m_I=\Big[&\binom{N-1}{I_1+\cdots+I_a-1}^2(N-I_1-\cdots-I_a)\\&+\binom{N-1}{I_{a+1}+\cdots+I_r-1}^2(N-I_{a+1}-\cdots-I_r)\Big]m_{(I_1,\dots,I_a)}m_{(I_{a+1},\dots,I_r)}.\end{aligned}$$

By (2.3), the right-hand side reduces to

$$-\frac{1}{N}(I_a+I_{a+1})[(I_1+\cdots+I_a)+(N-I_1-\cdots-I_a)]m_I,$$

i.e., to $-(I_a+I_{a+1)})m_I$. This completes the proof. □

For locally conformally flat metrics, Theorem 3.1 extends to even n and all $N\geq 1$.

We continue with the **proof of Theorem 1.1**.

We rewrite (3.1) as

$$(d/dt)|_{t=0}\big(e^{(\frac{n}{2}+N)t\varphi}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2N}(e^{2t\varphi}g)e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-N)t\varphi}\big)=-\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\frac{1}{a}\Big[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2N-2a}(g),[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2a}(g),\varphi]\Big]\quad(3.6)$$

with

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2N}\stackrel{\text{def}}{=}\frac{\mathcal{M}_{2N}}{(N-1)!^2}.$$

We recall that for $N=1$ the sum on the right-hand side of (3.6) is to be understood as 0 (by definition). Now we combine the relation

$$\begin{aligned}&(d/dt)|_{t=0}\left(e^{(\frac{n}{2}+1)t\varphi}\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2t\varphi}g)e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-1)t\varphi}\right)\\&=(d/dt)|_{t=0}\left(\sum_{N\geq1}e^{-(N-1)t\varphi}\left(e^{(\frac{n}{2}+N)t\varphi}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2N}(e^{2t\varphi}g)e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-N)t\varphi}\right)e^{-(N-1)t\varphi}\left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^{N-1}\right)\end{aligned}$$

with (3.6) and find

$$\begin{aligned}&(d/dt)|_{t=0}\left(e^{(\frac{n}{2}+1)t\varphi}\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2t\varphi}g)e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-1)t\varphi}\right)\\&\qquad=\sum_{N\geq1}\Big(-(N-1)\varphi\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2N}(g)-(N-1)\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2N}(g)\varphi\Big)\left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^{N-1}\\&\qquad\qquad-\sum_{N\geq1}\left(\sum_{a=1}^{N-1}\frac{1}{a}\Big[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2N-2a}(g),[\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2a}(g),\varphi]\Big]\right)\left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^{N-1}.\quad(3.7)\end{aligned}$$

But the sums on the right-hand side of (3.7) can be rewritten as

$$-\frac{1}{2}r(\partial/\partial r)(\varphi\mathcal{H}(r;g)+\mathcal{H}(r;g)\varphi)-[\mathcal{H}(r;g),[\mathcal{K}(r;g),\varphi]]$$

with

$$\mathcal{K}(r;g)=\sum_{N\geq 1}\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{2N}(g)\frac{1}{N}\left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^N=\sum_{N\geq 1}\mathcal{M}_{2N}(g)\frac{1}{N!(N-1)!}\left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^N.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

We recall that, for even n, Theorem 1.1 asserts the equality of two power series in r up to r^{n-2}. This interpretation also applies to the above arguments.

The above proof shows that the first term on the right-hand side of (1.13) is caused by the differences of the conformal weights in the respective variational formulas of the operators $\mathcal{M}_{2N}$ and $\mathcal{H}(r)$.

We finish the discussion of Theorem 1.1 with an **independent proof** in the special case of conformal changes of the round metric on the spheres $\mathbb{S}^n$ which arise from the conformal action of the group $G=SO(1,n+1)$. We recall that G acts on the round metric g_0 by conformal diffeomorphisms, i.e., we have

$$\gamma_*(g_0)=e^{2\varphi_\gamma}g_0 \tag{3.8}$$

for all $\gamma\in G$ and certain functions $\varphi_\gamma\in C^\infty(M)$. In particular, we obtain $\gamma_*(dv)=e^{n\varphi_\gamma}dv$ for the volume form dv of the round metric. For any $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}$, the map

$$\pi_\lambda:\gamma\mapsto\left(\frac{\gamma_*(dv)}{dv}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{n}}\gamma_*(u)=e^{\lambda\varphi_\gamma}\gamma_*(u)$$

defines a principal series representation of G on $C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^n)$. Using

$$P_2(e^{2\varphi_\gamma}g_0)=P_2(\gamma_*(g_0))=\gamma_*P_2(g_0)\gamma^*,$$

the conformal covariance

$$e^{(\frac{n}{2}+1)\varphi}\circ P_2(e^{2\varphi}g)=P_2(g)\circ e^{(\frac{n}{2}-1)\varphi},\ \varphi\in C^\infty(M^n)$$

of the conformal Laplacian P_2 implies the intertwining relation

$$\pi_{\frac{n}{2}+1}(\gamma)\circ P_2(g_0)=P_2(g_0)\circ\pi_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\gamma),\ \gamma\in G \tag{3.9}$$

for $P_2(g_0)$ on $\mathbb{S}^n$. For more details see [J09a]. We also recall that

$$\mathcal{H}(r;g_0)=(1-r^2/4)^{-2}P_2(g_0) \tag{3.10}$$

(see [J13]). Now let $\gamma_t\in G$ be a one-parameter family with $\gamma_0=e$ and let $e^{2\varphi_t}$ be the corresponding conformal factor. By (3.9), the variation

$$(d/dt)|_{t=0}(\pi_{\frac{n}{2}+1}(\gamma_t)P_2(g_0)\pi_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\gamma_t^{-1}))$$

vanishes. Hence, using (3.10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(d/dt)|_0(e^{(\frac{n}{2}+1)t\psi}\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2t\psi}g_0)e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-1)t\psi})\\
&=(d/dt)|_0(e^{(\frac{n}{2}+1)\varphi_t}(\gamma_t)_*\mathcal{H}(r;g_0)\gamma_t^*e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-1)\varphi_t})\\
&=(1-r^2/4)^{-2}(d/dt)|_0(e^{(\frac{n}{2}+1)\varphi_t}(\gamma_t)_*P_2(g_0)\gamma_t^*e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-1)\varphi_t})\\
&=(1-r^2/4)^{-2}(d/dt)|_0(\pi_{\frac{n}{2}+1}(\gamma_t)P_2(g_0)\pi_{\frac{n}{2}-1}(\gamma_t^{-1}))
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 0$$

for $\psi = (d/dt)|_{t=0}(\varphi_t)$. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 states that the sum

$$-\frac{1}{2}(r\partial/\partial r)((1-r^2/4)^{-2})(\psi P_2(g_0) + P_2(g_0)\psi) \\ - (1-r^2/4)^{-2}\int_0^r s(1-s^2/4)^{-2}ds\ [P_2(g_0),[P_2(g_0),\psi]]$$

vanishes, too. A calculation shows that the latter sum coincides with the product of

$$-\frac{r^2}{4}(1-r^2/4)^{-3}$$

and the operator

$$2(\psi P_2(g_0) + P_2(g_0)\psi) + [P_2(g_0),[P_2(g_0),\psi]]. \tag{3.11}$$

In order to verify the vanishing of (3.11), we note that the operator $\mathcal{M}_4 = P_4 - P_2^2$ satisfies the conformal variational formula

$$(d/dt)|_{t=0}(e^{(\frac{n}{2}+1)t\varphi}\mathcal{M}_4(e^{2t\varphi}g)e^{-(\frac{n}{2}-1)t\varphi}) = -(\varphi\mathcal{M}_4(g) + \mathcal{M}_4(g)\varphi) - [P_2(g),[P_2(g),\varphi]]$$

for all metrics g and all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$.[13] We consider this relation for the round sphere and conformal changes which are induced by the action of one-parameter groups $\gamma_t \in G$ with $\gamma_0 = e$. Using $\mathcal{M}_4(g_0) = 2P_2(g_0)$,[14] we find

$$0 = -2(\psi P_2(g_0) + P_2(g_0)\psi) - [P_2(g_0),[P_2(g_0),\psi]].$$

This proves the vanishing of (3.11) and henceforth confirms Theorem 1.1 in this special case.[15]

Now we are ready to complete the **proof of Theorem 1.2**. A generalization of a formula of Ray and Singer (see Proposition 3.5 in [BO86] or Corollary 2.50 in [BGV92]) shows that

$$(\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0}(\mathrm{Tr}(\exp(t\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g)))) = t\,\mathrm{Tr}((\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0}(\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g))\exp(t\mathcal{H}(r;g))).$$

Now Theorem 1.1 implies that

$$(\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0}\left(\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g)\right) + \left(\frac{n}{2}+1\right)\varphi\mathcal{H}(r;g) - \left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)\mathcal{H}(r;g)\varphi \\ = -r\frac{1}{2}(\varphi\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g) + \dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g)\varphi) - [\mathcal{H}(r;g),[\mathcal{K}(r;g),\varphi]]. \tag{3.12}$$

Hence we obtain

$$(\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0}(\mathrm{Tr}(\exp(t\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g)))) \\ = t\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left[-2\varphi\mathcal{H}(r;g) - r\frac{1}{2}(\varphi\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g) + \dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g)\varphi)\right]e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}\right) \\ + t\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(\left[\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)[\mathcal{H}(r;g),\varphi] - [\mathcal{H}(r;g),[\mathcal{K}(r;g),\varphi]]\right]e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}\right). \tag{3.13}$$

[13] This is a consequence of the special case $N = 2$ of Theorem 3.1.

[14] This formula for $\mathcal{M}_4(g_0)$ is a consequence of the product formula $P_4(g_0) = P_2(g_0)(P_2(g_0)+2)$.

[15] A more direct proof of the vanishing of (3.11) follows from the fact that ψ is an eigenfunction of Δ for the smallest non-zero eigenvalue: $\Delta\psi = -n\psi$ and the relation $n\,\mathrm{Hess}(\psi) = g\Delta\psi$ [O62].

By the cyclicity of the trace, the terms which involve the commutators with $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ vanish. Therefore, the right-hand side of (3.13) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} &-2t\,\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi\mathcal{H}(r;g)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}) - rt\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}((\varphi\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g)+\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g)\varphi)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}) \\ &\qquad = -2t(\partial/\partial t)(\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)})) - rt\frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{Tr}((\varphi\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g)+\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g)\varphi)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Remark 3.1. *For even n, the arguments of the above proof are to be interpreted in the following sense. In this case, Theorem 1.1 yields an equality of power series up to r^{n-2}. It implies the equality of the corresponding finite Taylor series of both sides of* (3.12)*. In turn, this shows the equality of the finite Taylor expansions up to r^{n-2} of both sides of* (3.13)*. The latter argument rests on the repeated application of the obvious identity $T_N(p\circ q) = T_N(T_N(p)\circ q)$ for the finite Taylor series $T_N(\cdot)$ up to r^N of smooth one-parameter families of operators.*

The operator $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ is defined through a Poincaré-Einstein metric g_+ associated to g. The Poincaré-Einstein metrics g_+ and $\hat{g}_+$ of the conformally equivalent metrics g and $\hat{g} = e^{2\varphi}g$ are related by

$$\hat{g}_+ = \kappa^*(g_+)$$

with a diffeomorphism κ of a neighbourhood $[0,\varepsilon)\times M$ of M which restricts to the identity for $r=0$. It follows that the left-hand side of (1.14) can be expressed in terms of g_+ and κ. However, from that perspective, it is surprising that this yields a result as stated in Theorem 1.2.

4. Asymptotic expansions

For small r, the operator $\mathcal{H}(r)$ is a self-adjoint elliptic differential operator. By the compactness of M, it has a discrete spectrum consisting of real eigenvalues λ_k of finite multiplicity. Moreover, we find a constant $\varepsilon > 0$ so that for $r\in[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$, the spectrum of $-\mathcal{H}(r)$ is uniformly bounded below by a constant L. We choose some open cone $C\subset\mathbb{C}$ of small slope about the ray

$$\{x\in\mathbb{R}\,|\,x\geq\min(L,0)\}.$$

The cone C contains the spectrum of $-\mathcal{H}(r)$ for $|r|\leq\varepsilon$. Let Γ be the boundary of C and Ω its complement. We orient Γ so that the spectrum of $-\mathcal{H}(r)$ is on the right-hand side.

The analytic arguments rest on a theory of pseudo-differential operators depending on parameters.

Definition 4.1. *For $m\in\mathbb{R}$, we let $S^m(U)$ be the space of symbols $p_m(x,\xi,\lambda;r)$ with $(x,\xi)\in T^*(U)$, $U\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ open, $\lambda\in\Omega$ and $r\in[-\varepsilon,\varepsilon]$ depending on the parameters λ and r so that*

(i) *$p_m(x,\xi,\lambda;r)$ is smooth in the variables (x,ξ,r),*
(ii) *$p_m(x,\xi,\lambda;r)$ is holomorphic in $\lambda\in\Omega$,*

(iii) *for all multi-indices α, β and γ, δ, there is a constant $c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}$ so that*

$$|\partial_x^\alpha \partial_\xi^\beta \partial_\lambda^\gamma \partial_r^\delta p_m(x,\xi,\lambda;r)| \le c_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}(1+|\xi|^2+|\lambda|)^{\frac{m-|\beta|}{2}-\gamma}.$$

We call a symbol $p_m \in S^m$ *homogeneous* of order $m \in \mathbb{R}$ if

$$p_m(x, s\xi, s^2\lambda; r) = s^m p_m(x,\xi,\lambda;r),\ s \ge 1.$$

Lemma 4.1. *If $p_m \in S^m$ is homogeneous of order m, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_\Gamma p_m(x,\xi,\lambda;r)e^{-t\lambda}d\lambda d\xi = t^{-\frac{n+m}{2}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_\Gamma p_m(x,\xi,\lambda;r)e^{-\lambda}d\lambda d\xi \tag{4.1}$$

for small t.

Proof. For $0 < t < 1$, the curve $t^{-1}\Gamma$ is contained in the complement of the cone C. By Cauchy's theorem, the integral on the left-hand side of (4.1) equals

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{t^{-1}\Gamma} p_m(x,\xi,\lambda;r)e^{-t\lambda}d\lambda d\xi.$$

Now we replace ξ by $t^{-1/2}\xi$ and λ by $t^{-1}\lambda$. Then the integral equals

$$t^{-\frac{n}{2}-1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_\Gamma p_m(x,t^{-1/2}\xi,t^{-1}\lambda;r)e^{-\lambda}d\lambda d\xi.$$

Therefore, the assertion follows from the homogeneity

$$p_m(x, s\xi, s^2\lambda; r) = s^m p_m(x,\xi,\lambda;r),\ s \ge 1.$$

The proof is complete. □

Note that for $m = -4$, the exponent of t on the right-hand side of (4.1) is $-\frac{n}{2}+1$. That case will be relevant in Section 5.

Now Theorem 3.3 of [BO86] implies

Theorem 4.1. *Let $K(x,y,t;r)$ be the kernel of $e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}$, i.e.,*

$$e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}(u)(x) = \int_M K(x,y,t;r)u(y)dv,\ u \in C^\infty(M).$$

Then $K(x,x,t;r)$ has an asymptotic expansion

$$K(x,x,t;r) \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{j\ge 0} t^j a_{2j}(x;r),\ t \to 0 \tag{4.2}$$

with $a_{2j}(x;r) \in C^\infty(M \times (-\varepsilon,\varepsilon))$. In particular,

$$\mathrm{Tr}(e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}) \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{j\ge 0} t^j \int_M a_{2j}(x;r)dv, \tag{4.3}$$

where the trace is taken in $L^2(M,g)$. The coefficients $a_{2j}(x;r)$ are polynomials in the jets of the symbol of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$. Moreover, the left-hand side of (4.3) is smooth in r and its asymptotic expansion can be differentiated with respect to r term by term.

In order to simplify the notation, we suppressed the dependence of $K(x,y,t;r)$ and $a_{2j}(x;r)$ on the metric. We shall use this convention throughout.

Proof. It suffices to apply the general results of [BO86]. For more details we refer to [BO86]. The proof of Theorem 3.3 in [BO86] is a refined version of Gilkey's proof in [G84] which establishes the uniformity of the arguments in an external parameter. Here we only recall the main ideas and the resulting algorithm for the calculation of the heat kernel coefficients. The operator $e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}$ is an operator with smooth kernel. One can approximate that kernel arbitrarily well by the smooth kernel of a pseudo-differential operator so that the asymptotic expansions of the restriction of both kernels to the diagonal have the same coefficients. We choose local coordinates and write the symbol of the operator $-\mathcal{H}(r)$ as

$$\sigma(-\mathcal{H}(r)) = p_2(r) + p_1(r) + p_0(r)$$

with homogeneous $p_j(r)$. Then we define

$$r_{-2}(x,\xi,\lambda;r) = (p_2(x,\xi;r) - \lambda)^{-1} = (\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi) - \lambda)^{-1}$$

and

$$r_{-2-j} = -r_{-2}\left(\sum_{\substack{a>-2-j \\ a+b-|\alpha|=-j}} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha(p_b) D_x^\alpha(r_a) \right)$$

for $j \geq 1$. Here $D_x = i^{-1}\partial_x$. Then $r_{-2-j} \in S^{-2-j}$ is homogeneous of order $-2-j$. The definitions have the consequence that the pseudo-differential operator $\mathcal{R}_N(\lambda;r)$ with symbol $r_{-2}(\lambda;r) + \cdots + r_{-2-N}(\lambda;r)$ satisfies

$$\sigma((-\mathcal{H}(r) - \lambda)\mathcal{R}_N(\lambda;r) - 1) \in S^{-N-1}.$$

The operators $\mathcal{R}_N(\lambda;r)$ serve as approximations of the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\lambda;r)$ of $-\mathcal{H}(r)$. The operators

$$E_N(t;r) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \mathcal{R}_N(\lambda;r) e^{-\lambda t} d\lambda$$

have smooth kernels $K_N(x,y,t;r)$ in the sense that

$$E_N(t;r)(u)(x) = \int K_N(x,y,t;r) u(y) dy$$

and their restriction to the diagonal are given by

$$K_N(x,x,t;r) = (2\pi)^{-n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_\Gamma (r_{-2} + \cdots + r_{-2-N})(x,\xi,\lambda;r) e^{-\lambda t} d\lambda d\xi.$$

Lemma 4.1 shows that

$$K_N(x,x,t;r) = \sum_{j=0}^{N} t^{-\frac{n-j}{2}} a_j(x;r) \sqrt{\det g}$$

with

$$a_j(x;r)\sqrt{\det g} = (2\pi)^{-n} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_\Gamma r_{-2-j}(x,\xi,\lambda;r) e^{-\lambda} d\lambda d\xi. \tag{4.4}$$

For odd j, the integrals in (4.4) vanish. This implies the existence of the desired asymptotic expansion. □

The latter proof also yields an algorithm for the calculation of the heat kernel coefficients.

Corollary 4.1. *The coefficients $a_{2j}(x;r)$ in (4.3) are given by the formula*

$$\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} a_{2j}(x;r)\sqrt{\det g} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\Gamma} r_{-2-2j}(x,\xi,\lambda;r)e^{-\lambda}d\lambda d\xi. \tag{4.5}$$

Now the power series expansions

$$a_{2j}(x;r) \sim \sum_{k\geq 0} a_{(2j,2k)} r^{2k}$$

define scalar Riemannian invariants $a_{(2j,2k)} \in C^\infty(M)$.

Finally, we note that an easy calculation in polar coordinates for $\mathbb{R}^n$ shows that (4.5) can be rewritten in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} a_{2j}(x;r)\sqrt{\det g} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{S^{n-1}}\int_0^\infty t^{n-1-2j}\left(\int_\Gamma r_{-2-2j}(x,\xi,t^{-2}\lambda;r)e^{-\lambda}d\lambda\right)dtd\sigma(\xi)\\
&= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}-j\right)\frac{1}{2}\int_{S^{n-1}}\left(\int_\Gamma r_{-2-2j}(x,\xi,\lambda;r)\lambda^{j-\frac{n}{2}}d\lambda\right)d\sigma(\xi)\\
&= \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}-j\right)\frac{1}{2}\int_{S^{n-1}} r_{-n}^{(2j)}(x,\xi;r)d\sigma(\xi),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$r_{-n}^{(2j)}(x,\xi;r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma r_{-2-2j}(x,\xi,\lambda;r)\lambda^{j-\frac{n}{2}}d\lambda$$

is homogeneous of order $-n$ in ξ. These formulas are equivalent to the formulas for the residues of the spectral zeta-function at $s=\frac{n}{2}-j$ (see [S01], Theorem 13.1).

5. The double-commutator term

In the present section, we discuss the influence of the term

$$-rt\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\left((\varphi\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)+\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\varphi)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}\right) \tag{5.1}$$

in (1.14) on the leading and sub-leading heat kernel coefficients of $\mathcal{H}(r)$. By the cyclicity of the trace, the term (5.1) equals

$$-rt\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi(\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}+e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r))\right). \tag{5.2}$$

The further evaluation of this terms rest on the following result. Let $\mathcal{R}(r;\lambda)$ be the resolvent of $-\mathcal{H}(r)$.[16]

Lemma 5.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial/\partial r)(e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}) - t\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}+e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\right)&\\
= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma [\mathcal{R}(\lambda),[\mathcal{R}(\lambda),\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)]]e^{-t\lambda}d\lambda.&
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

[16]In the following, we shall suppress the dependence of the resolvent on r.

Proof. Differentiating the relation

$$(-\mathcal{H}(r)-\lambda)\circ\mathcal{R}(\lambda)=1$$

with respect to r, yields

$$-\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\circ\mathcal{R}(\lambda)+(-\mathcal{H}(r)-\lambda)\circ\dot{\mathcal{R}}(\lambda)=0,$$

i.e.,

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}(\lambda)=\mathcal{R}(\lambda)\circ\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\circ\mathcal{R}(\lambda).$$

Hence the definition

$$e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma e^{-t\lambda}\mathcal{R}(\lambda)d\lambda$$

implies

$$(\partial/\partial r)(e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)})=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma e^{-t\lambda}(\partial/\partial r)(\mathcal{R}(\lambda))d\lambda=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma e^{-t\lambda}\mathcal{R}(\lambda)\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\mathcal{R}(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

On the other hand, by partial integration, we find that

$$t\left(\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}+e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\right)$$

equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma(\partial/\partial\lambda)(e^{-t\lambda})(\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\mathcal{R}(\lambda)+\mathcal{R}(\lambda)\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r))d\lambda\\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma e^{-t\lambda}\left(\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\partial\mathcal{R}(\lambda)/\partial\lambda+\partial\mathcal{R}(\lambda)/\partial\lambda\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\right)d\lambda\\
&=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma e^{-t\lambda}(\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\mathcal{R}^2(\lambda)+\mathcal{R}^2(\lambda)\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r))d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the left-hand side of (5.3) equals

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma e^{-t\lambda}\left(\mathcal{R}(\lambda)\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\mathcal{R}(\lambda)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\mathcal{R}^2(\lambda)+\mathcal{R}^2(\lambda)\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\right)\right)d\lambda\\
&=-\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma e^{-t\lambda}[\mathcal{R}(\lambda),[\mathcal{R}(\lambda),\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)]]d\lambda.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 5.1 is an identity of operators with smooth kernels. By taking traces, it implies the relation

$$-t\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varphi(\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}+e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r))\right)=-(\partial/\partial r)(\operatorname{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}))-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}(\varphi\mathscr{C}(t;r)),\quad(5.4)$$

where

$$\mathscr{C}(t;r)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma[\mathcal{R}(\lambda),[\mathcal{R}(\lambda),\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)]]e^{-t\lambda}d\lambda.\quad(5.5)$$

$\mathscr{C}(t;r)$ is an operator with smooth kernel. Let $\mathscr{C}(x,y,t;r)$ be its kernel. Note that (5.4) for $\varphi=1$ and the cyclicity of the trace show that

$$\int_M\mathscr{C}(x,x,t;r)dv_g=0,$$

i.e., the function $x\mapsto\mathscr{C}(x,x,t;r)$ is a total divergence (see also Remark 5.1).

Now we combine the variational formula in Theorem 1.2 with (5.4) and find

$$(\mathrm{Tr}(\exp(t\mathcal{H}(r;g))))^\bullet[\varphi] = -2t(\partial/\partial t)(\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)})) \\ - r(\partial/\partial r)(\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)})) - r\frac{1}{2}\int_M \varphi(x)\mathscr{C}(x,x,t;r)dv_g.$$

By comparing coefficients in the small t expansion, we obtain the conformal variational formulas

$$\left(\int_M a_{2j}(x;r)dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-2j-r\partial/\partial r)\int_M \varphi a_{2j}(x;r)dv - r\frac{1}{2}\int_M \varphi c_{2j}(x;r)dv, \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\mathscr{C}(x,x,t;r) \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sum_{j\geq 1} t^j c_{2j}(x;r),\ t\to 0 \quad (5.7)$$

is the asymptotic expansion of the restriction of the kernel $\mathscr{C}$ to the diagonal. By the above arguments, the functions $c_{2j}(x;r)$ are total divergencies on M. Thus, by expansion in r, we have proved the following result. Let

$$c_{2j}(r) \sim \sum_{k\geq 1} c_{(2j,2k)} r^{2k-1},\ c_{(2j,2k)} \in C^\infty(M). \quad (5.8)$$

Proposition 5.1. *For closed manifolds M of even dimension n and $j = 0,\dots,n/2$, the conformal variation*

$$\left(\int_M a_{(2j,n-2j)}dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi]$$

vanishes at g iff $c_{(2j,n-2j)} = 0$ at g.

Since $\mathscr{C}$ vanishes for Einstein metrics, the latter result proves the second part of Proposition 1.1.

Moreover, a further set of metrics with vanishing $\mathscr{C}$ is provided by metrics of the form $g = g_1 + g_2$ on product spaces $M_1^p \times M_2^q$ with Einstein metrics g_i on the factors so that

$$\frac{\mathrm{scal}(g_1)}{p(p-1)} = -\frac{\mathrm{scal}(g_2)}{q(q-1)}.$$

In fact, the latter vanishing result is a consequence of (14.52).

We also emphasize that the expansion (5.7) does not contain a contribution by $t^{-\frac{n}{2}}$. In order to prove the claim, we approximate the resolvent $\mathcal{R}(\lambda)$ by a pseudo-differential operator (as in Section 4). The coefficients of the expansion are given in terms of the symbol of the resulting pseudo-differential integrand. Since the double-commutator integrand yields a pseudo-differential operator of order -4, the vanishing of c_0 follows from Lemma 4.1.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 requires to find the divergence term $c_2(x;r)$. It is given in terms of the principal symbol of the (approximation of the) double-commutator

$$[\mathcal{R}(\lambda),[\mathcal{R}(\lambda),\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)]]. \quad (5.9)$$

More precisely, we have

$$c_2(x;r) = \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\int_\Gamma \sigma_{-4}([\mathcal{R}(\lambda),[\mathcal{R}(\lambda),\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)]])(x,\xi)e^{-\lambda}d\lambda\right)d\xi/\sqrt{\det g}. \quad (5.10)$$

Here we abuse notation by identifying the resolvent with its pseudo-differential approximations.

Now we recall (see [G84] or [S01]) that the principal symbol of the commutator $[A, B]$ of two classical pseudo-differential operators A and B with respective principal symbols σ_A and σ_B is given by

$$i\left\{\sigma_A, \sigma_B\right\}.$$

Here for $f, g \in C^\infty(T^*M)$, their Poisson bracket $\{f, g\}$ is given in local coordinates by

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial \xi_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \xi_i}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}. \tag{5.11}$$

It follows that the principal symbol of the double-commutator (5.9) is given by the *negative* of the double Poisson bracket of the principal symbols of the operators involved. For its calculation we apply the following result.

Lemma 5.2. *For functions $G, H \in C^\infty(T^*M)$ so that G does not vanish on T^*M, we have*

$$\{1/G, \{1/G, H\}\} = G^{-4}\left\{G, \{G, H\}\right\}.$$

Proof. The result follows by direct calculation. For homogeneous polynomials G and H as in the assertion, the identity follows by comparing principal symbols in the operator identity

$$\left[P^{-1}, \left[P^{-1}, Q\right]\right] = P^{-2}[P, [P, Q]]P^{-2}$$

for pseudo-differential operators P and Q with the respective principal symbols H and G. □

Remark 5.1. *The operator-argument in the proof of Lemma 5.2 also yields a quick alternative proof of the fact that*

$$\operatorname{Tr} \mathscr{C}(t; r) = 0.$$

Indeed, the relation

$$[\mathcal{R}(\lambda), [\mathcal{R}(\lambda), \dot{\mathcal{H}}]] = \mathcal{R}(\lambda)^2[-\mathcal{H}-\lambda, [-\mathcal{H}-\lambda, \dot{\mathcal{H}}]]\mathcal{R}(\lambda)^2 = \mathcal{R}(\lambda)^2[\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{H}, \dot{\mathcal{H}}]]\mathcal{R}(\lambda)^2$$

implies

$$\operatorname{Tr} \mathscr{C}(t; r) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\int_\Gamma \mathcal{R}(\lambda)^4[\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{H}, \dot{\mathcal{H}}]]e^{-t\lambda}d\lambda\right).$$

By Cauchy's theorem, this integral is a multiple of

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left([\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{H}, \dot{\mathcal{H}}]]e^{t\mathcal{H}}\right) = 0.$$

Now we apply Lemma 5.2 to the symbols $G = \mathcal{H}(r)(x, \xi) - \lambda$ and $H = \dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)(x, \xi)$.

The principal symbol of the operator $[\mathcal{R}(\lambda), [\mathcal{R}(\lambda), \dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)]]$ is given by the double Poisson bracket

$$\{(\mathcal{H}(r)(x, \xi) - \lambda)^{-1}, \{(\mathcal{H}(r)(x, \xi) - \lambda)^{-1}, \dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)(x, \xi)\}\};$$

recall that the operator $-\mathcal{H}(r)$ has principal symbol $\mathcal{H}(r)(x, \xi)$. Lemma 5.2 shows that this function equals

$$(\mathcal{H}(r)(x, \xi)-\lambda)^{-4}\{\mathcal{H}(r)(x, \xi)-\lambda, \{\mathcal{H}(r)(x, \xi)-\lambda, \dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)(x, \xi)\}\}$$

$$= (\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)-\lambda)^{-4}\{\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi),\{\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi),\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)(x,\xi)\}\}.$$

Hence

$$c_2(x) = \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\int_\Gamma \frac{\{\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi),\{\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi),\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)(x,\xi)\}\}}{(\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)-\lambda)^4}e^{-\lambda}d\lambda\right)d\xi/\sqrt{\det g}.$$

By Cauchy's formula, the latter equation is equivalent to

$$c_2(x)\sqrt{\det g} = \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}}\frac{1}{3!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\{\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi),\{\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi),\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)(x,\xi)\}\}e^{-\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)}d\xi. \tag{5.12}$$

The integral in (5.12) will be calculated in Section 7.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.3

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we first determine the leading heat kernel coefficient of the holographic Laplacian.

Lemma 6.1. *The leading heat kernel coefficient of $\mathcal{H}(r)$ satisfies*

$$a_0(r) = v(r). \tag{6.1}$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.1, the leading coefficient in (4.2) is given by

$$\pi^{-\frac{n}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_\Gamma(\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)-\lambda)^{-1}e^{-\lambda}d\lambda d\xi/\sqrt{\det g}.$$

By Cauchy's formula, the latter integral equals

$$\pi^{-\frac{n}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{-\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)}d\xi/\sqrt{\det g}.$$

But for the Gaussian integral we obtain[17]

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{-\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)}d\xi = 2^{-\frac{n}{2}}(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}(\sqrt{\det g(r)^{-1}})^{-1} = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\sqrt{\det g(r)}. \tag{6.2}$$

Thus, we finally arrive at

$$a_0(r) = \sqrt{\det g(r)}/\sqrt{\det g} = v(r).$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 6.1. *The coefficient*

$$(4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\int_{M^n}v(r)dv_g$$

of $t^{-\frac{n}{2}}$ can be rewritten as the symplectic volume

$$(2\pi)^{-n}\frac{1}{2}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\int_{\mathcal{H}(r)=1}dxd\xi,$$

of the hypersurface $\{(x,\xi)\in T^*M\,|\,\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)=1\}$ in T^*M. In fact, an easy calculation in local coordinates shows that

$$2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}e^{-\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)}d\xi = \Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\int_{\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)=1}d\sigma(\xi).$$

[17] see (22) on page 15 of [Zee10]

Comparison with (6.2) *yields*

$$\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\int_{\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)=1} d\sigma(\xi) = 2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\sqrt{\det(g(r))}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2}(2\pi)^{-n}\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)\int_{\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)=1} d\sigma(\xi) = (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\sqrt{\det(g(r))}$$

and the assertion follows. This observation shows that Lemma 6.1 is a special case of the formula for the leading coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of the trace of the heat kernel for general elliptic self-adjoint operators given in Corollary 2.2' of [DG75].

Now we complete the **proof of Theorem 1.3**. By (5.6) and $c_0 = 0$, we have

$$\left(\int_M a_0(x;r)dv_g\right)^{\bullet}[\varphi] = (n - r\partial/\partial r)\int_M \varphi a_0(x;r)dv_g.$$

Thus, by expansion in r, Lemma 6.1 implies

$$\left(\int_M v_{2k}dv_g\right)^{\bullet}[\varphi] = (n-2k)\int_M \varphi v_{2k}dv_g.$$

This completes the proof. □

Some comments on the above proof of Theorem 1.3 are in order. In the mathematical literature, the variational formula (1.31) first appeared in [CF08] (see (23)). The proof of Chang and Fang rests on a description of the infinitesimal variation of the Fefferman-Graham diffeomorphism of the bulk-space which is associated to a conformal change of the metric on the boundary. Graham's proof in [G09] may be considered also as a version of that argument. The present proof completely differs from these arguments. It replaces the consideration of the diffeomorphisms by the conformal variational formula in Theorem 1.1 which in turn is based on the identification of the holographic Laplacian with the generating function of the building-block operators. It seems difficult to prove Theorem 1.1 by using the Fefferman-Graham diffeomorphism of the bulk-space.

7. The Gaussian integral

The present section is devoted to a proof of Theorem 1.5.

We start by fixing conventions concerning curvature and normal coordinates. For a given metric g, let

$$R(X,Y)(Z) = \nabla^g_X\nabla^g_Y(Z) - \nabla^g_Y\nabla^g_X(Z) - \nabla^g_{[X,Y]}(Z)$$

for vector fields $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and set

$$R(\partial_i,\partial_j)(\partial_k) = \sum_l R_{ijk}{}^l\partial_l.$$

for a local frame $\{\partial_i\}$ defined by local coordinates $\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$. Then in normal coordinates $\{x_1,\dots,x_n\}$ for g around m, we have the Taylor expansion

$$g_{ij}(x) = g_{ij}(m) + \frac{1}{3}\sum_{r,s} x_r x_s R_{risj}(m) + \cdots \tag{7.1}$$

near m with $g_{ij}(m) = \delta_{ij}$. In particular, all first-order derivatives $\partial_k(g_{ij})$ vanish at m and

$$\partial_a\partial_b(g_{ij})(m) = \frac{1}{3}(R_{aibj}(m) + R_{ajbi}(m)). \tag{7.2}$$

For a proof of (7.1) see [G01], Lemma 1.11.4 or [BGV92], Proposition 1.28. Finally, we define the Weyl tensor W by

$$R = W - (\mathsf{P} \owedge g). \tag{7.3}$$

Here $a \owedge b$ denotes the Kulkarni-Nomizu product of the symmetric bilinear forms a and b which is defined by

$$(a \owedge b)_{ijkl} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a_{ik}b_{jl} - a_{jk}b_{il} + a_{jl}b_{ik} - a_{il}b_{jk}. \tag{7.4}$$

In the first step of the proof of Theorem 1.5, we make explicit the double Poisson bracket defining the integrand. We use local coordinates $\{x_i, \xi_i\}$. Then, using (5.11), the integrand is given by the sum of

$$\sum_{a,b,c,d}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}\left(g(r)^{-1}_{cd}\right)\xi_c\xi_d\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}\left(g(r)^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}\right)\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma\delta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_b}\left(\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_a}(\xi_\gamma\xi_\delta)\right) - g(r)^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}\left(\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma\delta}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_b}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_a}(\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta)\xi_\gamma\xi_\delta\right)\Big] \tag{7.5}$$

and

$$-\sum_{a,b,c,d}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta} g(r)^{-1}_{cd}\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_b}(\xi_c\xi_d)\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}\left(g(r)^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}\right)\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma\delta}\right)\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_a}(\xi_\gamma\xi_\delta) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_b}\left(g(r)^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_a}\left(\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma\delta}\right)\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_a}(\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta)\xi_\gamma\xi_\delta\Big]. \tag{7.6}$$

Since the left-hand side of (1.35) does not depend on the choice of coordinates, it suffices to prove that relation for any fixed $m \in M$, sufficiently small $r \geq 0$ and normal coordinates around m for the metric $g(r)$ on M. Then the sum (7.5) vanishes and the sum (7.6) simplifies to

$$-\sum_{a,b,c,d}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}\delta_{cd}\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_b}(\xi_c\xi_d)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_a}(\xi_\gamma\xi_\delta)\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_b\partial x_a}\left(g(r)^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}\right)\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma\delta}\right] + \sum_{a,b,c,d}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}\delta_{cd}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\gamma\xi_\delta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_b}(\xi_c\xi_d)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_a}(\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta)\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma\delta})\right]. \tag{7.7}$$

The first sum can be expressed in terms of curvature. In fact, by (7.2) it equals

$$-\sum_{a,b}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_b}(|\xi|^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_a}(\xi_\gamma\xi_\delta)\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}\left(g(r)^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}\right)\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma a}\right] = -4\sum_{a,b}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta\xi_\gamma\xi_b\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}\left(g(r)^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}\right)\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma a}$$

$$= \frac{4}{3} \sum_{a,b} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \xi_\gamma \xi_b (R_{a\alpha b\beta} + R_{a\beta b\alpha}) \dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{\gamma a}.$$

Now after integration these terms sum up to 0. In fact, by the well-known formula[18]

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (\xi_a \xi_b \xi_c \xi_d) e^{-|\xi|^2} d\xi \Big/ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|\xi|^2} d\xi = \frac{1}{4} (\delta_{ab}\delta_{cd} + \delta_{ac}\delta_{bd} + \delta_{ad}\delta_{bc}), \tag{7.8}$$

the integral is a multiple of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{a,b} \sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} (\delta_{\alpha\beta}\delta_{\gamma b} + \delta_{\alpha\gamma}\delta_{\beta b} + \delta_{\alpha b}\delta_{\beta\gamma})(R_{a\alpha b\beta} + R_{a\beta b\alpha}) \dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{\gamma a} \\
&= \sum_{a,\gamma} \sum_{\alpha} (R_{a\alpha\gamma\alpha} + R_{a\alpha\gamma\alpha}) \dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{\gamma a} \\
&+ \sum_{a,\gamma} \sum_{b} (R_{\alpha\gamma bb} + R_{abb\gamma}) \dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{\gamma a} \\
&+ \sum_{a,\gamma} \sum_{b} (R_{abb\gamma} + R_{a\gamma bb}) \dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{\gamma a} \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It remains to evaluate the terms which involve the second-order derivatives of $\dot{g}(r)$. The following results will play a central role in this evaluation.[19]

Lemma 7.1. *We have*

$$\delta_{g(r)}(\dot{g}(r)) = d \operatorname{tr}_{g(r)}(\dot{g}(r)) \tag{7.9}$$

as an identity of one-parameter families of 1*-forms on* M*.*

Proof. Let $\bar{\mathsf{P}}$ be the Schouten tensor of the metric $\bar{g} = dr^2 + g(r)$. Then

$$\bar{\mathsf{P}} = -\frac{1}{2r} \dot{g}(r) \tag{7.10}$$

(see [J13], Lemma 7.1). Hence

$$\bar{\mathsf{J}} = \operatorname{tr}_{\bar{g}}(\bar{\mathsf{P}}) = -\frac{1}{2r} \operatorname{tr}_{g(r)}(\dot{g}(r)) = -\frac{1}{2r} \sum_{i,j} \dot{g}(r)_{ij} g(r)^{ij}. \tag{7.11}$$

We combine these formulas with the relation

$$\delta_{\bar{g}}(\bar{\mathsf{P}}) = d\bar{\mathsf{J}} \tag{7.12}$$

of 1-forms on the product space $[0, \varepsilon) \times M$. We evaluate the relation (7.12) on tangential vectors of M. Let $\{\partial_0 = \partial/\partial r, \partial_i\}$ be a local frame with tangential vectors ∂_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$ of M. Let $\nabla_i = \nabla_{\partial_i}$ and $\nabla^{\bar{g}}_0 = \nabla^{\bar{g}}_{\partial_0}$. Then, using $\nabla^{\bar{g}}_0(\partial_0) = 0$ and $g(r)(\partial_0, \cdot) = 0$, we find

$$\delta_{\bar{g}} \left(-\frac{1}{2r} \dot{g}(r) \right) (\cdot) = -\frac{1}{2r} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} g(r)^{ij} \nabla^{\bar{g}}_i (\dot{g}(r))(\partial_j, \cdot) \tag{7.13}$$

[18] see (24) on page 15 of [Zee10] or (83) on page 259 of [Fo08].

[19] In what follows, δ also denotes the divergence on symmetric bilinear forms.

on all tangent vectors. Now by

$$\nabla^{\bar g}_i(\partial_j) = \nabla^{g(r)}_i(\partial_j) + \bar\Gamma^0_{ij}\partial_0,$$

the right-hand side of (7.13) coincides with

$$-\frac{1}{2r}\delta_{g(r)}(\dot g(r))(\cdot)$$

on tangential vectors of M. Hence (7.10), (7.11) and (7.12) imply that

$$-\frac{1}{2r}\delta_{g(r)}(\dot g(r)) = \delta_{\bar g}(\bar{\mathsf{P}}) = d\bar{\mathsf{J}} = -\frac{1}{2r} d\,\mathrm{tr}_{g(r)}(\dot g(r))$$

on tangential vectors of M. This proves the asserted identity. □

Remark 7.1. *We evaluate* (7.12) *on the normal vector field* $\partial_0 = \partial/\partial r$. *First,* (7.13) *and*

$$\bar\Gamma^k_{i0} = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k,l}\dot g(r)_{li} g(r)^{lk}$$

imply

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\bar g}\left(-\frac{1}{2r}\dot g(r)\right)(\partial_0) &= -\frac{1}{2r} g(r)^{ij}\nabla^{\bar g}_i(\dot g(r))(\partial_j,\partial_0) &(7.14)\\ &= \frac{1}{2r}\sum_{i,j,k} g(r)^{ij}\bar\Gamma^k_{i0}\dot g(r)_{jk}\\ &= \frac{1}{4r}\sum_{i,j,k,l} g(r)^{ij}\dot g(r)_{li} g(r)^{lk}\dot g(r)_{jk}\\ &= \frac{1}{4r}\sum_{j,l}\dot g(r)^j_l \dot g(r)^l_j. &(7.15)\end{aligned}$$

Here we raised indices using $g(r)$. *Next, a calculation using* (7.11) *shows that*

$$\begin{aligned}(d\bar{\mathsf{J}})(\partial_0) &= (\partial/\partial r)\left(-\frac{1}{2r}\sum_{i,j}\dot g(r)_{ij} g(r)^{ij}\right)\\ &= \frac{1}{2r^2}\sum_i \dot g(r)^i_i - \frac{1}{2r}\sum_i \ddot g(r)^i_i + \frac{1}{2r}\sum_{i,j}\dot g(r)^j_i \dot g(r)^i_j. &(7.16)\end{aligned}$$

Hence the equality of (7.14) *and* (7.16) *is equivalent to the trace identity*

$$\sum_i \ddot g(r)^i_i = \frac{1}{r}\sum_i \dot g(r)^i_i + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}\dot g(r)^j_i \dot g(r)^i_j, \tag{7.17}$$

i.e.,

$$\mathrm{tr}(\ddot g(r)) = \frac{1}{r}\,\mathrm{tr}(\dot g(r)) + \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}(\dot g(r)^2)$$

where all traces are taken with respect to $g(r)$.

In addition, we need the following two general identities.

Lemma 7.2. *In normal coordinates $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ for g around m, any symmetric bilinear form h satisfies the relations*

$$\sum_{a,b} \partial_a \partial_a (h_{bb}) = \Delta_g(\mathrm{tr}_g(h)) - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{a,b,c} h_{ab} R_{cabc} \tag{7.18}$$

and

$$\sum_{a,b} \partial_a \partial_b (h_{ab}) = \delta_g(\delta_g(h)) + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{a,b,c} h_{ab} R_{cabc} \tag{7.19}$$

at m.

Proof. The relation (7.18) follows from the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g(\mathrm{tr}_g(h)) = \sum_a \partial_a \partial_a \left(\sum_{b,c} h_{bc} g^{bc} \right) &= \sum_{a,b,c} \partial_a \partial_a (h_{bc}) \delta_{bc} + \sum_{a,b,c} h_{bc} \partial_a \partial_a (g^{bc}) \\ &= \sum_{a,b} \partial_a \partial_a (h_{bb}) - \frac{2}{3} \sum_{a,b,c} h_{bc} R_{abac} \end{aligned}$$

at m. For the proof of the second relation, we recall that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_g(h)(X) &= \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} \nabla_i^g(h)(\partial_j, X) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} (\partial_i(h(\partial_j, X)) - h(\nabla_i^g(\partial_j), X) - h(\partial_j, \nabla_i^g(X))) \end{aligned}$$

and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_g(\delta_g(h)) &= \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} \nabla_i^g(\delta_g(h))(\partial_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} (\partial_i(\delta_g(h)(\partial_j)) - \delta_g(h)(\nabla_i^g(\partial_j))) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} \partial_i \left(\sum_{r,s} g^{rs} (\partial_r(h_{sj}) - h(\nabla_r^g(\partial_s), \partial_j) - h(\partial_s, \nabla_r^g(\partial_j))) \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j} g^{ij} \left(\sum_{r,s} g^{rs} (\partial_r(h(\partial_s, \nabla_i^g(\partial_j))) - h(\nabla_r^g(\partial_s), \nabla_i^g(\partial_j)) - h(\partial_s, \nabla_r^g \nabla_i^g(\partial_j))) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the first-order derivatives of the metric g vanish at m, all Christoffel symbols vanish at m, and the latter sum simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i,r} \partial_i \partial_r (h_{ri}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,r} \partial_i (h(\nabla_r^g(\partial_r), \partial_i)) + \partial_i (h(\partial_r, \nabla_r^g(\partial_i))) + \partial_r (h(\partial_r, \nabla_i^g(\partial_i))) - h(\partial_r, \nabla_r^g \nabla_i^g(\partial_i)). \end{aligned}$$

Now the vanishing of the Christoffel symbols implies the relation

$$\sum_i \partial_i (h(\partial_i, X)) = \delta(h)(X) + \sum_i h(\partial_i, \nabla_i^g(X))$$

at m. Hence we get

$$\delta_g(\delta_g(h)) = \sum_{i,r} \partial_i\partial_r(h_{ir}) - \sum_{i,r} \partial_i(h(\partial_r, \nabla^g_r(\partial_i))) \\ - \sum_{i,r} h(\nabla^g_i\nabla^g_r(\partial_r), \partial_i) - \sum_{i,r} h(\partial_r, \nabla^g_r\nabla^g_i(\partial_i)) + \sum_{i,r} h(\partial_r, \nabla^g_r\nabla^g_i(\partial_i)),$$

i.e.,

$$\delta_g(\delta_g(h)) = \sum_{i,r} \partial_i\partial_r(h_{ir}) - \sum_{i,r} \partial_i(h(\partial_r, \nabla^g_r(\partial_i))) - \sum_{i,r} h(\partial_i, \nabla^g_i\nabla^g_r(\partial_r)). \tag{7.20}$$

Now

$$\partial_r(\Gamma^s_{ij}) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_i\partial_r(g_{js}) + \partial_j\partial_r(g_{si}) - \partial_s\partial_r(g_{ij})\right)$$

and (7.2) imply that the derivatives of Christoffel symbols are given by

$$\partial_r(\Gamma^s_{ij}) = \frac{1}{3}\left(R_{jrsi} + R_{jsri}\right)$$

at m. Hence

$$\sum_r \nabla^g_i\nabla^g_r(\partial_r) = \sum_{r,s} \partial_i(\Gamma^s_{rr})\partial_s = -\frac{2}{3}\sum_{r,s} R_{rirs}\partial_s$$

and (7.20) simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_g(\delta_g(h)) &= \sum_{i,r} \partial_i\partial_r(h_{ir}) - \sum_{i,r,s} \partial_i(\Gamma^s_{ri})h_{rs} + \frac{2}{3}\sum_{i,r,s} R_{rirs}h_{is} \\ &= \sum_{i,r} \partial_i\partial_r(h_{ir}) - \frac{1}{3}\sum_{i,r,s} R_{isir}h_{rs} + \frac{2}{3}\sum_{i,r,s} R_{rirs}h_{is} \\ &= \sum_{i,r} \partial_i\partial_r(h_{ir}) - \frac{1}{3}\sum_{a,b,c} R_{cabc}h_{ab}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (7.19). □

Applying Lemma 7.2 for the metric $g = g(r)$ and the symmetric bilinear form $h = \dot{g}(r)$, proves

Lemma 7.3. *In normal coordinates $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ for $g(r)$ around m, we have*

$$\sum_{a,b} \partial_a\partial_a(\dot{g}(r)_{bb}) + 2\sum_{a,b} \partial_a\partial_b(\dot{g}(r)_{ab}) = \Delta_{g(r)}\left(\mathrm{tr}_{g(r)}(\dot{g}(r))\right) + 2\delta_{g(r)}(\delta_{g(r)}(\dot{g}(r))) \tag{7.21}$$

at m.

Now Lemma 7.3 yields

Lemma 7.4. *In normal coordinates $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ for $g(r)$ around m, we have*

$$\sum_{a,b} \partial_a\partial_a(\dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{bb}) + 2\sum_{a,b} \partial_a\partial_b(\dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{ab}) = -\Delta_{g(r)}\left(\mathrm{tr}_{g(r)}(\dot{g}(r))\right) - 2\delta_{g(r)}(\delta_{g(r)}(\dot{g}(r)))$$

at m.

Proof. By Lemma 7.3, the assertion follows from the relations

$$\sum_{a,b} \partial_a \partial_a \left(\dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{bb} \right) = - \sum_{a,b} \partial_a \partial_a (\dot{g}(r)_{bb}) + \frac{4}{3} \sum_{a,b,c} R_{abac}(r) \dot{g}(r)_{bc} \tag{7.22}$$

and

$$\sum_{a,b} \partial_a \partial_b \left(\dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{ab} \right) = - \sum_{a,b} \partial_a \partial_b (\dot{g}(r)_{ab}) + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{a,b,c} R_{acba}(r) \dot{g}(r)_{cb} \tag{7.23}$$

at m. Here $R(r)$ denotes the curvature of $g(r)$. We start by noting that

$$\partial_a \partial_b (g(r)_{cd}) + \partial_a \partial_b \left(g(r)^{-1}_{cd} \right) = 0 \tag{7.24}$$

at m and

$$\dot{g}(r)^{-1} + g^{-1}(r) \dot{g}(r) g^{-1}(r) = 0. \tag{7.25}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_a \partial_a \left(\dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{bb} \right) &= -\partial_a \partial_a \left(\sum_{\alpha,\beta} g(r)^{-1}_{b\alpha} \dot{g}(r)_{\alpha\beta} g(r)^{-1}_{\beta b} \right) \\
&= \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \partial_a \partial_a (g(r)_{b\alpha}) \dot{g}(r)_{\alpha\beta} \delta_{\beta b} + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{b\alpha} \dot{g}(r)_{\alpha\beta} \partial_a \partial_a (g(r)_{\beta b}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \delta_{b\alpha} \partial_a \partial_a \left(\dot{g}(r)_{\alpha\beta} \right) \delta_{\beta b} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha} \partial_a \partial_a \left(g(r)_{b\alpha} \right) \dot{g}(r)_{\alpha b} + \sum_{\beta} \dot{g}(r)_{b\beta} \partial_a \partial_a \left(g(r)_{\beta b} \right) \\
&\quad - \partial_a \partial_a \left(\dot{g}(r)_{bb} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now (7.2) implies

$$\partial_a \partial_a \left(\dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{bb} \right) = \frac{4}{3} \sum_{c} R_{abac}(r) \dot{g}(r)_{bc} - \partial_a \partial_a (\dot{g}(r)_{bb}). \tag{7.26}$$

This proves (7.22). Similarly, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_a \partial_b \left(\dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{ab} \right) &= \sum_{c,d} \partial_a \partial_b (g(r)_{ac}) \dot{g}(r)_{cd} \delta_{db} + \sum_{c,d} \delta_{ac} \dot{g}(r)_{cd} \partial_a \partial_b (g(r)_{db}) \\
&\quad - \sum_{c,d} \delta_{ac} \partial_a \partial_b (\dot{g}(r)_{cd}) \delta_{db} \\
&= \sum_{c} \partial_a \partial_b (g(r)_{ac}) \dot{g}(r)_{cb} + \sum_{d} \dot{g}(r)_{ad} \partial_a \partial_b (g(r)_{db}) - \partial_a \partial_b (\dot{g}(r)_{ab})
\end{aligned}$$

and (7.2) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_a \partial_b \left(\dot{g}(r)^{-1}_{ab} \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{c} (R_{aabc}(r) + R_{acba}(r)) \dot{g}(r)_{cb} + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{d} (R_{adbb}(r) + R_{abbd}(r)) \dot{g}(r)_{ad} - \partial_a \partial_b (\dot{g}(r)_{ab}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{a,b}\partial_a\partial_b\left(\dot g(r)^{-1}_{ab}\right)=\frac{1}{3}\sum_{a,b,c}(R_{abca}(r)+R_{baac}(r))\dot g(r)_{bc}-\sum_{a,b}\partial_a\partial_b(\dot g(r)_{ab}).$$

This proves (7.23). The proof is complete. □

With these preparations we are able to complete the **proof of Theorem 1.5**.

It remains to determine the Gaussian integral of

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{a,b,c,d}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta}\delta_{cd}\delta_{\alpha\beta}\xi_\gamma\xi_\delta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_b}(\xi_c\xi_d)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_a}(\xi_\alpha\xi_\beta)\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma\delta}\right)\\&=\sum_{a,b}\sum_{\gamma,\delta}\xi_\gamma\xi_\delta\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_b}(|\xi|^2)\frac{\partial}{\partial\xi_a}(|\xi|^2)\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma\delta})\right)\\&=4\sum_{a,b}\sum_{\gamma,\delta}\xi_a\xi_b\xi_\gamma\xi_\delta\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma\delta})\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now integration using (7.8) yields

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{a,b}\sum_{\gamma,\delta}(\delta_{ab}\delta_{\gamma\delta}+\delta_{a\gamma}\delta_{b\delta}+\delta_{a\delta}\delta_{b\gamma})\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma\delta})\\&\qquad=\sum_{a,\gamma}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a\partial x_a}(\dot g(r)^{-1}_{\gamma\gamma})+\sum_{a,b}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(\dot g(r)^{-1}_{ab})+\sum_{a,b}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_a\partial x_b}(\dot g(r)^{-1}_{ba}).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.4, the latter sum equals

$$-\left(\Delta_{g(r)}\left(\mathrm{tr}_{g(r)}(\dot g(r))\right)+2\delta_{g(r)}(\delta_{g(r)}(\dot g(r)))\right).$$

But, by Lemma 7.1, this sum further simplifies to

$$-3\Delta_{g(r)}\left(\mathrm{tr}_{g(r)}(\dot g(r))\right).$$

This yields the assertion by using

$$\mathrm{tr}_{g(r)}(\dot g(r))=2(\dot v/v)(r).$$

The proof is complete. □

8. A conformal primitive of the Gaussian integral

In the present section we prove Theorem 1.6.

The arguments rest on a local variational formula for the renormalized volume coefficients. This formula was first stated in the equations (2.4) and (3.8) of [ISTY00] and was rederived in Theorem 1.5 of [G09]. The following result is equivalent to equation (3.5) in [G09].[20]

[20]The present conventions concerning the coefficients v_{2N} differ from those used in [G09] and are closer to those of [ISTY00]. In particular, we replace formulations in terms of ambient space coordinates by formulations in terms of coordinates on Poincaré-Einstein spaces.

Theorem 8.1 ([G09]). *For any $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$,*

$$v(r)^\bullet[\varphi] = -r\dot{v}(r)\varphi - \delta(L(r)d\varphi), \tag{8.1}$$

where the symmetric bilinear form

$$L(r) \overset{def}{=} v(r) \int_0^r sg(s)^{-1} ds \tag{8.2}$$

acts on 1-forms (using g).

In the following, the first term on the right-hand side of (8.1) will be referred to as the *scaling* term.

Some comments are in order. By expansion into power series of r, (8.1) is equivalent to

$$v_{2N}^\bullet[\varphi] = -2Nv_{2N}\varphi - \delta\left(\frac{1}{2}v_{2N-2}g_{(0)}^{-1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2N}v_0 g_{(2N-2)}^{-1}\right)d\varphi, \tag{8.3}$$

where $g_{(2k)}^{-1}$ are the power series coefficients of $g(r)^{-1}$. Formula (8.3) refines the variational formula

$$\left(\int_{M^n} v_{2N}(g)dv_g\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-2N)\int_{M^n} \varphi v_{2N}(g)dv_g$$

for closed M. Theorem 8.1 implies that the conformal variation of $v(r)$ at the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^n$ is given by

$$v(r)^\bullet[\varphi] = \frac{r^2}{2}(1 - r^2/4)^{n-1}(n - \Delta)(\varphi). \tag{8.4}$$

We continue with the **proof of Theorem 1.6**.

We start by noting that

$$(\dot{w})^2 = \frac{1}{4}\frac{\dot{v}^2}{v}.$$

Hence

$$((\dot{w})^2)^\bullet[\varphi] = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\dot{v}^2}{v}\right)^\bullet[\varphi].$$

Moreover, we have

$$\left(\frac{\dot{v}^2}{v}\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = 2\left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v}\right)(\dot{v})^\bullet[\varphi] - \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v}\right)^2 v^\bullet[\varphi]. \tag{8.5}$$

By Theorem 8.1, the right-hand side of (8.5) equals

$$\begin{aligned} &-2\left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v}\right)\delta_g(\dot{L}(r)d\varphi) + \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v}\right)^2\delta_g(L(r)d\varphi) \\ &\qquad = -2\left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v}\right)\delta_g\left(\dot{v}\int_0^r sg(s)^{-1}ds + rvg(r)^{-1}\right)d\varphi + \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v}\right)^2\delta_g(L(r)d\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

up to a contribution by the scaling term. Now partial integration implies

$$\left(\int_M \left(\frac{\dot{v}^2}{v}\right)dv_g\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = n\int_M \varphi\left(\frac{\dot{v}^2}{v}\right)dv_g$$

$$- 2 \int_M \varphi \delta_g \left(\left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right) L(r) + rvg(r)^{-1} \right) d \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right) dv_g \\ + 2 \int_M \varphi \delta_g \left(L(r) \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right) \right) d \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right) dv_g,$$

up to a contribution by the scaling term. The contributions by L cancel and we obtain

$$\left(\int_M \left(\frac{\dot{v}^2}{v} \right) dv_g \right)^{\bullet} [\varphi] = n \int_M \varphi \left(\frac{\dot{v}^2}{v} \right) dv_g - 2r \int_M \varphi \delta_g (vg(r)^{-1}) d \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right) dv_g.$$

Now Lemma 8.1 shows that the last term on the right-hand side of this relation can be rewritten as

$$2r \int_M \varphi v \Delta_{g(r)} \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right) dv_g.$$

Therefore, it only remains to determine the contribution by the scaling term. By (8.5), this term is given by

$$\int_M \left(2 \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (-r\dot{v}) \varphi + \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right)^2 r\dot{v}\varphi \right) dv_g \\ = \int_M \varphi \left(-2 \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right) r\ddot{v} - 2 \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right) \dot{v} + \left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right)^2 r\dot{v} \right) dv_g = -(2 + r\partial/\partial r) \int_M \varphi \left(\frac{\dot{v}^2}{v} \right) dv_g.$$

The proof is complete. □

The above proof made use of the following conjugation formula.

Lemma 8.1. *We have*

$$v(r) \circ \delta_{g(r)} = \delta_g \circ v(r) \circ g(r)^{-1} \tag{8.6}$$

as an identity of operators on 1*-forms.*

Proof. We recall that a symmetric bilinear form b on TM acts by

$$\langle b(\omega), X \rangle = b(\omega^{\#}, X), \ X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

on $\Omega^1(M)$, where the vector-field $\omega^{\#}$ is dual to ω in the sense that $g(\omega^{\#}, X) = \langle \omega, X \rangle$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. In particular, the one-parameter family $g(r)$ of metrics acts on $\Omega^1(M)$ using g. As before, the latter endomorphism of $\Omega^1(M)$ will also be denoted by $g(r)$. In local coordinates, it is given by

$$g(r) : \sum_a \omega_a dx^a \mapsto \sum_{a,b,c} g(r)_{bc} g^{-1}_{ac} \omega_a dx^b. \tag{8.7}$$

Similarly, the inverse of the endomorphism $g(r)$ is given by

$$g(r)^{-1} : \sum_a \omega_a dx^a \mapsto \sum_{a,b,c} g_{bc} g(r)^{-1}_{ac} \omega_a dx^b. \tag{8.8}$$

Now the left-hand side of (8.6) acts on $\omega = \sum_a \omega_a dx^a$ by

$$v(r) (\sqrt{\det g(r)})^{-1} \sum_{a,b} \partial_a (\sqrt{\det g(r)} g(r)^{-1}_{ab} \omega_b)$$

$$= (\sqrt{\det g})^{-1} \sum_{a,b} \partial_a (\sqrt{\det g(r)} g(r)^{-1}_{ab} \omega_b). \quad (8.9)$$

On the other hand, the right-hand side of (8.6) acts on ω by

$$(\sqrt{\det g})^{-1} \sum_{a,b} \partial_a (\sqrt{\det g} v(r) g^{-1}_{ab} g(r)^{-1} (\omega)_b).$$

By (8.8), the latter sum equals

$$(\sqrt{\det g})^{-1} \sum_{a,b,c,d} \partial_a (\sqrt{\det g(r)} g^{-1}_{ab} g_{bc} g(r)^{-1}_{dc} \omega_d)$$
$$= (\sqrt{\det g})^{-1} \sum_{a,d} \partial_a (\sqrt{\det g(r)} g(r)^{-1}_{da} \omega_d). \quad (8.10)$$

Comparing (8.9) and (8.10), completes the proof. □

9. Proof of Theorem 1.4

In the present section we complete the proof of Theorem 1.4.

We first observe that (5.6) implies

$$\left(\int_M a_2(r) dv \right)^\bullet [\varphi] = (n-2-r\partial/\partial r) \int_M \varphi a_2(r) dv - r \frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi c_2(r) dv.$$

Now by (5.12) the latter formula is equivalent to

$$\left(\int_M a_2(r) dv \right)^\bullet [\varphi] = (n-2-r\partial/\partial r) \int_M \varphi a_2(r) dv$$
$$- r \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{3!} \int_M \varphi \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \{\mathcal{H}(r), \{\mathcal{H}(r), \dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)\}\} e^{-\mathcal{H}(r)} d\xi \right) dx. \quad (9.1)$$

Hence, using Theorem 1.5 and (6.2), we find

$$\left(\int_M a_2(r) dv \right)^\bullet [\varphi]$$
$$= (n-2-r\partial/\partial r) \int_M \varphi a_2(r) dv + r \frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi v(r) \Delta_{g(r)} ((\dot{v}/v)(r)) dv.$$

Combining this relation with Theorem 1.6, yields

$$\left(\int_M \Lambda(r) dv \right)^\bullet [\varphi] = (n-2-r\partial/\partial r) \int_M \varphi \Lambda(r) dv.$$

The assertion follows by expansion in r. □

10. Proof of Theorem 1.8

The following proof of Theorem 1.8 utilizes the algorithm of Section 4. An alternative proof will be given in Section 11.

For $j = 2$, the relation (4.5) is a formula for $a_2(r)$ in terms of $r_{-4}(\lambda; r)$. For any second-order operator with complete symbol $p_2(x,\xi)+p_1(x,\xi)+p_0(x)$, the algorithm in the proof of Theorem 4.1 yields

$$r_{-2}(\lambda) = (p_2-\lambda)^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_{-3}(\lambda) &= -(p_2-\lambda)^{-1}\left(p_1 r_{-2}(\lambda) + \sum_i \partial_{\xi_i}(p_2) D_{x_i}(r_{-2}(\lambda))\right) \\ &= -(p_2-\lambda)^{-2} p_1 + (p_2-\lambda)^{-3}\sum_i \partial_{\xi_i}(p_2) D_{x_i}(p_2). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we find

$$\begin{aligned} r_{-4}(\lambda) = -r_{-2}(\lambda)\Big[& p_1 r_{-3}(\lambda) + p_0 r_{-2}(\lambda) \\ &+ \sum_i \left(\partial_{\xi_i}(p_2) D_{x_i}(r_{-3}(\lambda)) + \partial_{\xi_i}(p_1) D_{x_i}(r_{-2}(\lambda))\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \partial^2_{\xi_i\xi_j}(p_2) D^2_{x_i x_j}(r_{-2}(\lambda))\Big]. \end{aligned}$$

These formulas imply that $r_{-4}(\lambda)$ is given by the sum

$$-(p_2-\lambda)^{-4} p_1 \sum_i \partial_{\xi_i}(p_2) D_{x_i}(p_2) + (p_2-\lambda)^{-3}\left(p_1^2 + \sum_i \partial_{\xi_i}(p_1) D_{x_i}(p_2)\right) \tag{10.1}$$

$$-(p_2-\lambda)^{-2} p_0 \tag{10.2}$$

$$-(p_2-\lambda)^{-1}\sum_i \partial_{\xi_i}(p_2) D_{x_i}(r_{-3}(\lambda)) - (p_2-\lambda)^{-1}\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \partial^2_{\xi_i\xi_j}(p_2) D^2_{x_i x_j}(r_{-2}(\lambda)).$$

First, we use the latter expression for $r_{-4}(\lambda)$ to determine the contribution of the potential $\mathcal{U}(r)$ to $a_2(r) = a_2(\mathcal{H}(r))$. For the operator $-\mathcal{H}(r)$, we have $p_0 = -\mathcal{U}(r)$, and the term in (10.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \pi^{\frac{n}{2}} a_2(x; r)\sqrt{\det g} &= \mathcal{U}(x; r)\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_\Gamma (\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)-\lambda)^{-2} e^{-\lambda} d\lambda d\xi \\ &= \mathcal{U}(x; r)\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-\mathcal{H}(r)(x,\xi)} d\xi \\ &= \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\mathcal{U}(x; r)\sqrt{\det g(r)} \end{aligned}$$

using (6.2). Hence $\mathcal{U}(r)$ contributes to $a_2(r)$ by $\mathcal{U}(r)v(r)$. In other words, we have the decomposition

$$a_2(r) = a_2(\mathcal{D}(r)) + \mathcal{U}(r)v(r), \tag{10.3}$$

where $a_2(\mathcal{D}(r))$ denotes the sub-leading heat kernel coefficient of the operator

$$\mathcal{D}(r) = -\delta(g(r)^{-1} d). \tag{10.4}$$

By the definition of the potential $\mathcal{U}(r)$, it follows that

$\Lambda(r) = a_2(r) - (\dot{w}(r))^2$

$$= a_2(\mathcal{D}(r)) + \delta(g(r)^{-1}d)(w)w - \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2}(w) - (n-1)r^{-1}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(w)\right)w - (\dot{w}(r))^2.$$

Simplification yields the formula

$$\Lambda(r) = a_2(\mathcal{D}(r)) + \delta(g(r)^{-1}d)(w(r))w(r) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\ddot{v}(r) - (n-1)r^{-1}\dot{v}(r)\right). \tag{10.5}$$

Note that the last term in (10.5) is *linear* in $v(r)$, i.e., in $a_0(r)$. By expansion in r, (10.5) yields

$$\Lambda_{2k-2} = \left(a_2(\mathcal{D}(r)) + \delta(g(r)^{-1}d)(w(r))w(r)\right)[2k-2] + (n-2k)kv_{2k};$$

here $u(r)[k]$ denotes the coefficient of r^k in the formal power series expansion of u. In particular, we have

$$\Lambda_{n-2} = \left(a_2(\mathcal{D}(r)) + \delta(g(r)^{-1}d)(w(r))w(r)\right)[n-2]. \tag{10.6}$$

Thus, it remains to make explicit the sub-leading heat kernel coefficient of the operator $\mathcal{D}(r)$. For this purpose, we observe that

$$-\mathcal{D}(r)(u) + \Delta_{g(r)}(u) = (d\log v(r), du)_{g(r)}. \tag{10.7}$$

Then we apply the above formula for r_{-4} to express the difference

$$a_2(\mathcal{D}(r)) - a_2(\Delta_{g(r)})$$

in terms of a certain Gaussian integral and calculate this integral. For the following considerations, we fix $m \in M$ and choose normal coordinates for $g(r)$ around m. We compare the contributions to $r_{-4}(x,\xi)$ for the operators $\mathcal{D}(r) = -\delta(g(r)^{-1}d)$ and $\Delta_{g(r)}$. These two operators are related by (10.7). Note that r_{-4} depends quadratically on p_1. By

$$\Delta_{g(r)}(u)(m) = \sum_i \partial^2_{x_i x_i}(u)(m),$$

the linear part $p_1^{\Delta}(x,\xi)$ of the complete symbol of $-\Delta_{g(r)}$ vanishes at m. Hence the linear part $p_1^{\mathcal{D}}(x,\xi)$ of the complete symbol of

$$-\mathcal{D}(r) = \delta(g(r)^{-1}d) = (d\log v(r), d)_{g(r)} - \Delta_{g(r)}$$

equals

$$p_1^{\mathcal{D}}(m,\xi) = i\sum_j \partial_{x_j}(\log v)(m)\xi_j$$

at m. Let

$$d_1(x,\xi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} p_1^{\mathcal{D}}(x,\xi) - p_1^{\Delta}(x,\xi).$$

Now the above formula for $r_{-4}(\lambda)$ shows that the difference

$$r_{-4}^{\mathcal{D}}(m,\xi,\lambda) - r_{-4}^{\Delta}(m,\xi,\lambda)$$

is given by the sum

$$-(\mathcal{H}(r)-\lambda)^{-4}d_1\sum_j \partial_{\xi_j}(\mathcal{H}(r))D_{x_j}(\mathcal{H}(r))$$

$$+(\mathcal{H}(r)-\lambda)^{-3}((p_1^{\mathcal{D}})^2 + \sum_j \partial_{\xi_j}(d_1)D_{x_j}(\mathcal{H}(r)))$$

$$+ (\mathcal{H}(r)-\lambda)^{-1}\sum_j \partial_{\xi_j}(\mathcal{H}(r))D_{x_j}((\mathcal{H}(r)-\lambda)^{-2}d_1)$$

at m. By $\mathcal{H}(r)(m,\xi) = |\xi|^2$ and the vanishing of all derivatives $\partial_{x_j}(\mathcal{H}(r))(m,\xi)$, the latter sum equals

$$\begin{aligned}&(|\xi|^2-\lambda)^{-3}(p_1^{\mathcal{D}})^2(m,\xi) + 2(|\xi|^2-\lambda)^{-3}\sum_{j,k}\xi_j\xi_k\partial^2_{x_jx_k}(\log v)(m)\\ &\quad= (|\xi|^2-\lambda)^{-3}\left(-\left(\sum_j \partial_{x_j}(\log v)(m)\xi_j\right)^2 + 2\sum_{j,k}\partial^2_{x_jx_k}(\log v)(m)\xi_j\xi_k\right). \quad (10.8)\end{aligned}$$

Now we calculate the integral

$$I_{-4}(m) = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_\Gamma \left(r^{\mathcal{D}}_{-4}(m,\xi,\lambda) - r^{\Delta}_{-4}(m,\xi,\lambda)\right)e^{-\lambda}d\lambda d\xi. \quad (10.9)$$

First, by (10.8) and Cauchy's formula, it simplifies to the sum of

$$-\frac{1}{2!}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\sum_j \partial_{x_j}(\log v)(m)\xi_j\right)^2 e^{-|\xi|^2}d\xi \quad (10.10)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left(\sum_{j,k}\partial^2_{x_jx_k}(\log v)(m)\xi_j\xi_k\right)e^{-|\xi|^2}d\xi. \quad (10.11)$$

Next, we evaluate the integrals (10.10) and (10.11) using the identities

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\xi_j\xi_k e^{-|\xi|^2}d\xi \Big/ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|\xi|^2}d\xi = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{jk} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|\xi|^2}d\xi = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}.$$

It follows that

$$I_{-4}(m) = -\frac{1}{4}\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}|d\log v|^2(m) + \frac{1}{2}\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\Delta_{g(r)}(\log v)(m).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}a_2(\mathcal{D})\sqrt{\det g} &= \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}a_2(\Delta)\sqrt{\det g} + I_{-4}(m)\\ &= \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}a_2(\Delta)\sqrt{\det g} + \frac{1}{2}\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\Delta_{g(r)}(\log v) - \frac{1}{4}\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}|d\log v|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get the relation

$$a_2(\mathcal{D}) = a_2(\Delta_{g(r)}) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{g(r)}(\log v)v - \frac{1}{4}|d\log v|^2 v. \quad (10.12)$$

We combine (10.12) with the well-known formula for a_2 of the Laplacian (see Section 14.2). We find

$$a_2(\Delta_{g(r)}) = \frac{\mathrm{scal}(g(r))}{6}v(r), \quad (10.13)$$

where the additional factor $v(r)$ comes from the fact that we regard $\Delta_{g(r)}$ as an operator on $L^2(M,g)$. Now simplification yields the formula

$$a_2(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{\mathrm{scal}(g(r))}{6} v(r) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{g(r)}(v) - \frac{3}{4}\frac{|dv|^2}{v}. \tag{10.14}$$

Thus, by (10.5), we find

$$\Lambda(r) = \frac{\mathrm{scal}(g(r))}{6} v(r) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\ddot{v}(r) - (n-1)r^{-1}\dot{v}(r)\right) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{g(r)}(v) - \frac{3}{4}\frac{|dv|^2}{v} + \delta(g(r)^{-1}d)(w(r))w(r). \tag{10.15}$$

Now (10.7) implies

$$\delta(g(r)^{-1}dw)w = -\Delta_{g(r)}(w)w + (d\log v, dw)w.$$

Some calculations show that

$$\Delta_{g(r)}(w)w = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{g(r)}(v) - \frac{1}{4}\frac{|dv|^2}{v}$$

and

$$(d\log v, dw)w = \frac{1}{2}\frac{|dv|^2}{v}.$$

Hence we find the relation

$$\delta(g(r)^{-1}dw)w = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{g(r)}(v) + \frac{3}{4}\frac{|dv|^2}{v}.$$

It follows that the last three terms in (10.15) cancel. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\Lambda(r) = \frac{\mathrm{scal}(g(r))}{6} v(r) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\ddot{v}(r) - (n-1)r^{-1}\dot{v}(r)\right). \tag{10.16}$$

In order to calculate the scalar curvature of $g(r)$, we recall the construction of the Poincaré-Einstein metric. In terms of the coordinates $r^2 = \rho$, the metric g_+ reads

$$g_+ = \frac{d\rho^2}{4\rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho}h(\rho), \quad h(\rho) = g_{(0)} + \rho g_{(2)} + \rho^2 g_{(4)} + \cdots. \tag{10.17}$$

We evaluate the vanishing of the tangential part of $\mathrm{Ric}(g_+) + ng_+$. By Equation (3.17) in [FG12], this leads to the identity

$$-\rho\left[2\ddot{h} - 2\dot{h}h^{-1}\dot{h} + \mathrm{tr}(h^{-1}\dot{h})\dot{h}\right] + (n-2)\dot{h} + \mathrm{tr}(h^{-1}\dot{h})h + \mathrm{Ric}(h) = 0 \tag{10.18}$$

(see also the discussion on page 241 of [J09a]), where $h = h(\rho)$ and the dots denote derivatives with respect to ρ. The relation (10.18) implies

$$\mathrm{scal}(h) = -(2n-2)\,\mathrm{tr}(h^{-1}\dot{h}) + \rho\left(2\,\mathrm{tr}(h^{-1}\ddot{h}) - 2\,\mathrm{tr}(h^{-1}\dot{h}h^{-1}\dot{h}) + \mathrm{tr}(h^{-1}\dot{h})^2\right). \tag{10.19}$$

Now

$$\mathrm{tr}(h^{-1}\dot{h}) = \partial_\rho\,\mathrm{tr}\log h = \partial_\rho \log\det h = 2\partial_\rho \log V = 2\dot{V}/V,$$

where V is defined by $V(r^2) = v(r)$. In turn, the latter equation gives

$$2\partial_\rho(\dot{V}/V) = \mathrm{tr}(h^{-1}\ddot{h}) - \mathrm{tr}(h^{-1}\dot{h}h^{-1}\dot{h}).$$

Therefore, (10.19) can be rewritten in the form

$$\mathrm{scal}(h) = -4(n-1)\dot{V}/V + 4\rho\partial_\rho(\dot{V}/V) + 4\rho(\dot{V}/V)^2.$$

Hence

$$\mathrm{scal}(h)V = -4(n-1)\dot{V} + 4\rho\ddot{V}.$$

In other words,

$$\mathrm{scal}(g(r))v(r) = \ddot{v}(r) - (2n-1)r^{-1}\dot{v}(r). \tag{10.20}$$

Together with (10.16), we find

$$\Lambda(r) = -\frac{1}{3}\ddot{v}(r) + \frac{n-2}{6}r^{-1}\dot{v}(r).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.8. □

Finally, we use Theorem 1.8 to give a **second proof of Theorem 1.5**.

On the one hand, Theorem 1.2 leads to the variational formula (9.1). On the other hand, Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.6 together with Theorem 1.8 show that

$$\left(\int_M a_2(r)dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-2-r\partial/\partial r)\int_M \varphi a_2(r)dv + r\frac{1}{2}\int_M \varphi v(r)\Delta_{g(r)}((\ddot{v}/v)(r))dv.$$

Since both identities hold true for arbitrary $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, the assertion follows by comparison.

11. Proof of Theorem 1.7

We start with an alternative proof of Theorem 1.8.

First, we note that the arguments in Section 10 proved the relation

$$a_2(r) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{scal}(g(r))}{6} + \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{g(r)}(\log v) - \frac{1}{4}|d\log v|^2 + \mathcal{U}(r)\right)v(r)$$

(see (10.3), (10.12) and (10.13)). The latter formula also may be seen as a special case of Gilkey's formula for the sub-leading heat kernel coefficient of a general second-order operator. In fact, from that perspective, it takes the form

$$a_2(r) = \left(\frac{\mathrm{scal}(g(r))}{6} + E(r)\right)v(r) \tag{11.1}$$

with

$$E(r) = \Delta_{g(r)}(\eta(r)) - |d\eta(r)|^2 + \mathcal{U}(r), \quad \eta(r) = 1/2\log v(r). \tag{11.2}$$

In order to recognize (11.1) and (11.2) as a special case of Gilkey's formula, we recall this formula for the heat kernel coefficient a_2 of a second-order operator of the form

$$L = -\left(\sum_{i,j} g^{ij}\partial^2/\partial x_i\partial x_j + \sum_k A^k\partial/\partial x_k + B\right) \tag{11.3}$$

defined by a metric g and coefficients $A^k, B \in C^\infty(M)$. Let

$$\omega_i = \frac{1}{2}g_{ij}(A^j + g^{kl}\Gamma^j_{kl}) \tag{11.4}$$

and

$$E = B - g^{ij}(\partial\omega_i/\partial x_j + \omega_i\omega_j - \omega_k\Gamma^k_{ij}), \tag{11.5}$$

where Γ_{ij}^k are the Christoffel symbols of the Levi-Civita connection of g. Then the operator L can be identified with the operator

$$-\operatorname{tr}_g(\nabla \circ \nabla) - E$$

on the sections of the trivial line bundle $\mathscr{L} = M \times \mathbb{C}$ equipped with the connection ∇ defined by the connection one-form $\omega = \sum_k \omega_k dx^k$. Here we use the notation ∇ also for the coupled connection on $TM \otimes \mathscr{L}$ defined by ∇ on $\mathscr{L}$ and the Levi-Civita connection on TM. For the proof see Lemma 4.8.1 and Corollary 4.8.2 in [G84]. In these terms, we have the following result.

Lemma 11.1 ([G84], Theorem 4.8.16/(b))**.**

$$a_2(L) = \frac{\operatorname{scal}(g)}{6} + E.$$

Hence for an operator L of the form

$$L = -(\Delta_g + g(d\eta, d) + b) \tag{11.6}$$

with $b, \eta \in C^\infty(M)$, we obtain the following formula.

Corollary 11.1. *The second heat kernel coefficient of the operator L as in* (11.6) *is given by*

$$a_2(L) = \frac{\operatorname{scal}(g)}{6} - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_g(\eta) - \frac{1}{4}|d\eta|_g^2 + b.$$

Proof. By Lemma 11.1, it suffices to determine the potential E. First, we observe that for L as in (11.6) the connection form ω is given by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} d\eta. \tag{11.7}$$

Indeed, (11.4) implies

$$\omega_r = \frac{1}{2} g_{rj} \left((g^{ij})_i + \frac{1}{2} (\log\det(g))_i g^{ij} + g^{kl}\Gamma_{kl}^j + A^j \right)$$

with $A^i = g^{ij}\eta_j$. We simplify the latter sum using

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{kl}((g_{il})_j + (g_{jl})_i - (g_{ij})_l). \tag{11.8}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_r &= \frac{1}{2} g_{rj} \left((g^{ij})_i + \frac{1}{2}(g_{ab})_i g^{ab} g^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} g^{kl} g^{js}((g_{ks})_l + (g_{ls})_k - (g_{kl})_s) + A^j \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} g_{rj} \left((g^{ij})_i + g^{kl} g^{js} (g_{ks})_l + A^j \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} g_{rj} A^j.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves (11.7). Next, (11.8) yields

$$g^{ij}\Gamma_{ij}^k = -(g^{kr})_r - g^{kl}\frac{1}{2}(\log\det(g))_l.$$

Hence (11.5) gives

$$E = b - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}\partial^2\eta/\partial x_i\partial x_j - \frac{1}{4}g^{ij}\eta_i\eta_j - \frac{1}{2}\left((g^{kr})_r + g^{kl}\frac{1}{2}(\log\det(g))_l\right)\eta_k$$
$$= b - \frac{1}{4}|d\eta|_g^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_g(\eta). \tag{11.9}$$

The proof is complete. □

In order to apply Corollary 11.1 to the operator $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$, we write $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ in the form

$$\mathcal{H}(r;g) = \Delta_{g(r)} - (d\log v(r), d)_{g(r)} + \mathcal{U}(r;g) \tag{11.10}$$

(for details see the proof of Lemma 8.1 in [J13]). This yields the formula (11.1) with $E(r)$ as given in (11.2); the coefficient $v(r)$ comes from the fact that the heat kernel of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ is defined by integration against the volume form of g (instead of $g(r)$).

The calculations in Section 10 already proved the following remarkable formula for the new potential $E(r)$. It shows that $E(r)$ does not involve differentiations along M.

Lemma 11.2. *The function $E(r)$ as defined in* (11.2) *can be written in the form*

$$E(r) = -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\ddot{v}}{v} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{n-1}{r}\frac{\dot{v}}{v} + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\dot{v}^2}{v^2} = -w^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{n-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)(w). \tag{11.11}$$

Proof. We repeat the arguments (in a slightly different form). By (11.2), we have

$$E(r) = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_{g(r)}(\log v) - \frac{1}{4}|d\log v|^2 + \mathcal{U}(r).$$

Now we observe that for any metric g and any non-negative function $u \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$\Delta_g(\log u) = \delta_g(du/u) = \Delta_g(u)/u - |du|_g^2/u^2.$$

Therefore, by the definition of $\mathcal{U}(r)$, $E(r)$ is the sum of

$$-w^{-1}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} - \frac{n-1}{r}\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right)(w)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}(\Delta_{g(r)}(v)/v - |dv|^2_{g(r)}/v^2) - \frac{1}{4}|dv|^2_{g(r)}/v^2 + \delta_g(g(r)^{-1}d)(w)/w. \tag{11.12}$$

But

$$\delta_g(g(r)^{-1}d)(w)/w = -\Delta_{g(r)}(w)/w + (d\log v, dw)_{g(r)}/w$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{g(r)}(v)/v + \frac{1}{4}|dv|^2_{g(r)}/v^2 + \frac{1}{2}|dv|^2_{g(r)}/v^2.$$

Hence the sum (11.12) vanishes. This completes the proof. □

Finally, we give a **proof of Theorem 1.7**.

The above arguments prove that $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ can be identified with the operator

$$\mathrm{tr}_{g(r)}(\nabla^{v(r)} \circ \nabla^{v(r)}) + E(r)$$

on the sections of $\mathscr{L}$ equipped with the connection $\nabla^{v(r)}$ with the connection one-form $\omega = -\frac{1}{2}d\log v(r)$. Here the potential $E(r)$ (see (11.11)) is regarded as an endomorphism of $\mathscr{L}$. In particular, $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ is a Laplace-type operator for the metric $g(r)$. Now we apply

Lemma 4.8.6 in [G84]. It follows that $a_{2k}(r)$ is an invariant polynomial of homogeneity $2k$ in the non-commuting variables

$$\{\nabla^{g(r)}_{i_1}\cdots\nabla^{g(r)}_{i_r}R_{ijkl}(r),\nabla^{v(r)}_{i_1}\cdots\nabla^{v(r)}_{i_r}E(r)\}$$

of the covariant derivatives of the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection of $g(r)$ and the covariant derivatives of the endomorphism $E(r)$ of $\mathscr{L}$. Here we use the fact that the curvature of $\nabla^{v(r)}$ vanishes. Finally, by definition, the variables $R_{ijkl}(r)$ and $E(r)$ have homogeneity 2, and each derivative increases the homogeneity by 1. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.7. □

Since the coefficients in the Taylor expansion of $g(r)$ are universal functionals of g, Theorem 1.7 implies that the coefficients $a_{2k}(r)=a_{2k}(g(r))$ may be regarded also as functions in r with coefficients given by scalar local Riemannian invariants of the metric g.

12. Extremal properties of some curvature functionals

In the present section, we study extremal properties of the critical heat kernel coefficients $a_{(2,n-2)}$ and some related functionals.

In view of $a_0(r)=v(r)$, the following result on extremal properties of renormalized volume coefficients may be regarded as a result on the first heat kernel coefficient of $\mathcal{H}(r)$.

Theorem 12.1 ([CFG12])**.** *Let (M^n,g) be a closed unit volume Einstein manifold of dimension $n\geq 3$. Assume that g has non-zero scalar curvature. Then the restriction of the functional*

$$\mathcal{F}_{2k}(g)=\int_M v_{2k}(g)dv_g,\ 2k<n$$

to the set $c_1=[g]_1$ of unit volume metrics conformal to g has a local extremum at g. More precisely, $(-1)^k\mathcal{F}_{2k}$ has a local minimum at g if $\operatorname{scal}(g)>0$, and $\mathcal{F}_{2k}$ has a local maximum at g if $\operatorname{scal}(g)<0$. In the case $\operatorname{scal}(g)>0$, the local minimum is strict unless (M^n,g) is isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere.

We also recall that $\mathcal{F}_n$ is conformally invariant. For $2k>n$, there is an analogous result with maxima and minima interchanged. In the locally conformally flat case, we have $v_{2k}=(-1/2)^k\operatorname{tr}(\wedge^k\mathsf{P})$ and the study of the corresponding functionals $\mathcal{F}_{2k}$ was initiated by Viaclovsky [V00].

For later reference, it will be convenient to recall the main steps of the proof of Theorem 12.1.

Proof. First, Theorem 8.1 implies that

$$(\mathcal{F}_{2k})^\bullet[\varphi]=(n-2k)\int_M\varphi v_{2k}dv,\ \varphi\in C^\infty(M).$$

It follows that the restriction of $\mathcal{F}_{2k}$ $(2k<n)$ to the subset $c_1=[g]_1\subset c$ is critical at g iff v_{2k} is constant at g. In fact, the method of Lagrange multipliers shows that g is critical iff

$$\left(\mathcal{F}_{2k}-c\int_M dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi]=0$$

at g for some constant c and all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$. Hence

$$\int_M \varphi((n-2k)v_{2k} - nc)dv = 0,\ \varphi \in C^\infty(M)$$

at g. This proves the claim. The assertions on extremal values are consequences of a formula for the second conformal variation of the restrictions of the functionals $\mathcal{F}_{2k}$ to c_1. In order to derive this formula, let $\gamma(t) = e^{2\varphi(t)}g$ be a curve in the conformal class c of g with $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi'(0) = \varphi$ and $\varphi''(0) = \psi$; here $'$ denotes the derivative with respect to t. Let

$$(\mathcal{F}_{2k}(g))^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\partial^2/\partial t^2)|_0(\mathcal{F}_{2k}(\gamma(t))).$$

We also set

$$\mathcal{F}(r;g) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_M v(r;g)dv_g \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathcal{F}(r;g))^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma] = (\partial^2/\partial t^2)|_0(\mathcal{F}(r;\gamma(t))).$$

Now Theorem 8.1 implies

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{F}(r;g))^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma] &= (\partial/\partial t)|_0((\partial/\partial t)(\mathcal{F}(r;\gamma(t))))\\ &= (\partial/\partial t)|_0((\partial/\partial s)|_0(\mathcal{F}(r;\gamma(t+s))))\\ &= (\partial/\partial t)|_0\left((n-r\partial/\partial r)\int_M \varphi'(t)v(r;\gamma(t))dv_{\gamma(t)}\right).\end{aligned}$$

A second application of Theorem 8.1 yields

$$(\mathcal{F}(r;g))^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma] = (n-r\partial/\partial r)\left(\int_M [-\varphi(\varphi r\dot{v}(r) + \delta(L(r)d\varphi)) + (\psi+n\varphi^2)v(r)]dv_g\right). \tag{12.1}$$

If the curve $\gamma(t)$ is in c_1, i.e., preserves volumes, the integral $\int_M e^{n\varphi(t)}dv_g$ does not depend on t. Hence for such curves we have

$$\int_M \varphi dv_g = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M (\psi + n\varphi^2)dv_g = 0.$$

In particular, this has the consequence that, if $v(r)$ is constant on M, the last two terms in the second variational formula (12.1) vanish for such curves $\gamma(t)$. In this case, the second variation does not depend on the second derivative of $\varphi(t)$ at $t = 0$ and we simply write $(\mathcal{F}(r;g))^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi]$ for the second variation.

Now we evaluate the formula (12.1) for a curve $\gamma(t)$ of unit volume metrics conformal to an Einstein metric g. As we have just seen, it suffices to evaluate the first two terms at an Einstein metric. We recall from Section 2 that for an Einstein metric g,

$$g(r) = (1-cr^2)^2 g \ \text{ with } \ c = \mathrm{scal}(g)/(4n(n-1)).$$

Hence

$$v(r) = (1-cr^2)^n. \tag{12.2}$$

In particular, $v(r)$ is constant on M. Moreover, we find

$$L(r) = (1-cr^2)^n \int_0^r s(1-cs^2)^{-2}ds = \frac{r^2}{2}(1-cr^2)^{n-1}. \tag{12.3}$$

Therefore, (12.1) implies the variational formula

$$(\mathcal{F}(r;g))^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi] = (n - r\partial/\partial r)\left((1-cr^2)^{n-1}\frac{r^2}{2}\int_M \varphi(4nc\varphi + \Delta\varphi)dv_g\right), \tag{12.4}$$

where $\Delta = -\delta d$. Note that $4nc = \mathrm{scal}(g)/(n-1)$. By expansion of (12.4) into power series in r, we obtain

$$(\mathcal{F}_{2k}(g))^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi] = (n-2k)\frac{1}{2}\binom{n-1}{k-1}(-c)^{k-1}\int_M \varphi(4nc\varphi + \Delta\varphi)dv_g. \tag{12.5}$$

Next we recall some results of Obata [O62]. The smallest possible non-zero eigenvalue λ_1 of $-\Delta$ on a closed Einstein manifold (M^n, g) of positive scalar curvature equals $\mathrm{scal}(g)/(n–1)$. Moreover, this value is an eigenvalue iff (M^n, g) is isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere.

Thus, if (M^n, g) is not isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere, (12.4) has the following consequences for the signs of the quadratic forms $(\mathcal{F}_{2k})^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi]$:

- For $2k < n$ and $\mathrm{scal}(g) > 0$ the sign of $(-1)^k(\mathcal{F}_{2k})^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi]$ is positive.
- For $2k < n$ and $\mathrm{scal}(g) < 0$ the sign of $(\mathcal{F}_{2k})^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi]$ is negative.

This proves the assertions in this case.

On the other hand, if (M^n, g) is isometric to a round sphere, then $\mathcal{F}_{2k}(g)^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi]$ vanishes iff φ is an eigenfunction for $\lambda_1 = n$. By the conformal transformation law of scalar curvature, these eigenfunctions correspond to infinitesimal conformal factors of conformal diffeomorphisms.[21] Hence the assertion on local minima also follows in this case. □

By expansion of (12.1) into power series in r, we obtain second conformal variational formulas for the functionals $\mathcal{F}_{2k}$ on the full conformal class. These formulas admit a more natural formulation in terms of the second conformal variations of the *rescaled* functionals

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}(g) \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_{2k}(g)/V(g)^{(n-2k)/n} \quad \text{with} \quad V(g) = \int_M dv_g$$

at its critical points. Note that $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}(\lambda g) = \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}(g)$ for any $\lambda > 0$. In the following, it will be convenient to use the notation

$$\bar{\varphi} \overset{\mathrm{def}}{=} \varphi - \left(\int_M \varphi dv\right)/V.$$

Let L_{2k} be the coefficient of r^{2k} in the r-expansion of $L(r)$.

Proposition 12.1. *Let $\gamma(t)$ be a curve in the conformal class of g with $\gamma(0) = g$ and $(\partial/\partial t)|_0(\gamma(t)) = 2\varphi g$. Let $2k < n$. Then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}$ is critical at g, i.e.,*

$$(\partial/\partial t)|_0(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}(\gamma(t))) = 0,$$

[21] In fact, let g_0 be the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^n$ and assume that $\varphi_t^*(g_0) = e^{2\Phi_t}g_0$. Then $\mathsf{J}(e^{2\Phi_t}g_0) = \mathsf{J}(\varphi_t^*(g_0)) = \varphi_t^*(\mathsf{J}(g_0)) = \mathsf{J}(g_0)$. Combining this with the relation $e^{2\Phi_t}\mathsf{J}(e^{2\Phi_t}g_0) = \mathsf{J}(g_0) - \Delta(\Phi_t) + \ldots$, yields $e^{2\Phi_t}\mathsf{J}(g_0) = \mathsf{J}(g_0) - \Delta(\Phi_t) + \ldots$. Now differentiation at $t = 0$ implies

$$2\psi\mathsf{J}(g_0) = -\Delta(\psi),\ \psi = (d/dt)|_0(\Phi_t).$$

But $\mathsf{J}(g_0) = n/2$.

iff $v_{2k}(g)$ is constant. Moreover, we have

$$(\partial^2/\partial t^2)|_0(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}(\gamma(t))) = -V^{-(n-2k)/n}(n-2k)\int_M (2kv_{2k}\bar{\varphi}^2 + \bar{\varphi}\delta(L_{2k}d\bar{\varphi}))dv$$

at a critical metric g.

Proof. We first prove that critical points of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}$ are metrics with constant v_{2k}. The assertion follows from the following general argument. Consider a functional $\mathcal{F}(g) = \int_M F(g)dv_g$ so that

$$\mathcal{F}(g)^\bullet[\varphi] = m\int_M \varphi F(g)dv_g,\ m \neq 0.$$

Then conformal variation of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}/V^{m/n}$ at g yields

$$m\frac{\int_M \varphi F(g)dv_g}{V(g)^{m/n}} - \frac{\int_M F(g)dv_g}{V(g)^{m/n+1}}\frac{m}{n}n\int_M \varphi dv_g.$$

Hence $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is critical at g iff

$$\int_M \varphi F(g)dv_g = \frac{\int_M F(g)dv_g}{V(g)}\int_M \varphi dv_g,$$

i.e.,

$$\int_M \varphi\left(F(g) - \frac{\int_M F(g)dv_g}{V(g)}\right)dv_g = 0.$$

Since this relation is valid for all $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, it follows that $F(g)$ is constant. Now we apply the result to the functional $\mathcal{F}_{2k}$ (with $m = n-2k$). In order to derive the second variational formula for $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}$, we use (12.1) and the fact that v_{2k} is constant at a critical point g of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}$. First, we have

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k})^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma] = (\mathcal{F}_{2k})^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma]V^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}} + 2(\mathcal{F}_{2k})^\bullet[\varphi](V^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}})^\bullet[\varphi] + \mathcal{F}_{2k}(V^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}})^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma].$$

Now a simple calculation shows that

$$(V^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}})^\bullet[\varphi] = -(n-2k)V^{-\frac{2n-2k}{n}}\int_M \varphi dv$$

and

$$(V^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}})^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma] = -(n-2k)V^{-\frac{2n-2k}{n}}\int_M (\psi + n\varphi^2)dv + (n-2k)(2n-2k)V^{-\frac{3n-2k}{n}}\left(\int_M \varphi dv\right)^2.$$

Hence $(\mathcal{F}_{2k})^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-2k)\int_M \varphi v_{2k}dv$ yields

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k})^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma] = V^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}}\Big[(\mathcal{F}_{2k})^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma] + 2k(n-2k)V^{-1}v_{2k}\left(\int_M \varphi dv\right)^2 - n(n-2k)v_{2k}\int_M \varphi^2 dv - (n-2k)v_{2k}\int_M \psi dv\Big];$$

here we utilized the fact that v_{2k} is constant at g. Now combining this formula with the consequence

$$(\mathcal{F}_{2k})^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma] = (n-2k)\left(-2kv_{2k}\int_M \varphi^2 dv - \int_M \varphi\delta(L_{2k}d\varphi)dv + v_{2k}\int_M (\psi+n\varphi^2)dv\right)$$

of (12.1) gives

$$(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k})^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma] = -V^{-\frac{n-2k}{n}}(n-2k)$$
$$\left(2kv_{2k}\int_M \varphi^2 dv - 2kv_{2k}V^{-1}\left(\int_M \varphi dv_g\right)^2 + \int_M \varphi\delta(L_{2k}d\varphi)dv\right).$$

Hence the relation

$$\int_M \left(\varphi - V^{-1}\int_M \varphi dv\right)^2 dv = \int_M \varphi^2 dv - V^{-1}\left(\int_M \varphi dv\right)^2$$

completes the proof. □

The arguments in the latter proof generalize those in [GL11] (in the case $k = 3$). Now a similar reasoning as above yields the following result.

Corollary 12.1. *Let g be an Einstein metric with non-zero scalar curvature. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a curve in the conformal class of g with $\gamma(0) = g$ and $(\partial/\partial t)|_0(\gamma(t)) = 2\varphi g$. Then*

$$(\partial^2/\partial t^2)|_0(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}(\gamma(t)))$$
$$= V(g)^{-(n-2k)/n}(n-2k)\binom{n-1}{k-1}\frac{1}{2}(-c)^{k-1}\int_M \bar{\varphi}(4nc\bar{\varphi} + \Delta\bar{\varphi})dv_g, \quad (12.6)$$

where $c = \mathrm{scal}(g)/(4n(n-1))$. In particular, if $2k < n$ and $\mathrm{scal}(g) > 0$, then $(-1)^k\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}$ has a local minimum at g.

If $\mathrm{scal}(g) > 0$, $2k < n$ and (M^n, g) is not isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$, the right-hand side of (12.6) vanishes iff $\bar{\varphi} = 0$, i.e., iff φ is constant. Therefore, in this case, the functional $(-1)^k\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{2k}$ has a strict minimum (modulo rescalings). The special case $k = 3$ of this result is due to Guo and Li [GL09].

Next, we outline the arguments which prove an analogous result for the renormalized volume functional $\mathcal{V}_n(g_+; g)$.

We start by recalling the definition of $\mathcal{V}_n(g_+; g)$ following [G00]. Assume that (M, c) is the conformal infinity of a conformally compact Einstein manifold (X, g_+). Then for any choice of $g \in c$ there is a boundary defining function $0 < r \in C^\infty(X)$ so that $g_+ = r^{-2}(dr^2 + g(r))$ near the boundary M and $g(0) = g$. Let

$$\mathcal{V}(g_+; g) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \mathrm{FP}_{\varepsilon=0}\int_{r>\varepsilon} dv_{g_+}. \quad (12.7)$$

For more details see [G00], [BJ10]. As noted in [A09], this Hadamard regularization of the volume $\int_X dv_{g_+}$ coincides with the Riesz regularization

$$\mathrm{FP}_{\lambda=0}\int_X r^\lambda dv_{g_+}.$$

Theorem 12.2 ([CFG12])**.** *Let (M^n, g) be a closed unit volume Einstein manifold of even dimension $n \geq 4$. Assume that $(M^n, [g])$ is the conformal infinity of a Poincaré-Einstein manifold (X^{n+1}, g_+). Then the restriction of the renormalized volume functional $\mathcal{V}_n(g_+; \cdot)$ to the set $[g]_1$ is critical at g and the second variation at g is given by*

$$\mathcal{V}_n^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi] = (-c)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\frac{1}{2}\binom{n-1}{\frac{n}{2}-1}\int_M \varphi(4nc\varphi + \Delta\varphi)dv. \tag{12.8}$$

Proof. By the general variational formula

$$\mathcal{V}_n^{\bullet}[\varphi] = \int_M \varphi v_n dv$$

(see [G00]) and the arguments in the proof of Theorem 12.1, it suffices to prove that

$$v_n^{\bullet}[\varphi] = (-c)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\frac{1}{2}\binom{n-1}{\frac{n}{2}-1}(4nc\varphi + \Delta\varphi)$$

at the Einstein metric g_0. But this is a consequence of Theorem 8.1, (12.2) and (12.3). □

Again, Theorem 12.2 implies that $(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}\mathcal{V}_n(g_+; \cdot)$ has a local minimum at g if $\mathrm{scal}(g) > 0$ and $\mathcal{V}_n(g_+; \cdot$ has a local maximum at g if $\mathrm{scal}(g) < 0$. The latter results also appear in [GMS12] (Lemma 4.4).[22]

We continue with an analogous discussion of the functional $\int_M a_{(2,n-2)}dv$.

We first recall that the functional

$$\mathscr{W}(r; g) \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \int_M (\dot{w}(r))^2 dv_g \tag{12.9}$$

is critical at Einstein metrics. This follows from Theorem 1.6 and the fact that $v(r)$ is constant for Einstein metrics.

Theorem 12.3. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed Einstein manifold of even dimension $n \geq 4$. Assume that g has non-zero scalar curvature. Then the restriction of the (integrated) critical heat kernel coefficient $a_{(2,n-2)}$ to the full conformal class $c = [g]$ has a local extremum at g. More precisely,*

- *$(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\int_M a_{(2,n-2)}dv$ has a local maximum at g if $\mathrm{scal}(g) > 0$, and*
- *$\int_M a_{(2,n-2)}dv$ has a local minimum at g if $\mathrm{scal}(g) < 0$.*

If (M^n, g) is not isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere then the extreme values are strict (modulo rescaling).

We recall that the total integral of $a_{(2,n-2)}$ is invariant under rescalings (see (1.19)).

Proof. The proof rests on a formula for the second conformal variation of the functional

$$\int_M a_{(2,n-2)}dv$$

[22]However, the arguments in [GMS12] are flawed by the fact that the Hessian of the restriction of $\mathcal{V}_n$ to $[g_0]_1$ is incorrectly calculated using paths of the form $e^{t\varphi}g_0$. For the round sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$, this has the effect that the incorrect Hessian does not have the infinitesimal conformal factors of conformal diffeomorphisms in its kernel.

at an Einstein metric. By Theorem 1.8, this functional equals the sum of

$$\mathscr{V}_n = -\frac{n^2}{6}\int_M v_n dv$$

and

$$\mathscr{W}_{n-2} = \int_M (\dot{w}(r))^2_{n-2} dv.$$

Since the functional $\mathscr{V}_n$ is conformally invariant, it only remains to determine the second conformal variation of $\mathscr{W}_{n-2}$ at Einstein metrics. For this purpose, we need the following result.

Proposition 12.2. *Let g be an Einstein metric and let $\gamma(t) = e^{2\varphi(t)}g$ be a curve in the full conformal class of g so that $\varphi(0) = 0$, $\varphi'(0) = \varphi$. Then*

$$(\partial^2/\partial t^2)|_0(\mathscr{W}_{n-2}(\gamma(t))) = \frac{1}{2}\binom{n-4}{\frac{n}{2}-2}(-c)^{\frac{n}{2}-2}\int_M \varphi\Delta(4cn+\Delta)(\varphi)dv_g, \tag{12.10}$$

where $c = \mathrm{scal}(g)/(4n(n-1))$ and $\Delta = -\delta d$.

Proof. We note that

$$(\partial^2/\partial t^2)|_0(\mathscr{W}(r;\gamma(t))) \\ = (\partial/\partial t)|_0((\partial/\partial t)(\mathscr{W}(r;\gamma(t)))) = (\partial/\partial t)|_0((\partial/\partial s)|_0(\mathscr{W}(r;\gamma(t+s)))).$$

We evaluate the latter derivative at an Einstein metric. By Theorem 1.6 and Lemma 8.1, we find that $(\partial^2/\partial t^2)|_0(\mathscr{W}(r;\gamma(t)))$ is the sum of the two terms

$$(n-2-r\partial/\partial r)(\partial/\partial t)|_0\left(\int_M \varphi'(t)\dot{w}^2(r;\gamma(t))dv_{\gamma(t)}\right) \tag{12.11}$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2}r\int_M \varphi\delta_g(g^{-1}(r)v(r))d((\dot{v}/v(r))^\bullet[\varphi])dv_g. \tag{12.12}$$

Here we utilized the fact that, for an Einstein metric, $v(r)$ is constant on M and thus $(\dot{v}/v)(r)$ is annihilated by d. Now the first term does not contribute to the homogeneous part $\mathscr{W}_{n-2}$. Thus, it only remains to analyze the corresponding contribution by the second term. But, for an Einstein metric g, (12.12) simplifies to

$$\frac{1}{2}r(1-cr^2)^{n-2}\int_M \varphi\Delta_g((\dot{v}/v(r))^\bullet[\varphi])dv_g.$$

Next we prove that

$$((\dot{v}/v)(r))^\bullet[\varphi] = r(1-cr^2)^{-2}(4cn+\Delta)(\varphi),\ \Delta = -\delta d. \tag{12.13}$$

For that purpose, we combine Theorem 8.1 and its consequence

$$(\dot{v})^\bullet[\varphi] = -\varphi(\dot{v}+r\ddot{v}) - \delta(\dot{L}d\varphi)$$

with the formula

$$\left(\frac{\dot{v}}{v}\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = \frac{(\dot{v})^\bullet[\varphi]}{v} - \frac{\dot{v}}{v^2}v^\bullet[\varphi].$$

We find that

$$\left(\frac{\dot v}{v}\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = \varphi\left(r\frac{\dot v^2}{v^2} - r\frac{\ddot v}{v} - \frac{\dot v}{v}\right) - \frac{1}{r}\delta(\dot L d\varphi) + \frac{\dot v}{v^2}\delta(Ld\varphi).$$

Now (12.13) follows from this formula by a direct calculation using (12.2) and (12.3). The assertion follows by combining these results. □

Now by combining Proposition 12.2 with the spectral decomposition of Δ on M, we deduce the following facts.

1. Let $\mathrm{scal}(g) < 0$. Then $c < 0$ and the integral on the right-hand side of (12.10) is strictly positive except for constant φ.
2. Let $\mathrm{scal}(g) > 0$. Then $c > 0$. If (M^n, g) is not isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere, then Obata's estimate $\lambda_1 > \mathrm{scal}(g)/(n-1)$ for the smallest non-trivial eigenvalue λ_1 of $-\Delta$ implies that the integral on the right-hand side of (12.10) is strictly positive for non-constant φ. If (M^n, g) is isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere, then the right-hand side of (12.10) vanishes for constant φ and $\varphi \in \ker(\Delta + \lambda_1)$.

In all cases, non-trivial kernels of the right-hand side of (12.10) reflect obvious invariance properties of $\mathscr{W}_{n-2}$ (under rescalings and conformal diffeomorphisms). This proves the assertions. □

Finally, we discuss the extremal behaviour of the functionals

$$\mathscr{W}_{2k}(g) = \int_M (\dot w(r))^2_{2k} dv_g,\ 2k \neq n-2$$

at Einstein metrics.

Theorem 12.4. *Let (M^n, g) be a closed unit volume Einstein manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$. Assume that $\mathrm{scal}(g) > 0$ and let $2k < n-2$. Then the restriction of the functional $(-1)^k\mathscr{W}_{2k}$ to $c_1 = [g]_1$ has a local maximum at g. Similarly, if $\mathrm{scal}(g) < 0$ and $2k > n-2$, the restriction of the functional $\mathscr{W}_{2k}$ to c_1 has a local minimum at g. In the case $\mathrm{scal}(g) > 0$, the local maximum is strict unless (M^n, g) is isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere.*

Proof. In the following, we shall use the notation of the proof of Theorem 12.2. That proof shows that the second conformal variation

$$(\partial^2/\partial t^2)|_0(\mathscr{W}(r;\gamma(t)))$$

is the sum of (12.11) and (12.12). The first of these two terms equals

$$(n-2-r\partial/\partial r)\left(\int_M [(\psi + n\varphi^2)\dot w^2(r) + \varphi(\partial/\partial t)|_0(\dot w^2(r;\gamma(t)))]dv_g\right).$$

Since the path $\gamma(t)$ consists of unit volume metrics and $\dot w^2(r)$ is constant on M, this contribution simplifies to

$$(n-2-r\partial/\partial r)\left(\int_M \varphi(\dot w^2(r))^\bullet[\varphi]dv_g\right). \tag{12.14}$$

Now the proof of Theorem 1.6 in Section 8 shows that at general metrics

$$4(\dot w^2(r))^\bullet[\varphi] = (-2\dot v/v\delta(\dot L d\varphi) + (\dot v/v)^2\delta(Ld\varphi) - 2\dot v/v(\partial/\partial r)(r\dot v)\varphi + (\dot v/v)^2 r\dot v\varphi).$$

But a calculation using (12.2) and (12.3) shows that for an Einstein metric the latter sum simplifies to

$$-2n(2cr^2-nc^2r^4)(1-cr^2)^{n-3}(4cn+\Delta)(\varphi).$$

For $k>1$, the coefficient of r^{2k} in the r-expansion of this sum equals

$$(-c)^k 2n\left(2\binom{n-3}{k-1}+n\binom{n-3}{k-2}\right)(4cn+\Delta)(\varphi);$$

the same formulas holds true also for $k=1$ with the convention that the second binomial coefficient vanishes. Hence (12.14) contributes by

$$(n-2-2k)(-c)^k\frac{n}{2}\left(2\binom{n-3}{k-1}+n\binom{n-3}{k-2}\right)\int_M \varphi(4cn+\Delta)(\varphi)dv_g \tag{12.15}$$

to the second variation of $\mathscr{W}_{2k}$ at g. Next, by arguments as in the proof of Proposition 12.2, (12.12) yields

$$\frac{r^2}{2}(1-cr^2)^{n-4}\int_M \varphi\Delta(4cn+\Delta)(\varphi)dv_g.$$

This term contributes by

$$\frac{1}{2}\binom{n-4}{k-1}(-c)^{k-1}\int_M \varphi\Delta(4cn+\Delta)(\varphi)dv_g \tag{12.16}$$

to the second variation of $\mathscr{W}_{2k}$ at g.

Now assume that $\mathrm{scal}(g)>0$ and that (M^n,g) is not isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere. Then Obata's estimate $\lambda_1 > \mathrm{scal}(g)/(n-1) = 4cn$ implies that the integrals in (12.15) and (12.16) are negative and non-negative, respectively. Thus, in the case of positive scalar curvature, the second variation of $(-1)^k\mathscr{W}_{2k}$ at g is negative if $2k<n-2$. Similarly, if $\mathrm{scal}(g)<0$, the second variation of $\mathscr{W}_{2k}$ at g is positive if $2k>n-2$. This proves the assertions in this case. Finally, by the same arguments as in the proof of Theorem 12.1, the assertions hold true also if (M^n,g) is isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere . □

Note that if $\mathrm{scal}(g)<0$ and $2k<n-2$, the contributions (12.15) and (12.16) have opposite signs, and there is no analogous result.

For explicit formulas for the low-order scalar Riemannian invariants $\omega_{2k}=(\dot{w}(r))^2_{2k}$ in terms of renormalized volume coefficients we refer to Section 14.9.

13. Further results, comments and open problems

In the present section, we briefly discuss a number of further results and point out some open problems.

13.1. **Global conformal invariants.** Theorem 1.4 yields a sequence of conformal variational integrals. These are defined by integration of local Riemannian invariants which are given by *correcting* the sub-leading heat kernel coefficient $a_2(r)$ of $\mathcal{H}(r)$ by $(\dot{w}(r))^2$. In fact, for even n and $2k<n-2$, Theorem 1.4 implies that the functional

$$g\mapsto \int_M \Lambda_{2k}(g)dv_g \Big/ \left(\int_M dv_g\right)^{\frac{n-2-2k}{n}} \tag{13.1}$$

is *critical* at g in the conformal class of g iff

$$\Lambda_{2k}(g) = \text{constant}$$

(see also the discussion in Section 12). In the same way, $a_0(r) = v(r)$ and Theorem 1.3 show that the Taylor coefficients of $a_0(r)$ give rise to a sequence of conformal variational integrals. In this case, no correction terms are needed. Of course, Theorem 1.8 tells that the resulting two sequences essentially coincide.

It is natural to ask for generalizations of these results to all heat kernel coefficients of $\mathcal{H}(r)$. Similarly, as for $a_2(r)$, this leads to the problem of finding local conformal primitives of the coefficients in the asymptotic expansion (for $t \to 0$) of the restriction $\mathscr{C}(x, x, t; r)$ to the diagonal of the smooth kernel of the operator

$$\mathscr{C}(t; r) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma [\mathcal{R}(\lambda), [\mathcal{R}(\lambda), \dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)]] e^{-t\lambda} d\lambda.$$

More precisely, let

$$\sum_{k\geq 0} a_{(2j,2k)} r^{2k} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k\geq 1} c_{(2j,2k)} r^{2k-1}$$

be the respective Taylor expansions of $a_{2j}(r)$ for $j \geq 0$ and $c_{2j}(r)$ for $j \geq 1$; we recall that $c_0 = 0$ (see also (5.8)). The relation (5.6) implies the conformal variational formula

$$\left(\int_M a_{(2j,2k)} dv \right)^\bullet [\varphi] = (n-2j-2k) \int_M \varphi a_{(2j,2k)} dv - \frac{1}{2} \int_M \varphi c_{(2j,2k)} dv. \tag{13.2}$$

We rephrase that relation by saying that $a_{(2j,2k)}$ is a local conformal primitive of $-1/2 c_{(2j,2k)}$. It follows that

the difference of $a_{(2j,2k)}$ and any *other* local conformal primitive of $-1/2 c_{(2j,2k)}$

(in the described sense) is a non-trivial Riemannian invariant $\Lambda_{(2j,2k)}$ so that

$$\left(\int_{M^n} \Lambda_{(2j,2k)} dv \right)^\bullet [\varphi] = (n-2j-2k) \int_{M^n} \varphi \Lambda_{(2j,2k)} dv. \tag{13.3}$$

In particular for even n and $2 \leq 2j \leq n-2$ the integrals

$$\int_{M^n} \Lambda_{(2j,n-2j)} dv$$

are conformally invariant.

13.2. **Spectral zeta functions.** The sub-critical renormalized volume coefficients v_{2k} (for $2k \leq n-2$) have local conformal primitives given by multiples of v_{2k}, respectively. In contrast, for even n, the critical renormalized volume coefficient v_n is the conformal anomaly of the non-local renormalized volume functional (see (12.7)):

$$(d/dt)|_0 (\mathcal{V}_n(g_+; e^{2t\varphi} g)) = \int_{M^n} \varphi v_n(g) dv_g.$$

This fact may be regarded as an analog of the fact that the (logarithm of the) non-local functional determinant of the conformal Laplacian is a conformal primitive of the critical heat kernel coefficient a_n:

$$(\log \det(-P_2(g)))^\bullet [\varphi] = -2 \int_{M^n} \varphi a_n(g) dv_g \tag{13.4}$$

(see [PR87], [BO86])[23]; here we assume that the kernel of $P_2(g)$ is trivial.

We briefly recall the definition of the functional determinant $\det(-P_2)$. Assume that the spectrum of $-P_2$ consists only of positive eigenvalues λ_k. Then the spectral zeta function

$$\zeta(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_k \lambda_k^{-s}, \quad \Re(s) > n/2$$

admits a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ with at most simple poles off $s = 0$. Since the continuation of $\zeta(s)$ is holomorphic at $s = 0$, it can be used to define the determinant of $-P_2$ by the formula

$$\det(-P_2) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \exp(-\zeta'(0)). \tag{13.5}$$

In general, $-P_2$ has a non-trivial kernel and finitely many negative eigenvalues. The definition of the determinant extends to that case by

$$\det(-P_2) = (-1)^{\#(\textit{negative eigenvalues})} \exp(-\zeta'(0))$$

with the modified zeta function

$$\zeta(s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{\lambda_k \neq 0} |\lambda_k|^{-s}, \quad \Re(s) > n/2.$$

It is natural to look at the analogy between the determinant and the renormalized volume from the perspective of the two-parameter spectral zeta-function

$$\zeta(r; s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Tr}((-\mathcal{H}(r))^{-s}) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \operatorname{Tr}(e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}) dt, \ \Re(s) > n/2; \tag{13.6}$$

here we assume again that the spectrum of $-\mathcal{H}(r)$ consists only of positive eigenvalues $\lambda_k(r)$ (for sufficiently small r). Then

$$\zeta(r; s) = \sum_k \frac{1}{\lambda_k(r)^s}, \quad \Re(s) > n/2.$$

Again, by standard arguments, the function $\zeta(r; s)$ admits a meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ (in the variable s) with at most simple poles $s \neq 0$. Obviously, $\zeta(0; s)$ coincides with the spectral zeta-function of the conformal Laplacian P_2.

Now we consider the behaviour of $\zeta(s) = \zeta(0; s)$ near $s = 0$. The constant term in the Laurent series

$$\zeta(s) = \zeta(0) + s\zeta'(0) + s^2(\cdot)$$

near $s = 0$ satisfies

$$\zeta(0) = \int_M a_n dv.$$

The conformal variational formula for the trace of the heat kernel implies that

$$\zeta(s)^\bullet[\varphi] = 2s\zeta(\varphi; s) \tag{13.7}$$

with the local zeta function

$$\zeta(\varphi; s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty t^{s-1} \operatorname{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(0)}) dt, \ \Re(s) > n/2$$

[23]In the present section we absorb the coefficient $(4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}}$ into the definition of the heat kernel coefficients. In particular, with this convention, $a_n \in C^\infty(M)$ denotes the constant term in the asymptotic expansion of the restriction to the diagonal of the heat kernel of P_2.

of the conformal Laplacian $\mathcal{H}(0) = P_2$. Hence, by the conformal invariance of $\zeta(0)$, we find that

$$s(\zeta'(0))^\bullet[\varphi] + s^2(\cdot) = 2s\zeta(\varphi; s).$$

Thus

$$\zeta'(0)^\bullet[\varphi] = 2\int_M \varphi a_n dv. \tag{13.8}$$

This is the basic argument which yields the infinitesimal Polyakov formula (13.4).

Next, we consider the behaviour of $\zeta(r; s)$ near $s = n/2$. The conformal variational formula in Theorem 1.2 shows that for $\Re(s) > \frac{n}{2}$

$$\zeta(r; s)^\bullet[\varphi] = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}(e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)})^\bullet[\varphi]dt$$

equals

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\Big(-2\int_0^\infty t^s(\partial/\partial t)(\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}))dt - \frac{r}{2}\int_0^\infty t^s\,\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi(\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)} + e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r)))dt\Big).$$

By the arguments on page 11 (and partial integration in the first integral), the latter sum can be rewritten as

$$\frac{2s}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}\,\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)})dt - \frac{r}{\Gamma(s)}\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}(\partial/\partial r)(\mathrm{Tr}(\varphi e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)}))dt, \tag{13.9}$$

up to the double-commutator term

$$-\frac{1}{\Gamma(s)}\frac{r}{2}\int_0^\infty t^{s-1}\int_M \varphi(x)\mathscr{C}(x, x, t; r)dvdt. \tag{13.10}$$

We interpret the sum in (13.9) in terms of local zeta functions as

$$(2s - r\partial/\partial r)\zeta(\varphi; r; s).$$

Now we restrict the considerations to conformal variations at an Einstein metric g_0. This has two effects: 1. the holographic Laplacian $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ is defined for all metrics g in the conformal class $[g_0]$ to *all* orders in r, and 2. the double commutator term (13.10) vanishes at g_0. It follows that the conformal variation of the zeta function at an Einstein metric is given by the formula

$$\zeta(r; s)^\bullet[\varphi] = (2s - r\partial/\partial r)\zeta(\varphi; r; s),\ \Re(s) > n/2. \tag{13.11}$$

This relation generalizes (13.7).

Now let n be even. We expand $\zeta(r; s)$ in the variable r:

$$\zeta(r; s) = \zeta_0(s) + r^2\zeta_2(s) + \cdots + r^n\zeta_n(s) + \cdots. \tag{13.12}$$

A similar expansion of the local zeta function $\zeta(\varphi; r; s)$ defines $\zeta_n(\varphi; s)$. Now (13.11) implies

$$\zeta_n(s)^\bullet[\varphi] = (2s - n)\zeta_n(\varphi; s). \tag{13.13}$$

The residue of $\zeta(r; s)$ at $s = n/2$ is proportional to the total integral of $a_0(r)$, i.e., to the total integral of $v(r)$. Hence the function $\zeta_n(s)$ has a Laurent series of the form

$$\zeta_n(s) = \frac{\mathrm{res}_{\frac{n}{2}}(\zeta_n)}{s - \frac{n}{2}} + Z_{(0,n)} + O(s - n/2)$$

near $s = \frac{n}{2}$ with a residue which is proportional to the total integral of v_n. Similarly, the residue of $\zeta_n(\varphi; s)$ at $s = n/2$ is proportional to $\int_M \varphi v_n dv$. We recall that the total integral of v_n is conformally invariant. Therefore, the relation (13.13) implies the variational formula

$$Z^{\bullet}_{(0,n)}[\varphi] = 2c_n \int_{M^n} \varphi v_n dv, \quad c_n^{-1} = \Big(\frac{n}{2} - 1\Big)!(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \tag{13.14}$$

at an Einstein metric. Since v_n is constant at Einstein metrics, (13.14) implies that, under volume preserving conformal variations, the functional $Z_{(0,n)}$ is *critical* at Einstein metrics.

The above observation admits the following generalization to *all* critical heat kernel coefficients $a_{(2j,n-2j)}$. For $j = 0, \dots, n/2 - 1$, the function $\zeta(r; s)$ has a Laurent series of the form

$$\zeta(r; s) = \frac{\operatorname{res}_{\frac{n}{2}-j}(\zeta(r; \cdot))}{s - (\frac{n}{2} - j)} + Z_j(r) + O(s - n/2 + j)$$

near $s = n/2 - j$. Let $Z_j(r) = Z_{(j,0)} + r^2 Z_{(j,2)} + \dots + r^n Z_{(j,n)} + \dots$. In these terms, (13.11) implies the variational formulas

$$\operatorname{res}_{\frac{n}{2}-j}(\zeta_{2k}(\cdot))^{\bullet}[\varphi] = (n - 2j - 2k) \operatorname{res}_{\frac{n}{2}-j}(\zeta_{2k}(\varphi; \cdot)) \tag{13.15}$$

and

$$Z^{\bullet}_{(j,n-2j)}[\varphi] = 2 \operatorname{res}_{\frac{n}{2}-j}(\zeta_{n-2j}(\varphi; \cdot)) \tag{13.16}$$

at Einstein metrics. Since the residue $\operatorname{res}_{\frac{n}{2}-j}(\zeta_{2k}(\varphi; \cdot))$ is proportional to

$$\int_{M^n} \varphi a_{(2j,2k)} dv,$$

(13.15) just restates (5.6) for Einstein metrics.

Now let $n \geq 3$ be odd. In this case, the functions $s \mapsto \zeta(r, s)$ and $s \mapsto \zeta(\varphi; r, s)$ are regular at

$$s \in \{0, 1, \dots, (n-1)/2, \dots\}.$$

The relation (13.11) implies that the restriction of *all* zeta-values

$$\zeta_{2k}(k) \quad \text{for } k \in \{0, 1, \dots, (n-1)/2, \dots\} = \mathbb{N}_0 \tag{13.17}$$

to the conformal class of an Einstein metric g are critical at g. This result extends the well-known conformal invariance of the zeta-value $\zeta(0) = \zeta_0(0)$ in odd dimensions. Note that the function $\zeta_2(s)$ is given by the weighted Dirichlet series

$$-s \sum_k \frac{\dot{\lambda}_k(0)}{\lambda_k(0)} \frac{1}{\lambda_k(0)^s}, \quad \Re(s) > n/2,$$

where $\dot{\lambda}_k = \partial \lambda_k / \partial(r^2)$. It is an interesting open problem to study the *second* variation of the zeta values $\zeta_{2k}(k)$ at Einstein metrics.

An extension of the above results to general metrics would require a proper understanding of the term (13.10) (being holomorphic at $s = n/2$ in view of $c_0 = 0$). In this direction, it would be of interest to characterize the metrics for which the zeta values $\zeta_{2k}(k)$ (for odd n and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$) are critical in its conformal class.

Finally, we emphasize that in odd dimensions the functionals

$$g \mapsto \zeta_{2k}(k;g)$$

are scale-invariant. In fact, (1.12) shows that

$$\zeta(r;s;\lambda^2 g) = \lambda^{2s}\zeta(r/\lambda;s;g).$$

Hence

$$\zeta_{2k}(k;\lambda^2 g) = \zeta_{2k}(k;g).$$

The latter observation should be regarded as a natural analog of the scale-invariance of the total integrals of the critical heat kernel coefficients $a_{(2j,n-2j)}$ in even dimensions (see (1.19)).

13.3. **A heat kernel proof of the variational formula of $v(r)$.** We have seen in Section 6 that the conformal variational formulas for the integrated renormalized volume coefficients (Theorem 1.3) are consequences of the variational formula for the *trace* of the heat kernel of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ (Theorem 1.2). The proof of Theorem 1.2 itself rests on Theorem 1.1. But Theorem 1.1 actually implies also a conformal variational formula for the local (i.e., non-integrated) leading heat kernel coefficient $a_0(r;g) \in C^\infty(M)$ of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$. In fact, a version of Lemma 5.1 states that the variation

$$(\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_0(\exp(t\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g))) \tag{13.18}$$

equals the sum

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}t((\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_0(\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g))e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)} + e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}(\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_0(\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g)))\\ - \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_\Gamma [\mathcal{R}(\lambda),[\mathcal{R}(\lambda),(\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_0(\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g))]]e^{-t\lambda}d\lambda.\end{aligned} \tag{13.19}$$

We determine the coefficients of $(4\pi t)^{-n/2}$ in the asymptotic expansions for $t \to 0$ of the restriction to the diagonal of the kernels of both sides of this identity. On the one hand, for (13.18) we obtain

$$(\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_0(a_0(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g)) + n\varphi a_0(r;g).$$

On the other hand, the integral in (13.19) has an asymptotic expansion which starts with a multiple of $(4\pi t)^{-n/2}t$. Therefore, only the first two terms in (13.19) contribute. Since $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ and $(\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_0(\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g))$ are self-adjoint, the contributions by these two terms coincide with the contribution by

$$t((\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_0(\mathcal{H}(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g))e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 1.1, it only remains to determine the corresponding coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of the restriction to the diagonal of the kernel of the operator

$$\begin{aligned}&-\frac{1}{2}rt(\varphi\dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g) + \dot{\mathcal{H}}(r;g)\varphi)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)} - 2t\varphi\mathcal{H}(r;g)e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}\\ &+\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)t[\mathcal{H}(r;g),\varphi]e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)} - t[\mathcal{H}(r;g),[\mathcal{K}(r;g),\varphi]]e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}.\end{aligned} \tag{13.20}$$

By Lemma 5.1, the sum of the contributions by the kernels of the operators in the first line of (13.20) coincides with that of the kernel of

$$-\varphi r(\partial/\partial r)(e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}) - 2t\varphi(\partial/\partial t)(e^{t\mathcal{H}(r;g)}).$$

Thus, the kernels of the operators in the first line of (13.20) contribute by

$$-\varphi r(\partial/\partial r)(a_0(r;g)) + n\varphi a_0(r;g)$$

to the coefficient of $(4\pi t)^{-n/2}$. Now, since the first term in the second line of (13.20) does not contribute to that coefficient, we have proved the relation

$$(\partial/\partial\varepsilon)|_0(a_0(r;e^{2\varepsilon\varphi}g)) = -\varphi r(\partial/\partial r)(a_0(r;g)) - \kappa_0(r;g;\varphi), \tag{13.21}$$

where $\kappa_0(r;g;\varphi)$ defines the *leading* coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of the restriction to the diagonal of the kernel of

$$t[\mathcal{H}(r;g),[\mathcal{K}(r;g),\varphi]](\exp(t\mathcal{H}(r;g))),$$

i.e.,

$$t[\mathcal{H}(r;g),[\mathcal{K}(r;g),\varphi]](\exp(t\mathcal{H}(r;g)))|_{y=x} \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(\kappa_0(r;g;\varphi) + O(t)) \tag{13.22}$$

for $t \to 0$. Hence by combining the relation $a_0(r) = v(r)$ with the formula

$$\kappa_0(r;g;\varphi) = \delta_g(v(r)\int_0^r sg(s)^{-1}dsd\varphi) \tag{13.23}$$

we complete the **heat kernel proof** of Theorem 8.1. In the following, we shall outline the arguments which prove (13.23).

We start with a discussion of the special case of conformal variations of renormalized volume coefficients at the round metric g_0 on $\mathbb{S}^n$. In that case, the double-commutator term $[\mathcal{H}(r;g),[\mathcal{K}(r;g),\varphi]]$ reduces to

$$\frac{r^2}{4}(1-r^2/4)^{-3}[\Delta_{g_0},[\Delta_{g_0},\varphi]].$$

(see the discussion in Section 3). Moreover, the off-diagonal expansion of the heat kernel

$$\exp(t\mathcal{H}(r;g_0))(x,y) = \exp(t(1-r^2/4)^{-2}P_2(g_0))(x,y),\ x \sim y$$

for $t \to 0$ starts with

$$(4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}(1-r^2/4)^n \exp(-d(x,y)^2/(4t(1-r^2/4)^{-2}))a_0(x,y)$$

with $a_0(x,x) = 1$; here $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the distance function of g_0. Hence the identity

$$[\Delta,[\Delta,\varphi]](u) = 4(\mathrm{Hess}(\varphi),\mathrm{Hess}(u)) + \text{lower-order terms}$$

and the general formula

$$\mathrm{Hess}_x(\exp(-d^2(x,y)/4t))|_{y=x} = -1/2tg_x \tag{13.24}$$

imply that the leading coefficient in the asymptotic expansion of (13.22) equals

$$-(4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\frac{r^2}{2}(1-r^2/4)^{n-1}\,\mathrm{tr}(\mathrm{Hess}(\varphi)) = -(4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}\frac{r^2}{2}(1-r^2/4)^{n-1}\Delta(\varphi).$$

Thus, we find

$$\kappa_0(r;g;\varphi) = -\frac{r^2}{2}(1-r^2/4)^{n-1}\Delta(\varphi).$$

Now an easy calculation shows that the latter result coincides with

$$\delta(v(r)\int_0^r sg(s)^{-1}dsd\varphi).$$

Hence (13.21) reads

$$v(r)^\bullet[\varphi] = -\varphi r\dot{v}(r) - \delta(v(r)\int_0^r sg(s)^{-1}dsd\varphi).$$

This is a special case of Theorem 8.1.

Finally, we outline the arguments which prove (13.23) in the general case. On general grounds, the differential operator $[\mathcal{H}(r;g),[\mathcal{K}(r;g),\varphi]]$ is only second-order. It suffices to determine its leading part. For that purpose, we use Lemma 8.1 to write the operators $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ and $\mathcal{K}(r;g)$ in the form

$$\mathcal{H}(r;g) = v(r)\delta_{g(r)}(v(r)^{-1}d) + \text{potential}$$

and

$$2\mathcal{K}(r;g) = v(r)\delta_{g(r)}(v(r)^{-1}E(r)d) + \text{potential},$$

where $E(r)$ is the endomorphism

$$E(r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g(r)\int_0^r sg(s)^{-1}ds$$

on $\Omega^1(M)$. Now a (long) calculation shows that

$$[\mathcal{H}(r;g),[2\mathcal{K}(r;g),\varphi]](u) = 4(\nabla^{g(r)}(E(r)d\varphi),\mathrm{Hess}^{g(r)}(u))_{g(r)} + \text{lower-order terms}.$$

Hence the relation (13.24) (for $g(r)$) implies that

$$t[\mathcal{H}(r;g),[\mathcal{K}(r;g),\varphi]]_x(\exp(-d^2(r)(x,y)/4t))|_{y=x} = \delta_{g(r)}(E(r)d\varphi),$$

where $d(r)$ denotes the distance function of $g(r)$. By Lemma 8.1 and the definition of $E(r)$, the latter result coincides with

$$v(r)^{-1}\delta_g(v(r)\int_0^r sg(s)^{-1}dsd\varphi).$$

Since the off-diagonal asymptotic expansion of the heat kernel of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ starts with

$$(4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}}v(r)\exp(-d^2(r)(x,y)/4t)(a_0(r;g)(x,y)+O(t)),\ t\to 0$$

with $a_0(r;g)(x,x)=1$,[24] the above results prove the formula (13.23). This completes the heat kernel proof of Theorem 8.1.

13.4. **Hessians.** Although the variational formulas for the integrated renormalized volume coefficients and the integrated heat kernel coefficients have a similar structure, the second conformal variations of these functionals reveal important differences. On the one hand, we have seen in Section 12 that the (conformal) Hessian forms of the functionals

$$\mathcal{F}_{2k} = \int_M v_{2k}dv,\ k=1,\dots,n/2-1$$

at Einstein metrics are quadratic forms which are given by a second-order differential operator (see (12.5)). For even n, a natural substitute for the conformally invariant integral $\mathcal{F}_n$ is given by the renormalized volume $\mathcal{V}(g_+;\cdot)$. The structure of the Hessian form of this functional at Einstein metrics is similar to that of the Hessian forms of $\mathcal{F}_{2k}$ (Theorem 12.2). On the other hand, for the functional determinant of P_2, the

[24]Here we use that $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ is a Laplace-type operator for $g(r)$. We also recall that we define its kernel by integration against the volume of g. This brings in the additional factor $v(r)$.

following conjecture extrapolates from results in low dimensions. For the definition of the determinant we refer to Section 13.2.

Conjecture 13.1. *Let M^n be a closed manifold of even dimension $n \geq 4$ with a locally conformally flat Einstein metric g of unit volume. Assume that* $\ker(P_2(g))$ *is trivial. Then the restriction of the functional* $\log\det(-P_2)$ *to* $[g]_1$ *is critical at g and its second variation at g is given by*

$$(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}(\log\det(-P_2))^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi] = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}+1}\int_{M^n}\varphi(\Delta+4nc)\Pi_{n-2}(c;-\Delta)(\varphi)dv \qquad (13.25)$$

for some real polynomial $\lambda \mapsto \Pi_{n-2}(c;\lambda)$ of degree $n/2-1$ so that

$$\Pi_{n-2}(ac;a\lambda) = a^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\Pi_{n-2}(c;\lambda),\ a\in\mathbb{R}.$$

Here $\Delta = -\delta d$ is the non-negative Laplacian and $c = \mathrm{scal}(g)/(4n(n-1))$. Moreover, if $c > 0$, we have

$$\Pi_{n-2}(c;\lambda) > 0 \quad \textit{for } 0 < \lambda \in \sigma(-\Delta). \qquad (13.26)$$

Some comments are in order. The factor $\Delta + 4nc$ reflects the invariance of the functional under conformal diffeomorphism of the round sphere. In low dimensions, Conjecture 13.1 is supported by the results in Section 14.5.

The positivity (13.26) for $\mathrm{scal}(g) > 0$ would imply that the restriction of $\log\det(-P_2)$ to $[g]_1$ has a local maximum or local minimum at g if $n \equiv 2 \bmod 4$ or $n \equiv 0 \bmod 4$, respectively. For the round sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$, the latter max/min-pattern would correspond to the deeper (conjectural) global behaviour of the determinant of P_2 on the conformal class of the round metric [B96], [B07].

In order to describe the relation of Conjecture 13.1 to well-known conjectures, we recall that on a locally conformally flat manifold (M^n, g) of even dimension $n \geq 4$ and with $\ker(P_2) = 0$, it is expected that [BG08]

$$\log\left(\frac{\det(-P_2(\hat{g}))}{\det(-P_2(g))}\right) = a\int_{M^n}\left(\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}\varphi P_n(g)(\varphi) + Q_n(g)\varphi\right)dv_g + \int_{M^n}(F(\hat{g})dv_{\hat{g}} - F(g)dv_g)\,. \qquad (13.27)$$

Here $\hat{g} = e^{2\varphi}g$, a is a non-vanishing real constant, Q_n is the critical Q-curvature and F is some local scalar Riemannian invariant.[25] A basic feature of this *global* Polyakov formula for P_2 is that it connects the determinant with Q-curvature. In this connection, we also note that the first integral on the right-hand side of (13.27) can be written in the form

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{M^n}\varphi(Q_n(\hat{g})dv_{\hat{g}} + Q_n(g)dv_g).$$

Explicit formulas for a and the invariant F are only known for $n \leq 6$. In particular, in dimension $n = 4$ we have

$$a = (4\pi)^{-2}\frac{1}{45} \quad \text{and} \quad F = (4\pi)^{-2}\frac{1}{45}\mathsf{J}^2$$

[25] The sign $(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}$ in (13.27) is due to the convention $P_n = \Delta^{\frac{n}{2}} + \cdots$ with $\Delta = -\delta d$.

(see Section 14.6). In the situation of Conjecture 13.1, the formula (13.27) implies that, for volume preserving conformal variations $\gamma_t = e^{2\varphi_t} g$ with $\varphi_t = t\varphi + \dots$, the second variational formula reads

$$(\log \det(-P_2))^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi] = a\mathcal{H}_Q(\varphi) + \mathcal{H}_F(\varphi)$$

with the quadratic form

$$\mathcal{H}_Q(\varphi) = \int_{M^n} \varphi((-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} P_n - nQ_n)(\varphi) dv.$$

Thus, in order to study extremal values of the determinant of P_2 in the volume preserving conformal class of an Einstein metric g, it is important to understand the definiteness of the quadratic forms $\mathcal{H}_Q$ and $\mathcal{H}_F$. While we shall see below that for $\mathcal{H}_Q$ this is easy in general dimensions, the Hessian $\mathcal{H}_F$ is subtle and its study requires additional structural insight.

The positive semi-definiteness of $\mathcal{H}_Q$ at an Einstein metric g of *positive* scalar curvature can be seen as follows. For an Einstein metric g, P_n and Q_n are given by

$$P_n(g) = \prod_{j=\frac{n}{2}}^{n-1} (\Delta_g - j(n-1-j)\operatorname{scal}(g)/(n(n-1))),\ \Delta_g = -\delta_g d$$

and

$$Q_n(g) = (n-1)!\,(\operatorname{scal}(g)/(n(n-1)))^{\frac{n}{2}}$$

(see [G06] and [J09a], Section 6.16). It follows that the difference

$$(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} P_n(g) - nQ_n(g) = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} P_n(g) - (4c)^{\frac{n}{2}} n!$$

factors into the product of $-(\Delta + 4nc)$ and a polynomial in $-\Delta$ with *positive* coefficients. Now it suffices to apply Obata's estimate $\lambda_1 \geq 4nc$ for the smallest non-trivial eigenvalue of $-\Delta$.

On the sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$, the positive semi-definiteness of $\mathcal{H}_Q$ actually reflects the fact that the first integral on the right-hand side of (13.27) is non-negative. In fact, this follows from an inequality of Beckner (see [B95], section 2). For more details see the proof of Corollary 14.5.

In Section 12, we have seen that the extremal properties of the total integral of $a_{(2,n-2)}$ at Einstein metrics (Theorem 12.3) are consequences of a beautiful second variational formula for $\mathscr{W}_{n-2}$ (Proposition 12.2). The following conjecture extends this to the total integrals off all critical heat kernel coefficients.

Conjecture 13.2. *Let M^n be a closed manifold of even dimension $n \geq 4$ with a locally conformally flat Einstein metric g. Then for $k = 1, \dots, n/2 - 1$ the restriction of the functional*

$$\int_{M^n} a_{(2k,n-2k)} dv$$

to $[g]$ is critical at g and its second variation at g has the form

$$c^{\frac{n}{2}-k-1}(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \int_{M^n} \varphi\Delta(\Delta + 4nc) H_{2k-2}(c; -\Delta)(\varphi) dv, \quad \Delta = -\delta d \tag{13.28}$$

with a polynomial $\lambda \mapsto H_{2k-2}(c;\lambda)$ *of degree* $k-1$ *so that*

$$H_{2k-2}(ac;a\lambda) = a^{k-1}H_{2k-2}(c;\lambda),\ a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, if $c > 0$*, we have*

$$H_{2k-2}(c;\lambda) > 0 \quad \textit{for } 0 < \lambda \in \sigma(-\Delta).$$

The results in Section 14.11 confirm the special case $(n,k) = (6,2)$. Again, the factors Δ and $\Delta + 4nc$ reflect the scale-invariance of the functional and on the round sphere its invariance under conformal diffeomorphisms, respectively.

Finally, we emphasize that according to Theorem 12.2, Conjecture 13.1 and Conjecture 13.2 the Hessian forms of the functionals $\mathcal{V}_n(g_+,\cdot)$, $\log\det(-P_2)$ and of the total integrals of $a_{(2k,n-2k)}$ at positive Einstein metrics are *all* positive or negative semi-definite if $n \equiv 0$ mod 4 or $n \equiv 2$ mod 4, respectively.

13.5. **Spectral geometry.** Spectral geometry studies the relations between the spectrum of the Laplace-Beltrami operator of a Riemannian manifold and the geometry of the underlying metric. In particular, it is of central interest to understand which geometric quantities are determined by the spectrum and to describe the sets of metrics for which the corresponding Laplace-Beltrami operators have the same spectrum (the so-called *isospectral problem*). In this context, spectral invariants like the heat kernel coefficients play an important role [GPS05], [Zeld07].

Analogous questions may be asked for other geometric operators like the conformal Laplacian and the Dirac operator. In addition, the present paper suggests to study inverse spectral problems for the holographic Laplacian $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$. In the latter case, the spectrum consists of an infinite sequence $\lambda_k(r)$ of eigenvalues depending on a small parameter r. The asymptotic expansion

$$\sum_k e^{t\lambda_k(r)} \sim (4\pi t)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \sum_{j\geq 0} t^j \int_M a_{2j}(r;g)dv_g,\ t \to 0$$

shows that the (integrated) heat kernel coefficients of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ are spectral invariants.

From the perspective of spectral geometry, the presence of the parameter r gives rise to new effects. We illustrate the new quality of the situation by some examples of spectrally determined quantities. For that purpose, we recall that the integrated heat kernel coefficient a_4 of the Laplace-Beltrami operator is a multiple of

$$\int_M (2|R|^2 - 2|\operatorname{Ric}|^2 + 5\operatorname{scal}^2)dv$$

(see Section 14.2). Although this integral is a spectral invariant, it is well known that the three quantities

$$\int_M \operatorname{scal}^2 dv,\ \int_M |\operatorname{Ric}|^2 dv \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M |R|^2 dv \tag{13.29}$$

are *not determined* by the spectrum of the Laplacian (see Section 5.2 of [GPS05]). On the other hand, (1.30) and Theorem 1.8 show that the first two of the integrated heat kernel coefficients of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ are given by the total integrals of polynomials in renormalized

volume coefficients. In particular, the respective coefficients of r^4 and r^2 are given by the integrals

$$\int_M v_4 dv \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M (2/3(n-8)v_4 + v_2^2) dv.$$

Now the explicit formulas $2v_2 = -\mathsf{J}$, $8v_4 = \mathsf{J}^2 - |\mathsf{P}|^2$ and Proposition 14.1 (for a_4) imply that all quantities in (13.29) are *determined* by the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$. Similar arguments using $a_0(r) = v(r)$, Theorem 1.8, Proposition 14.13 and Proposition 14.1 (for a_6) show that for locally conformally flat metrics in dimension $n \neq 6, 8$ the quantities

$$\int_M \mathsf{J}^3 dv, \ \int_M \mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 dv, \ \int_M \operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) dv \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M |d\mathsf{J}|^2 dv$$

are determined by the spectrum of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$.

13.6. **Relation to Q-curvature.** We recall from [B95] that under conformal variations the total integral of Branson's Q-curvature Q_{2N} satisfies

$$\left(\int_{M^n} Q_{2N} dv\right)^\bullet [\varphi] = (n-2N) \int_{M^n} \varphi Q_{2N} dv.$$

Therefore, the relations (13.3) show that under conformal variations the total integrals of the local Riemannian invariants

$$v_{2N}, \ \Lambda_{(2,2N-2)}, \ \cdots, \ \Lambda_{(2N-2,2)} \ \text{ and } \ a_{2N}$$

behave similarly as Q_{2N}. In particular, it is natural to ask for relations among these invariants.

In the low-order cases $N = 1$ and $N = 2$, we find the following (possibly accidental) results.

Lemma 13.1. *We have*

$$Q_2 = -\frac{6}{n-4} a_2$$

for general metrics and

$$Q_4 = \frac{60 a_4}{n-6} - 4\Lambda_2 \tag{13.30}$$

for locally conformally flat metrics.

Proof. The first assertion is obvious. By combining

$$Q_4 = \frac{n}{2}\mathsf{J}^2 - 2|\mathsf{P}|^2 - \Delta\mathsf{J} \quad \text{and} \quad v_4 = \frac{1}{8}(\mathsf{J}^2 - |\mathsf{P}|^2) \quad \text{(see Section 14.5)}$$

with

$$\Lambda_2 = \frac{2}{3}(n-8)v_4 \quad \text{(see (1.41))}$$

and the explicit formula for a_4 (displayed in Section 14.2), it follows that (13.30) is equivalent to the obvious identity

$$6\left(\frac{n}{2}\mathsf{J}^2 - 2|\mathsf{P}|^2 - \Delta\mathsf{J}\right) = ((5n-16)\mathsf{J}^2 - 2(n-2)|\mathsf{P}|^2 - 6\Delta\mathsf{J}) - 2(n-8)(\mathsf{J}^2 - |\mathsf{P}|^2).$$

The proof is complete. □

Further results in this direction may help to clarify the relation between heat kernel coefficients of the conformal Laplacian and Q-curvatures.

13.7. **First-order perturbation.** From the perspective of perturbation theory, it seems natural to perform a systematic study of the properties of the *lowest-order* perturbation of the heat kernel coefficients a_{2k} of the conformal Laplacian which are given by the respective coefficients of r^2 in the power series expansions of the coefficients $a_{2k}(r)$. By Duhamel's formula, the total integrals of these coefficients are given by the coefficients in the expansion of

$$t \operatorname{Tr}(\mathcal{M}_4 e^{tP_2})$$

for $t \to 0$. This expansions contains basic information on the off-diagonal structure of the heat-kernel coefficients $a_{2k}(x, y)$ of P_2. In this connection, the results in [GSZ11] are relevant.

13.8. **Quantization and Einstein condition.** Theorem 1.5 may be regarded as a relation of a quantity which is defined in terms of classical mechanics of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(r; g)(x, \xi)$ and a quantity the definition of which involves its quantization $\Delta_{g(r)}$. It seems natural to ask whether one can *characterize* the families $g(r)$ for which the integral formula (1.35) holds true. What is the role of the Einstein condition for g_+ in this context? We recall that in the given proof of Theorem 1.5 the relation (7.10) plays an important role, and the proof of this relation uses the Einstein property of g_+.

13.9. **Non-Laplace-type operators.** We emphasize again that $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$ is a family of non-Laplace-type operators for the metric g. The spectral theory of such operators is much less understood than that of Laplace-type operators. For some pioneering work on the asymptotic expansion of the trace of the heat kernel of certain classes of elliptic self-adjoint non-Laplace-type operators we refer to [AB01], [A04]. Although the most serious problems arise for such operators on sections of vector bundles, already the scalar-valued case offers substantial difficulties. The cited references use Volterra series (see [BGV92]) to determine the (integrated) leading and sub-leading heat kernel coefficients (partly under additional conditions on the operators). Although these methods can also be applied to the operator $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$, the corresponding consequences remain to be explored.

14. Appendix

In this section we collect basic material which illustrates and complements the general theory. We start with explicit displays of the first few terms in the r-expansion of the holographic Laplacian $\mathcal{H}(r; g)$. Then we proceed with a detailed discussion of the low-order heat kernel coefficients a_2, a_4, a_6 of the conformal Laplacian. Here we review classical results and provide a new derivation of an explicit formula for a_6 (for locally conformally flat metrics). In this connection, we emphasize a holographic perspective, i.e., we describe heat kernel coefficients in terms of renormalized volume coefficients. In a sense, this point of view is dual to the description of renormalized volume coefficients as heat kernel coefficients (as in Lemma 6.1). The holographic perspective naturally leads to a discussion of the relation between the functional determinant (of the conformal Laplacian) and the renormalized volume (in low-order cases), and includes a derivation of an analog for the renormalized volume of Branson's conjectural Polyakov formula for the determinant of P_2. Finally, we determine the coefficient of r^2 in the expansion of the coefficient $a_4(r)$.

14.1. **The holographic Laplacian.** We display explicit formulas for the first three terms in the Taylor-expansion of the holographic Laplacian $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ in r. Its main part is given by $-\delta_g(g(r)^{-1}d)$. Now the expansion of $g(r)$ starts with

$$g(r)_{ij} = g_{ij} - r^2\mathsf{P}_{ij} + r^4\frac{1}{4}\left(\mathsf{P}^2_{ij} - \frac{1}{n-4}\mathcal{B}_{ij}\right) + \cdots, \tag{14.1}$$

where $\mathsf{P}^2_{ij} = \mathsf{P}_{ik}\mathsf{P}^k_j$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is the Bach tensor (see [FG12], [J09a]); here we raised indices using g. It follows that

$$g(r)^{-1}_{ij} = g^{ij} + r^2\mathsf{P}^{ij} + r^4\frac{1}{4}\left(3(\mathsf{P}^2)^{ij} + \frac{1}{n-4}\mathcal{B}^{ij}\right) + \cdots. \tag{14.2}$$

Hence the Taylor-expansion of the main part of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ starts with

$$\Delta - r^2\delta(\mathsf{P}d) - r^4\frac{1}{4}\delta\left(3\mathsf{P}^2 + \frac{1}{n-4}\mathcal{B}\right)d \tag{14.3}$$

(for $n \geq 5$). Next, the potential of the holographic Laplacian has the expansion

$$\mathcal{U}(r) = \sum_{N\geq 1}\mu_{2N}\frac{1}{(N-1)!^2}\left(\frac{r^2}{4}\right)^{N-1} \quad \text{with} \quad \mu_{2N} = \mathcal{M}_{2N}(1).$$

Calculations show that the first three non-trivial coefficients μ_{2N} are given by the formulas

$$\mu_2 = -\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right)\mathsf{J}, \quad \mu_4 = -\mathsf{J}^2 - (n-4)|\mathsf{P}|^2 + \Delta\mathsf{J}$$

and

$$\mu_6 = -8\frac{n-6}{n-4}(\mathcal{B},\mathsf{P}) - 8(n-6)\operatorname{tr}\mathsf{P}^3 - 16\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 - 4|d\mathsf{J}|^2 + 4\Delta|\mathsf{P}|^2 - 16\delta(\mathsf{P}d\mathsf{J})$$

(for $n \geq 5$); for details we refer to [J13]. Hence the expansion of the potential of $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ starts with

$$\begin{aligned}
&- r^0\frac{1}{2}(n-2)\mathsf{J}\\
&+ r^2\frac{1}{4}\left(-\mathsf{J}^2 - (n-4)|\mathsf{P}|^2 + \Delta\mathsf{J}\right)\\
&+ r^4\frac{1}{16}\left(-2\frac{n-6}{n-4}(\mathcal{B},\mathsf{P}) - 2(n-6)\operatorname{tr}\mathsf{P}^3 - 4\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 - |d\mathsf{J}|^2 + \Delta|\mathsf{P}|^2 - 4\delta(\mathsf{P}d\mathsf{J})\right)
\end{aligned}$$

in dimension $n \geq 5$. In particular, for general metrics in dimension $n = 4$, we define

$$\mathcal{H}(r;g) = P_2 + r^2\frac{1}{4}(-4\delta(\mathsf{P}d) - \mathsf{J}^2 + \Delta\mathsf{J}).$$

14.2. **The heat kernel coefficients a_0, a_2 and a_4.** The first three local heat kernel coefficients of the Laplacian Δ are given by $a_0 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
6a_2 &= \operatorname{scal},\\
360a_4 &= 2|R|^2 - 2|\operatorname{Ric}|^2 + 5\operatorname{scal}^2 - 12\Delta\operatorname{scal}, \quad \Delta = \delta d
\end{aligned}$$

Here R and Ric are the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of the given metric, respectively. For proofs of these formulas we refer to [G84]. Detailed proofs of the integrated versions of the above formulas also can be found in Chapter III of [BGM71].

Note that the coefficients in these formulas are universal in the dimension n. This is a consequence of the functoriality of heat kernel coefficients for direct products. [26]

By $R = W - \mathsf{P} \owedge g$, the first three heat kernel coefficients of the *Laplacian* can be written in terms of the Weyl tensor W, the Schouten tensor P and its trace J. Then we obtain $a_0 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} 3a_2 &= (n-1)\mathsf{J}, \\ 180a_4 &= -(n-2)(n-6)|\mathsf{P}|^2 + (10n^2-23n+18)\mathsf{J}^2 - 12(n-1)\Delta\mathsf{J} + |W|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The analogous formulas for the first three heat kernel coefficients of the *conformal Laplacian* read $a_0 = 1$ and

$$6a_2 = -(n-4)\mathsf{J}, \tag{14.4}$$

$$360a_4 = -(n-6)(2(n-2)|\mathsf{P}|^2 - (5n-16)\mathsf{J}^2 - 6\Delta\mathsf{J}) + 2|W|^2, \quad \Delta = \delta d \tag{14.5}$$

(see [PR87], [BO86] and the references therein). The result for a_4 also follows from the calculations in Section 14.11.

In particular, these explicit formulas imply that for $n = 4$ and $n = 6$ the respective coefficients a_2 and a_4 are local conformal invariants. Moreover, they confirm that for $n = 2$ and $n = 4$ the respective total integrals of a_2 and a_4 are global conformal invariants (conformal indices). In fact, we have

$$\int_{M^4} a_4 dv = -1/45 \int_{M^4} (\mathsf{J}^2 - |\mathsf{P}|^2) dv + 1/180 \int_{M^4} |W|^2 dv,$$

and both of the latter integrals are conformally invariant. Using

$$\chi(M^4) = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_{M^4} (8\mathsf{J}^2 - 8|\mathsf{P}|^2 + |W|^2) dv,$$

the latter formula can be written also in the form

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{M^4} a_4 dv = -\frac{1}{180}\chi(M) + \frac{1}{120}\frac{1}{(4\pi)^2} \int_{M^4} |W|^2 dv$$

(see Proposition 4.3 in [PR87]).

14.3. **The heat kernel coefficient a_6.** Explicit formulas for the local heat kernel coefficient a_6 of the conformal Laplacian are substantially more involved. In the literature, various formulas for a_6 and its total integral on closed manifolds can be found. In the pioneering work [G75] (see also Theorem 4.8.16 in [G84]), Gilkey derived a formula for a_6 for general Laplace-type operators on vector bundles. It effectively can be used to deduce explicit formulas for the heat kernel coefficient a_6 of the conformal Laplacian.

The complexity of the situation is illustrated by the fact that Gilkey's general formula (in the scalar case) involves 17 local Riemannian invariants and a basis of 12 invariants which are relevant for the contributions of the potential term. Since there are no canonical bases of invariants, the formulation of any resulting formula for a_6 depends on the choice of such a basis. Gilkey uses a basis of Riemannian invariants which is defined in terms of the curvature tensor R, the Ricci tensor Ric and the scalar curvature scal (and their covariant derivatives). Alternatively, one may use the Weyl tensor W, the

[26] These formulas are already stated in Section 17 of [DW64]. Accordingly, in the physical literature, the heat kernel coefficients are often referred to as the DeWitt coefficients.

trace-less Ricci tensor B and the scalar curvature scal (and their covariant derivatives), or a basis in terms of the Weyl tensor, the Schouten tensor P and its trace J (and their covariant derivatives). The actual comparison of results stated in terms of different bases usually is a non-trivial issue.

By specialization of Gilkey's formula, Parker and Rosenberg derived in [PR87] a formula for a_6 in terms of W, B and scal (and its covariant derivatives) in general dimensions.[27] Unfortunately, the displayed formulas in [PR87] contain some misprints even in the locally conformally flat case. We use the chance to remove these inaccuracies (in Section 14.4).

For locally conformally flat metrics, the coefficient a_6 is somewhat less complicated. For such metrics, Branson (see Lemma 6.9 in [B95]) used an integrated version of Gilkey's formula to prove that

$$7!a_6 = (n-8)\Big(-\frac{1}{9}(35n^2-266n+456)\mathsf{J}^3 + \frac{2}{3}(n-1)(7n-30)\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 \\ - \frac{2}{9}(5n^2-2n-48)\,\mathrm{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) - 3(n-6)|d\mathsf{J}|^2\Big) \quad (14.6)$$

modulo a divergence term. By the conformal covariance of the conformal Laplacian, that results suffices to derive a formula for the hidden divergence term (see the discussion in Section 14.5). Equation (14.6) shows that the coefficient a_6 vanishes in dimension $n = 8$ in the locally conformally flat case. This is yet another example of the general fact that the local heat kernel coefficient a_{n-2} is a local conformal invariant.

For general metrics, comparing Gilkey's formula for a_6 with Lemma 14.1 identifies the local conformal invariant a_6 in dimension $n = 8$ as the element

$$\frac{1}{7!9}(81\Phi_8 + 64I_1 + 352I_2) \quad (14.7)$$

in the three-dimensional space of local conformal invariants I of weight -6, i.e., I satisfies $e^{6\varphi}I(e^{2\varphi}g) = I(g)$ (see Proposition 4.2 of [PR87]). Here, $\Phi_n \overset{\text{def}}{=} |\tilde\nabla\tilde R|^2$ (restricted to $\rho = 0$ and $t = 1$) is the Fefferman-Graham invariant (see Proposition 3.4 in [FG85]) being defined in terms of the Levi-Civita connection $\tilde\nabla$ of the ambient metric $\tilde g$ and its curvature $\tilde R$. Moreover,

$$I_1 = W^{ij}{}_{kl}W^{kl}{}_{mn}W^{mn}{}_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad I_2 = W_{ijkl}W^j{}_m{}^l{}_nW^{imkn}.$$

For more details of the relevant invariant theory see also Section 4 of [B04].

In dimension $n = 6$, the total integral of a_6 can be written as a linear combination of the Euler characteristic and the total integrals of the local conformal invariants I_1, I_2 and $I_3 = \Phi_6$ (see Proposition 4.3 in [PR87]), i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3}\int_{M^6} a_6 dv = \frac{1}{7!}\frac{10}{3}\chi(M) + \sum_{i=1}^{3} c_i \int_{M^6} I_i dv.$$

This is a special case of the Deser-Schwimmer decomposition of global conformal invariants proved in [A12].

The following identity was already stated in [PR87] without a proof. Although some arguments of the following proof are taken from [E97], the actual result differs.

[27] In [PR87], the convention for the signs of the components R_{ijkl} is opposite to that of Gilkey.

Lemma 14.1. *The Fefferman-Graham invariant Φ_n can be written in the form*

$$\Phi_n = \Omega_n - \frac{8}{n-2}(I_1 + 4I_2), \tag{14.8}$$

where

$$\Omega_n \stackrel{def}{=} |\nabla W|^2 - 4(n-10)|\mathcal{C}|^2 + \frac{8}{n-2}(W, \Delta W) - \frac{16}{n-2}\mathsf{J}|W|^2$$

and $\mathcal{C}$ is the Cotton tensor.

Remark 14.1. *The right-hand side of* (14.8) *coincides with the invariant in Equation (4.1) of* [PR87]. *This follows by a direct calculation using the relation*

$$\mathsf{P} = \frac{1}{n-2}B + \frac{1}{2n(n-1)}\operatorname{scal}.$$

Note that the identity $2\Omega_{10} = (\Delta - 4\mathsf{J})(|W|^2) = P_2(|W|^2)$ immediately proves the conformal invariance of Ω_{10}. Lemma 14.1 shows that (14.7) equals

$$\frac{1}{7!}\left(9\Omega_8 - \frac{44}{9}I_1 - \frac{80}{9}I_2\right). \tag{14.9}$$

Proof. We first recall from [FG85] (and [G00]) an explicit formula for the conformal invariant Φ_n. Let

$$\mathcal{C}_{ijk} = \nabla_k \mathsf{P}_{ij} - \nabla_j \mathsf{P}_{ik} \tag{14.10}$$

be the Cotton tensor. Then $\mathcal{C}_{ijk} = -\mathcal{C}_{ikj}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{ijk} + \mathcal{C}_{jki} + \mathcal{C}_{kij} = 0$. Now set[28]

$$V_{ijklm} = \nabla_m W_{ijkl} - g_{im}\mathcal{C}_{jkl} + g_{jm}\mathcal{C}_{ikl} - g_{km}\mathcal{C}_{lij} + g_{lm}\mathcal{C}_{kij}.$$

Then we have

$$\Phi_n = |V|^2 + 16W^{ijkl}(\nabla_i \mathcal{C}_{jkl} + \mathsf{P}_i^m W_{mjkl}) + 16|\mathcal{C}|^2.$$

The second Bianchi identity implies

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_m W_{ijkl} + \nabla_k W_{ijlm} + \nabla_l W_{ijmk} \\ = \mathcal{C}_{ikm}g_{jl} + \mathcal{C}_{ilk}g_{jm} + \mathcal{C}_{iml}g_{jk} - \mathcal{C}_{jkm}g_{il} - \mathcal{C}_{jlk}g_{im} - \mathcal{C}_{jml}g_{ik}.\end{aligned} \tag{14.11}$$

It follows that

$$\nabla^m W_{mjkl} = (n-3)\mathcal{C}_{jkl}. \tag{14.12}$$

These results yield

$$|V|^2 = |\nabla W|^2 - 8(n-3)|C|^2 + 4n|C|^2$$

and we obtain the alternative formula

$$\Phi_n = |\nabla W|^2 - 4(n-10)|C|^2 + 16(W^{ijkl}\nabla_i \mathcal{C}_{jkl} + \mathsf{P}_i^m W^{ijkl} W_{mjkl}). \tag{14.13}$$

Now since

$$\Phi_n - \Omega_n = -\frac{8}{n-2}(W, \Delta W) + 16(W^{ijkl}\nabla_i \mathcal{C}_{jkl} + \mathsf{P}_i^m W^{ijkl} W_{mjkl}) + \frac{16}{n-2}\mathsf{J}|W|^2,$$

it only remains to verify the identity

$$-\frac{1}{2}(W, \Delta W) + (n-2)W^{ijkl}\nabla_i \mathcal{C}_{jkl}$$

[28]Note that our sign conventions for R and W coincide with those in [FG85] and are opposite to those of [G00].

$$= -\frac{1}{2}I_1 - 2I_2 - (n-2)\mathsf{P}_i^m W^{ijkl}W_{mjkl} - \mathsf{J}|W|^2. \quad (14.14)$$

But (14.14) is a consequence of two different calculations of the commutator term

$$W^{ijkl}[\nabla_i, \nabla_m]W^m{}_{jkl}. \quad (14.15)$$

On the one hand, the commutator term (14.15) equals the left-hand side of (14.14). In fact, (14.11) implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\nabla_i W_{mjkl} + \nabla_j W_{imkl}\\ &\qquad = -\nabla_m W_{jikl} + (\mathcal{C}_{kmi}g_{lj} + \mathcal{C}_{kjm}g_{li} + \mathcal{C}_{kij}g_{lm} - \mathcal{C}_{lmi}g_{kj} - \mathcal{C}_{ljm}g_{ki} - \mathcal{C}_{lij}g_{km}).\end{aligned}$$

We apply ∇^m to that identity, multiply with W^{ijkl} and sum over repeated indices. Since W is trace-free, we obtain

$$2W^{ijkl}\nabla^m\nabla_i W_{mjkl} = W^{ijkl}\Delta W_{ijkl} + 2W^{ijkl}\nabla_l\mathcal{C}_{kij}$$

using the symmetries. Together with (14.12) we find

$$\begin{aligned}W^{ijkl}[\nabla_i, \nabla_m]W^m{}_{jkl} &= (n-3)W^{ijkl}\nabla_i\mathcal{C}_{jkl} - W^{ijkl}\nabla_l\mathcal{C}_{kij} - \frac{1}{2}W^{ijkl}\Delta W_{ijkl}\\ &= (n-2)W^{ijkl}\nabla_i\mathcal{C}_{jkl} - \frac{1}{2}W^{ijkl}\Delta W_{ijkl}.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, the commutator term (14.15) can be written in the form

$$-W^{ijkl}R_{im}{}^m{}_a W^a{}_{jkl} - W^{ijkl}R_{imja}W^{ma}{}_{kl} - W^{ijkl}R_{imka}W^m{}_j{}^a{}_l - W^{ijkl}R_{imla}W^m{}_{jk}{}^a.$$

By $R = W - \mathsf{P} \owedge g$ (see (7.3)), this sum equals the sum of

$$\begin{aligned}&- W^{ijkl}R_{im}{}^m{}_a W^a{}_{jkl} - W^{ijkl}W_{imja}W^{ma}{}_{kl} - W^{ijkl}W_{imka}W^m{}_j{}^a{}_l - W^{ijkl}W_{imla}W^m{}_{jk}{}^a\\ &\qquad = -\operatorname{Ric}_{ia} W^{ijkl}W^a{}_{jkl} - W^{ijkl}W_{imja}W^{ma}{}_{kl} - I_2 - I_2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&W^{ijkl}((\mathsf{P} \owedge g)_{imja}W^{ma}{}_{kl} + (\mathsf{P} \owedge g)_{imka}W^m{}_j{}^a{}_l) + (\mathsf{P} \owedge g)_{imla}W^m{}_{jk}{}^a)\\ &= -W^{ijkl}(\mathsf{P}_{mj}W^m{}_{ikl} + \mathsf{P}_{mk}W^m{}_{jil} + \mathsf{P}_{ml}W^m{}_{jki}) - W^{ijkl}\mathsf{P}_{ia}(W_j{}^a{}_{kl} + W_{kj}{}^a{}_l + W_{ljk}{}^a).\end{aligned}$$

Now the first Bianchi identity (for W) shows that the latter sum vanishes. In fact, it implies that the last three terms sum up to 0 and that the first three terms are given by

$$(W^{ijkl}\mathsf{P}_{mj}W^m{}_{kli} + W^{ijkl}\mathsf{P}_{mj}W^m{}_{lik}) - (W^{ijkl}\mathsf{P}_{mk}W^m{}_{jil} + W^{ijkl}\mathsf{P}_{ml}W^m{}_{jki}) = 0$$

using the symmetries. Thus, the commutator term (14.15) equals

$$-\operatorname{Ric}_{ia} W^{ijkl}W^a{}_{jkl} - W^{ijkl}W_{imja}W^{ma}{}_{kl} - 2I_2.$$

But the first Bianchi identity (for W) shows that $W_{imja} = W_{ijma} + W_{iajm}$. Hence

$$W^{ijkl}W_{imja}W^{ma}{}_{kl} = I_1 - W^{ijkl}W_{imja}W^{ma}{}_{kl},$$

i.e.,

$$2W^{ijkl}W_{imja}W^{ma}{}_{kl} = I_1.$$

Therefore, the commutator term (14.15) equals

$$-(n-2)\mathsf{P}_i^a W^{ijkl}W_{ajkl} - \mathsf{J}|W|^2 - \frac{1}{2}I_1 - 2I_2,$$

i.e., coincides with the right-hand side of (14.14). This proves (14.14) and completes the proof. □

The following result relates the conformal invariant a_6 in dimension $n = 8$ to the coefficient $\tilde{a}_6$ which arises by substituting the ambient curvature $\tilde{R}$ into the formula for the heat kernel coefficient a_6 of the Laplacian of a Ricci flat metric. It is a special case of Theorem 7.3 announced in [P13].

Lemma 14.2. *For a Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension $n = 8$, we have*

$$a_6 = \tilde{a}_6|_{t=1,\rho=0}.$$

Proof. By Gilkey's formula for the heat kernel coefficient a_6 of the Laplacian (Theorem 4.8.16 in [G84]), we have

$$7!\tilde{a}_6 = 9(\tilde{\nabla}(\tilde{R}), \tilde{\nabla}(\tilde{R})) + 12(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{R}) - \frac{44}{9}\tilde{I}_1 - \frac{80}{9}\tilde{I}_2$$

with

$$\tilde{I}_1 = \tilde{R}^{ij}{}_{kl}\tilde{R}^{kl}{}_{mn}\tilde{R}^{mn}{}_{ij} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{I}_2 = \tilde{R}^{ijkl}\tilde{R}_{jmln}\tilde{R}_i{}^m{}_k{}^n.$$

Here we have used the Ricci flatness of $\tilde{g}$. The restrictions of $\tilde{I}_1$ and $\tilde{I}_2$ to $t = 1$ and $\rho = 0$ are given by I_1 and I_2, respectively. Therefore, by (14.7), the assertion is equivalent to

$$(9(\tilde{\nabla}(\tilde{R}), \tilde{\nabla}(\tilde{R})) + 12(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{R}))|_{t=1,\rho=0} - \frac{44}{9}I_1 - \frac{80}{9}I_2 = 9\Phi_8 + \frac{64}{9}I_1 + \frac{352}{9}I_2,$$

i.e.,

$$(\tilde{R}, \tilde{\Delta}\tilde{R})|_{t=1,\rho=0} = I_1 + 4I_2. \tag{14.16}$$

In order to prove this relation, we show that any Ricci flat metric g satisfies the relation

$$(R, \Delta R) = R^{ijkl}R_{ij}{}^{mn}R_{mnkl} + 4R^{ijkl}R_{jmln}R_i{}^m{}_k{}^n. \tag{14.17}$$

In fact, the second Bianchi identity implies

$$\nabla_i R_{mjkl} + \nabla_j R_{imkl} = -\nabla_m R_{jikl}. \tag{14.18}$$

We apply ∇^m to that equation, multiply with R^{ijkl} and sum over repeated indices. This yields

$$2R^{ijkl}\nabla^m\nabla_i R_{mjkl} = R^{ijkl}\Delta R_{ijkl}$$

using the symmetries. Next we commute derivatives

$$\nabla^m\nabla_i R_{mjkl} = \nabla_i\nabla^m R_{mjkl} + [\nabla^m, \nabla_i]\, R_{mjkl}$$

and observe that $\nabla^m R_{mjkl} = \nabla_l \operatorname{Ric}_{kj} - \nabla_k \operatorname{Ric}_{lj} = 0$ (by (14.18) and the Ricci flatness). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} R^{ijkl}\Delta R_{ijkl} &= 2R^{ijkl}\,[\nabla^m, \nabla_i]\, R_{mjkl} \\ &= -2R^{ijkl}(R^m{}_{im}{}^n R_{njkl} + R^m{}_{ij}{}^n R_{mnkl} + R^m{}_{ik}{}^n R_{mjnl} + R^m{}_{il}{}^n R_{mjkn}). \end{aligned}$$

Now the Ricci flatness and the symmetries yield

$$R^{ijkl}\Delta R_{ijkl} = -2R^{ijkl}R^m{}_{ij}{}^n R_{mnkl} + 4R^{ijkl}R_i{}^m{}_k{}^n R_{jmln}.$$

Finally, an application of the first Bianchi identity (similarly as in the proof of Lemma 14.1) proves (14.17). This completes the proof. □

The arguments in the proof of Lemma 14.2 also show that the elements in Gilkey's basis of Riemannian invariants of weight 6 are linearly dependent.

14.4. a_6 **for locally conformally flat metrics.** In the present section we discuss a_6 for locally conformally flat metrics in general dimensions. In particular, we correct some formulas in [PR87] and verify that the result is consistent with Branson's formula (14.6).[29] [30]

For locally conformally flat metrics, Table 14.4.1 displays the local heat kernel coefficient $7!a_6$ as a linear combination of 10 Riemannian invariants. These results follow by a reformulation of Gilkey's formula for a_6 in terms of W, B, scal and are basically taken from [PR87], up to corrections of the coefficients of B_6 and B_{13} in general dimensions. The last two columns display the weights of the contributions in the special dimensions $n = 6$ and $n = 8$.

Two comments are in order. The coefficient of $B_1 = \Delta^2(\mathrm{scal})$ alternatively follows by combining Lemma 1.1 and Theorem 1.5 of [BGO90]. Moreover, for the round spheres $\mathbb{S}^n$, the only non-trivial contribution in Table 14.4.1 is $B_{10} = \mathrm{scal}^3$. The corresponding coefficient coincides with that given in Section 14.8.

invariant	coefficient	$n=6$	$n=8$
$B_1 = \Delta^2(\mathrm{scal})$	$-\frac{3(n-8)}{(n-1)}$	$\frac{6}{5}$	0
$B_2 = \lvert\nabla\,\mathrm{scal}\,\rvert^2$	$\frac{5n^4-76n^3+288n^2-128n+16}{4n^2(n-1)^2}$	$-\frac{4}{45}$	$-\frac{9}{112}$
$B_3 = \lvert\nabla B\rvert^2$	$-\frac{2(n-20)}{(n-2)}$	7	4
$B_4 = \nabla_i B_{jk}\nabla_k B_{ij}$	-4	-4	-4
$B_6 = \mathrm{scal}\,\Delta(\mathrm{scal})$	$\frac{(n-8)(7n^2-34n+12)}{2n(n-1)^2}$	$-\frac{2}{5}$	0
$B_7 = (B, \Delta B)$	$-\frac{8(n-8)}{(n-2)}$	4	0
$B_8 = B_{ij}\nabla_k\nabla_j B_{ik}$	$-\frac{4n(n-8)}{(n-1)(n-2)}$	$\frac{12}{5}$	0
$B_{10} = \mathrm{scal}^3$	$-\frac{(n-8)(35n^4-308n^3+688n^2-184n-96)}{72n^2(n-1)^3}$	$\frac{2}{135}$	0
$B_{11} = \mathrm{scal}\,\lvert B\rvert^2$	$\frac{(n-8)(7n^3-17n^2-2n+24)}{3n(n-1)^2(n-2)}$	$-\frac{76}{75}$	0
$B_{13} = \mathrm{tr}(B^3)$	$\frac{4(n-8)(11n^3-28n^2+32n-24)}{9(n-1)(n-2)^3}$	$-\frac{64}{15}$	0

TABLE 14.4.1. The heat kernel coefficient $7!a_6$ for the conformal Laplacian for locally conformally flat metrics

We continue by proving that the results in Table 14.4.1 imply the formula (14.6) for the integrated heat kernel coefficient

$$\int_{M^n} a_6 dv \tag{14.19}$$

[29] These corrections may be of independent interest in connection with future explicit calculations.

[30] [B95] does not contain [PR87] among its references and accordingly does not discuss the relations to the results in [PR87].

for closed and locally conformally flat manifold (M, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$. We start by establishing the following identity.

Lemma 14.3. *For a closed and locally conformally flat manifold (M^n, g) $(n \geq 3)$, we have the relation*

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathsf{P}|^2 dv = \int_M (|\nabla \mathsf{J}|^2 + \mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 - n \operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3)) dv.$$

Proof. By conformal flatness, the Cotton tensor vanishes, i.e., $\nabla_k(\mathsf{P})_{ij} = \nabla_j(\mathsf{P})_{ik}$. Hence, by partial integration, we have

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathsf{P}|^2 dv = \int_M \nabla_k(\mathsf{P})_{ij} \nabla^k(\mathsf{P})^{ij} dv = -\int_M \nabla^k \nabla_j(\mathsf{P})_{ik} \mathsf{P}^{ij} dv.$$

Now we interchange the derivatives. The relation

$$\nabla^k \nabla_j(\mathsf{P})_{ik} = \operatorname{Hess}_{ij}(\mathsf{J}) + \operatorname{Ric}_{jl} \mathsf{P}^l_i - (\mathsf{P} \owedge g)_{jkil} \mathsf{P}^{lk}$$

(see Equation (6.9.17) in [J09a]) implies

$$\begin{aligned} -\nabla^k \nabla_j(\mathsf{P})_{ik} \mathsf{P}^{ij} &= -\operatorname{Hess}_{ij}(\mathsf{J}) \mathsf{P}^{ij} - \operatorname{Ric}_{jl} \mathsf{P}^l_i \mathsf{P}^{ij} + (\mathsf{P} \owedge g)_{jkil} \mathsf{P}^{lk} \mathsf{P}^{ij} \\ &= \delta(\mathsf{P} d\mathsf{J}) + |\nabla \mathsf{J}|^2 - \operatorname{Ric}_{jl}(\mathsf{P}^2)^{jl} + (\mathsf{P} \owedge g)_{jkil} \mathsf{P}^{lk} \mathsf{P}^{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_M |\nabla \mathsf{P}|^2 dv = \int_M |\nabla \mathsf{J}|^2 dv - \int_M ((n-2)\mathsf{P}_{jl} + \mathsf{J} g_{jl})(\mathsf{P}^2)^{jl} dv + \int_M (\mathsf{P} \owedge g)_{jkil} \mathsf{P}^{lk} \mathsf{P}^{ij} dv$$

and the assertion follows by simplification. □

Lemma 14.3 implies the following analogous results for $|\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^2$ and $|\nabla B|^2$.

Corollary 14.1. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 14.3, we have*

$$\int_M |\nabla \operatorname{Ric}|^2 dv = \int_M (n(n-1)|\nabla \mathsf{J}|^2 + (n-2)\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 - n(n-2)^2 \operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3)) dv \tag{14.20}$$

and

$$\int_M |\nabla B|^2 dv = \int_M \left(\frac{n-2}{4n(n-1)^2} |\nabla \operatorname{scal}|^2 - \frac{1}{n-1} \operatorname{scal} |B|^2 - \frac{n}{n-2} \operatorname{tr}(B^3) \right) dv. \tag{14.21}$$

Proof. The assertions follow by direct calculations using

$$\operatorname{Ric} = (n-2)\mathsf{P} + \mathsf{J} g, \ \mathsf{J} = \frac{\operatorname{scal}}{2(n-1)} \quad \text{and} \quad B = (n-2) \left(\mathsf{P} - \frac{1}{n} \mathsf{J} g \right). \tag{14.22}$$

We omit the details. □

Note that (14.21) is equivalent to

$$\int_M \left(B_3 - \frac{n-2}{4n(n-1)^2} B_2 + \frac{1}{n-1} B_{11} + \frac{n}{n-2} B_{13} \right) dv = 0. \tag{14.23}$$

Lemma 14.4. *We have*

$$B_3 - B_4 = \frac{(n-2)^2}{4n^2(n-1)} |\nabla \operatorname{scal}|^2.$$

Proof. A calculation using the formula for B in (14.22) yields

$$B_3 = |\nabla B|^2 = (n-2)^2 \left(|\nabla \mathsf{P}|^2 - \frac{1}{n} |\nabla \mathsf{J}|^2 \right).$$

A similar calculation using $\nabla_k(\mathsf{P})_{ij} = \nabla_j(\mathsf{P})_{ik}$ gives

$$B_4 = \nabla_i B_{jk} \nabla_k B_{ij} = (n-2)^2 \left(|\nabla \mathsf{P}|^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n^2} - \frac{2}{n} \right) |\nabla \mathsf{J}|^2 \right).$$

The assertion follows by combining these two results. □

Now, in order to calculate the integral (14.19), we combine the results in Table 14.4.1 with the fact that

$$B_4 - B_3 + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4n^2(n-1)} B_2 \quad \text{(by Lemma 14.4)}$$

and

$$B_6 + B_2, \quad B_7 + B_3, \quad B_8 + B_4 \quad \text{(by partial integration)}$$

are total derivatives, i.e., integrate to 0. It follows that the total integral of $7! a_6$ equals the sum of the total integrals of

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{5n^4 - 76n^3 + 288n^2 - 128n + 16}{4n^2(n-1)^2} B_2, \\
&- \frac{2(n-20)}{(n-2)} B_3, \\
&- 4B_3 + \frac{(n-2)^2}{n^2(n-1)} B_2, \\
&- \frac{(n-8)(7n^2 - 34n + 12)}{2n(n-1)^2} B_2, \\
&\frac{8(n-8)}{(n-2)} B_3, \\
&\frac{4n(n-8)}{(n-1)(n-2)} \left(B_3 - \frac{(n-2)^2}{4n^2(n-1)} B_2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

and the total integral of some linear combination of B_{10}, B_{11} and B_{13}. A direct calculation shows that the latter three contributions can be written as the sum of

$$\begin{aligned}
&- \frac{(n-8)(35n^4 - 308n^3 + 688n^2 - 184n - 96)}{72n^2(n-1)^3} B_{10} \\
&\qquad + \frac{(n-8)(7n^2 - 28n - 24)}{3n(n-1)(n-2)} B_{11} - \frac{(n-8)(10n^2 - 4n - 96)}{9(n-2)^2} B_{13}
\end{aligned} \tag{14.24}$$

and

$$2 \frac{(n-8)(3n-1)}{(n-2)(n-1)} \left(\frac{1}{n-1} B_{11} + \frac{n}{n-2} B_{13} \right). \tag{14.25}$$

By (14.23), the total integral of (14.25) coincides with the total integral of

$$2 \frac{(n-8)(3n-1)}{(n-2)(n-1)} \left(-B_3 + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4n(n-1)} B_2 \right).$$

Therefore, by summing up all terms, we find that B_2 contributes with the coefficient

$$-\frac{3}{4}\frac{(n-8)(n-6)}{(n-1)^2}$$

and that the contribution of B_3 vanishes. Now since the sum (14.24) can be rewritten as

$$(n-8)\left(-\frac{1}{9}(35n^2-260n+456)\mathsf{J}^3+\frac{2}{3}(n-1)(7n-30)\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2-\frac{2}{9}(5n^2-2n-48)\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3)\right),$$

we have proved that $7!\times$ (14.19) coincides with the sum of the total integrals of

$$-\frac{3}{4}\frac{(n-8)(n-6)}{(n-1)^2}|\nabla \operatorname{scal}| = -3(n-8)(n-6)|\nabla \mathsf{J}|^2$$

and of the latter sum. This completes the proof of (14.6).

14.5. **Holographic formulas for heat kernel coefficients and applications.** In the present section, we express the heat kernel coefficients $a_2,\dots,a_6$ for the conformal Laplacian in terms of the renormalized volume coefficients $v_2,\dots,v_6$. The discussion of a_6 will be restricted to locally conformally flat metrics. For this purpose, we shall use the relations

$$v_2=-\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P})=-\frac{1}{2}\mathsf{J},\quad v_4=\frac{1}{4}\operatorname{tr}(\wedge^2\mathsf{P})=\frac{1}{8}(\mathsf{J}^2-|\mathsf{P}|^2)$$

and

$$v_6=-\frac{1}{8}\operatorname{tr}(\wedge^3\mathsf{P})=-\frac{1}{48}(\mathsf{J}^3-3\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2+2\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3)) \tag{14.26}$$

(in the locally conformally flat case). The resulting formulas will be simpler than formulas in other bases and have applications to renormalized volumes and functional determinants.

Proposition 14.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}3a_2&=(n-4)v_2,\\ 180a_4&=(n-6)\left(8(n-2)v_4+6(n-4)v_2^2-6\Delta v_2\right)+|W|^2,\quad \Delta=\delta d\end{aligned}$$

for $n\geq 3$ and, in the locally conformally flat case,

$$\begin{aligned}7!a_6&=(n-8)\frac{8}{3}\\ &\quad\times\left((10n^2-4n-96)v_6+(n-6)\left(18(n-2)v_2v_4+(n-10)v_2^3-9/2|dv_2|^2\right)\right)\end{aligned} \tag{14.27}$$

modulo a divergence.

Proof. The assertions follow from (14.4), (14.5) and (14.6). We omit the details of the direct calculations. □

In view of Theorem 8.1, the formula (14.27) is particularly convenient to make explicit the divergence terms in a_6. The idea of the proof of the following result goes back to Branson and Ørsted (see Section 3 in [BO88] and Remark 5.12 in [B95]).

Proposition 14.2. *For locally conformally flat metrics, the divergence term of* $7!a_6$ *is given by the sum*

$$(n-8)\left(12\Delta^2(v_2) - 12(n-2)\delta(\mathsf{P}dv_2) - 24(n-2)\Delta(v_4) - 8(2n-11)\Delta(v_2^2)\right).$$

Here we use the convention $\Delta = \delta d$.

Proof. Let M be closed. We first recall that

$$\left(\int_{M^n} a_6 dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-6)\int_{M^n}\varphi a_6 dv. \tag{14.28}$$

Moreover, we have the variational formulas (see (8.3))

$$\begin{aligned} v_2^\bullet[\varphi] &= -2\varphi v_2 - 1/2\delta d\varphi = -2\varphi v_2 - 1/2\Delta\varphi,\\ v_4^\bullet[\varphi] &= -4\varphi v_4 - 1/2\delta(v_2 + 1/2\mathsf{P})d\varphi,\\ \left(\int_M v_6 dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi] &= (n-6)\int_M \varphi v_6 dv \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\left(\int_M |dv_2|^2 dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-6)\int_M \varphi|dv_2|^2 dv - \int_M \varphi(2\Delta v_2^2 + \Delta^2 v_2)dv. \tag{14.29}$$

In order to verify (14.29), we note that the transformation rule $v_2^\bullet[\varphi] = -2\varphi v_2 - 1/2\Delta\varphi$ implies

$$\left(\int_M |dv_2|^2 dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-6)\int_M \varphi|dv_2|^2 dv - 4\int_M (d\varphi, v_2 dv_2)dv - \int_M (d\Delta\varphi, dv_2)dv.$$

Then partial integration proves the claim. Now we determine the variation on the left-hand side of (14.28) by combining these formulas with the explicit formula (14.27). Partial integration shows that the conformal variation

$$7!\left(\int_{M^n} a_6 dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi]$$

coincides with

$$\begin{aligned} &(n-6)\int_M \varphi \text{ (right-hand side of (14.27))}\, dv\\ &\quad + (n-8)(n-6)\frac{8}{3}\int_M \varphi\big[18(n-2)(-1/2\delta(v_2 + 1/2\mathsf{P})dv_2 - 1/2\Delta v_4)\\ &\qquad\qquad - 3/2(n-10)\Delta(v_2^2) + 9/2(2\Delta(v_2^2) + \Delta^2(v_2))\big]dv. \end{aligned}$$

By comparing this result with (14.28), we conclude that $7!a_6$ equals the sum of the right-hand side of (14.27) and

$$\begin{aligned} &(n-8)\frac{8}{3}\big[18(n-2)(-1/2\delta(v_2 + 1/2\mathsf{P})dv_2 - 1/2\Delta v_4)\\ &\qquad\qquad - 3/2(n-10)\Delta(v_2^2) + 9/2(2\Delta(v_2^2) + \Delta^2(v_2))\big]. \end{aligned}$$

Now simplification yields the assertion. □

An analogous argument can be used to recover the divergence term in the holographic formula for a_4 in Proposition 14.1. We omit the details.

In particular, we obtain the following holographic formulas for a_4 and a_6 in the respective critical dimensions.

Corollary 14.2. *For locally conformally flat metrics, we have*

$$180a_4 = -32v_4 + 12\Delta(v_2)$$

in dimension $n = 4$ and

$$7!a_6 = -1280v_6 - 24\Delta^2(v_2) + 96\delta(\mathsf{P}dv_2) + 192\Delta(v_4) + 16\Delta(v_2^2)$$

in dimension $n = 6$. Here we use the convention $\Delta = \delta d$.

Next, we outline an alternative proof of (14.27) which is *independent* of Gilkey's formula for the heat kernel coefficient a_6 of general Laplace-type operators. In fact, the following arguments will basically utilize only structural properties of the heat kernel coefficient a_6 of the conformal Laplacian which are known by the general theory.

Proof. In a basis of scalar Riemannian invariants defined in terms of R, Ric and scal, the coefficients of a_6 do not depend on the dimension n. It follows that, in a basis using W, P and J, the coefficients are cubic polynomials in n. Now, in dimension $n = 8$, a_6 is a local conformal invariant. Hence, in this dimension, the functions a_6 vanishes for locally conformally flat metrics. Therefore, by Lemma 6.1 in [B95], we can write

$$7!a_6 = (n-8)(\pi_3(n)\mathsf{J}^3 + \pi_2(n)\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 + \pi_1(n)\,\mathrm{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) + \pi_0(n)|d\mathsf{J}|^2),$$

up to a divergence term, with unknown quadratic polynomials π_i. Equivalently, it can be written in the form

$$7!a_6 = (n-8)[\pi_3(n)v_6 + \pi_2(n)v_2v_4 + \pi_1(n)v_2^3 + \pi_0(n)|dv_2|^2],$$

up to a divergence term and with other unknown quadratic polynomials π_i. Now we use the fact that for $n = 6$ the total integral of a_6 is a conformal invariant. It implies that we can refine the above ansatz into

$$7!a_6 = (n-8)[\pi_3(n)v_6 + (n-6)(\pi_2(n)v_2v_4 + \pi_1(n)v_2^3 + \pi_0(n)|dv_2|^2)] \tag{14.30}$$

with an unknown quadratic polynomial π_3 and unknown monomials π_0, π_1, π_2. In order to determine the polynomials π_i for $i = 1, 2, 3$, we consider locally conformally flat product spaces of the form $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{H}^q$ (with $p + q = n$). On these spaces, the identity $P_2(g_1 + g_2) = P_2(g_1) + P_2(g_2)$ (see (14.52) for $r = 0$) implies the relation

$$a_6(g_1+g_2) = a_6(g_1) + a_4(g_1)a_2(g_2) + a_2(g_1)a_4(g_2) + a_6(g_2) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{H}^q) \tag{14.31}$$

of local heat kernel coefficients of conformal Laplacians. Using this identity and the known heat kernel coefficients $a_2, \ldots, a_6$ on round spheres $\mathbb{S}^n$ and hyperbolic spaces $\mathbb{H}^n$ (see Section 14.8), we determine a_6 for the product metrics. The condition that the resulting polynomial in the variables n and p can be written in the form (14.30) is a system of linear relations for the unknown coefficients of the polynomials π_i; the contribution by $|dv_2|^2$ vanishes in this case. Now a calculation shows that these conditions are satisfied exactly by the one-parameter family

$$(n-8)\frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{7!}\Big((224-3\beta)n^2-(896-18\beta)n-768)v_6 + (n-6)(3\beta n-(192+2\beta))v_2v_4+(n-6)((56-\beta)n-(128-\beta))v_2^3\Big) \quad (14.32)$$

with $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$. For $n = 6$, the latter sum specializes to $-16/63v_6$ (for any β). Next, we prove that $\beta = 48$. We note that in Gilkey's universal formula for a_6 (of P_2) the contribution by $|\nabla \mathrm{Ric}\,|^2$ has a coefficient of the form

$$a + \frac{b}{n-2}$$

with some numerical coefficients a, b. In fact, this contribution comes from the contributions $|\nabla R|^2$ and $|\nabla \mathrm{Ric}\,|^2$ in Gilkey's formula. But $W = 0$ implies $R = -\mathsf{P} \owedge g$ and a simple calculation shows that $|\nabla R|^2 = 4(n-2)|\nabla \mathsf{P}|^2 + \dots$. This proves the claim. Now the arguments in the proof of Proposition 14.2 show that the ansatz (14.32) for a_6 leads to a divergence contribution of the form

$$c(n-8)(3\beta n-(192+2\beta))\Delta(v_4).$$

By $8v_4 = \mathsf{J}^2 - |\mathsf{P}|^2$, this term contains a contribution of the form

$$c(n-8)\frac{3\beta n-(192+2\beta)}{(n-2)^2}|\nabla \mathrm{Ric}\,|^2.$$

But the condition that the latter expression does not have a second-order pole at $n = 2$ is equivalent to $\beta = 48$. Now, for $\beta = 48$, (14.32) reads

$$(n-8)\frac{1}{7!}\frac{8}{3}\left((10n^2-4n-96)v_6 + 18(n-6)(n-2)v_2v_4 + (n-6)(n-10)v_2^3\right).$$

The latter sum yields (14.27) modulo the contribution by $|dv_2|^2$. It remains to determine the monomial π_0 in (14.30). For this purpose, we combine some results of [BGO90] with the observation that $|d\mathsf{J}|^2$ is the only term which leads to a contribution of $\Delta^2(\mathsf{J})$ in a_6 (see the proof of Proposition 14.2). In fact, as noted in Section 14.4, results in [BGO90] imply that $\Delta^2(\mathsf{J})$ contributes to $7!a_6$ with the coefficient $-6(n-8)$. Hence the arguments in the proof of Proposition 14.2 show that $\pi_0(n) = -12$. □

It would be interesting to extend the above type of holographic formulas for a_6 beyond the conformally flat case.

Remark 14.2. *The local conformal invariant $|W|^2$ in the holographic formula for a_4 (Proposition 14.1) can be interpreted as the restriction of the coefficient*

$$\tilde{a}_4 = 180|\tilde{R}|^2$$

to $t = 1$ and $\rho = 0$. This coefficient arises by formally substituting the ambient curvature $\tilde{R}$ into the heat kernel coefficient of the Laplacian of a Ricci flat metric. Similarly, Lemma 14.2 shows that the conformal invariant given by a_6 in dimension $n = 8$ coincides with the restriction to $t = 1$ and $\rho = 0$ of the coefficient $\tilde{a}_6$ obtained by substituting $\tilde{R}$ into the formula for a_6 for a Ricci flat metric. These are special cases of a result announced in [P13] *(Theorem 7.3).*

A fully explicit formula for a_6 for general metrics in dimension $n = 6$ is an important ingredient in a test of a version of the AdS/CFT duality in [BFT00]. In fact, in [BFT00] it was found that, for closed spin manifold M^6, the total integral of the linear combination

$$10a_6(P_2) - 2a_6(\not{\nabla}^2) + (a_6(\Omega^2) - 2a_6(\Omega^1) + 3a_6(\Omega^0))$$

is proportional to a linear combination of $\chi(M)$ and the total integral of v_6. Here $a_6(\not{\nabla}^2)$ and $a_6(\Omega^p)$ are the respective heat kernel coefficients of the square of the Dirac operator $\not{\nabla}$ and the Hodge-Laplacian on p-forms. By the Deser-Schwimmer decomposition (see [A12]), all three individual integrals are linear combinations of the Euler characteristic and the total integrals of three local conformal invariants. The remarkable aspect of the above linear combination is that the resulting weights of all three local conformal invariants coincide with the weights in the corresponding decomposition of a multiple of v_6. We also note that the linear combination

$$3a_6(\Omega^0) - 2a_6(\Omega^1) + a_6(\Omega^2)$$

of heat kernel coefficients of Hodge-Laplace operators naturally appears in the conformal variational formula of the Cheeger *half-torsion* of M^6 (see Section 3 of [B04]).

We close this section with two applications of the above results to the determinant of P_2. First, we relate the determinant of the conformal Laplacian to the renormalized volume.

Proposition 14.3. *Let (M^6, g) be a closed manifold with a locally conformally flat metric g so that $\ker(P_2(g)) = 0$. Assume that $[g]$ is the conformal infinity of a Poincaré-Einstein manifold (X, g_+). Let $\mathcal{V}_6 = \mathcal{V}_6(g_+; \cdot)$ be the renormalized volume functional. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}(4\pi)^3&(\log\det(-P_2))^\bullet[\varphi] \\ &= \frac{32}{63}\mathcal{V}_6^\bullet[\varphi] + \frac{1}{7!}\frac{16}{3}\left(\int_{M^6}(144v_2v_4 - 8v_2^3 - 9|dv_2|^2)dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi]. \quad (14.33)\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The left-hand side of (14.33) equals

$$-2\int_{M^6}\varphi a_6 dv.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\mathcal{V}_6^\bullet[\varphi] = \int_{M^6}\varphi v_6 dv$$

(by [G00]). The proof of Proposition 14.2 contains the variational formula

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\int_{M^6}(72v_2v_4 - 4v_2^3 - 9/2|dv_2|^2)dv\right)^\bullet&[\varphi] \\ &= -\frac{3}{16}\int_{M^6}\varphi(-24\Delta^2(v_2) + 192\Delta(v_4) + 96\delta(\mathsf{P}dv_2) + 16\Delta(v_2^2))dv.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the right-hand side of (14.33) equals the integral against φ of

$$\frac{32}{63}v_6 + \frac{1}{7!}(-2)(-24\Delta^2(v_2) + 192\Delta(v_4) + 96\delta(\mathsf{P}dv_2) + 16\Delta(v_2^2)).$$

The assertion follows by combining this result with Corollary 14.2. □

Now integration yields the following result.

Corollary 14.3. *In the situation of Proposition 14.3, the functional*

$$\det(-P_2)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{126\pi^3}\mathcal{V}_6(g_+;\cdot)-\frac{1}{7!}\frac{1}{12\pi^3}\int_{M^6}(144v_2v_4-8v_2^3-9|dv_2|^2)dv\right)$$

is constant on $[g]$.

Proof. We apply the identity $\Phi(e^{2\varphi}g)-\Phi(g)=\int_0^1\Phi(e^{2s\varphi}g)^\bullet[\varphi]ds$ to the functional

$$\Phi=(4\pi)^3(\log\det(-P_2))-\frac{32}{63}\mathcal{V}_6(g_+;\cdot)-\frac{1}{7!}\frac{16}{3}\left(\int_{M^6}(144v_2v_4-8v_2^3-9|dv_2|^2)dv\right)$$

and use (14.33). Simplification yields the claim. □

Similar arguments using Corollary 14.2 prove the following result. Let (M^4,g) be a locally conformally flat closed (M^4,g) with $\ker(P_2(g))=0$. Assume that $[g]$ is the conformal infinity of a Poincaré-Einstein manifold (X,g_+). Then

$$(4\pi)^2(\log\det(-P_2))^\bullet[\varphi]=\frac{16}{45}\mathcal{V}_4^\bullet[\varphi]+\frac{2}{15}\left(\int_{M^4}v_2^2dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi], \tag{14.34}$$

and integration yields

Corollary 14.4. *In the above situation, the functional*

$$\det(-P_2)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{45\pi^2}\mathcal{V}_4(g_+;\cdot)-\frac{1}{120\pi^2}\int_{M^4}v_2^2dv\right)$$

is constant on $[g]$.

Remark 14.3. *Corollary 14.3 and Corollary 14.4 generalize the following result for closed Riemann surfaces* (M^2,g). *Assume that* $[g]$ *is the conformal infinity of a Poincaré-Einstein space* (X^3,g_+). *Then the functional*

$$\det(-\Delta)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{3\pi}\mathcal{V}_2(g_+;\cdot)\right)/\int_{M^2}dv$$

is constant on $[g]$. *In fact, the assertion follows by comparing the Polyakov formula*

$$-\log\left(\frac{\det(-\Delta_{\hat g})}{\det(-\Delta_g)}\right)=-\log\left(\frac{\int dv_{\hat g}}{\int dv_g}\right)+\frac{1}{12\pi}\int_{M^2}\varphi(-\Delta_g\varphi+\mathrm{scal}(g))dv_g$$

(see [B95]*, Theorem 5.8) with* [G00]

$$\mathcal{V}_2(g_+;\hat g)-\mathcal{V}_2(g_+;g)=\int_{M^2}(\varphi v_2(g)-1/4|d\varphi|_g^2)dv_g. \tag{14.35}$$

Second, we consider *local* extremal properties of the determinant of P_2 in dimensions $n=4$ and $n=6$.

Proposition 14.4. *Let M^6 be a closed manifold with a locally conformally flat Einstein metric g.*[31] *Assume that $P_2(g)$ has trivial kernel. Then the restriction of the functional $\log\det(-P_2)$ to $[g]_1$ is critical at g and the second variation at g is given by*

$$(4\pi)^3(\log\det(-P_2))^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi] = 1/630\int_{M^6}\varphi(\Delta+24c)(3\Delta^2-120c\Delta+1600c^2)(\varphi)dv. \quad (14.36)$$

Here $\Delta=-\delta d$ is the non-positive Laplacian and $c=\mathrm{scal}(g)/120$.

Proof. Let M^n be a closed manifold of even dimension n. Assume that the kernel of $P_2(g)$ is trivial; this is a conformally invariant condition. Then we recall the variational formula

$$(4\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}(\log\det(-P_2))^{\bullet}[\varphi] = -2\int_{M^n}\varphi a_n dv$$

at all metrics in $[g]$ (see (13.4)). Thus Corollary 14.2 and the fact that the coefficients v_{2k} are constant at Einstein metrics imply the asserted criticality at g. Moreover, by arguments as in the proof of Theorem 12.1, we obtain

$$(4\pi)^3(\log\det(-P_2))^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi] = -2\int_{M^6}\varphi a_6^{\bullet}[\varphi]dv$$

at the Einstein metric g. These arguments again utilize the fact that a_6 is constant at Einstein metrics. Now the formula for a_6 in Corollary 14.2 implies that

$$7!a_6^{\bullet}[\varphi] = -1280v_6^{\bullet}[\varphi]-24\Delta^2(v_2^{\bullet}[\varphi])-192\Delta(v_4^{\bullet}[\varphi])+96\delta(\mathsf{P}d)(v_2^{\bullet}[\varphi])-32v_2\Delta(v_2^{\bullet}[\varphi])$$

at g; here we again use the fact that the coefficients v_{2k} are constant at Einstein metrics. Now $g(r)=(1-cr^2)^2g$ gives $v(r)=(1-cr^2)^6$ and hence

$$v_2=-6c,\quad v_4=15c^2,\quad v_6=-20c^3.$$

Therefore, (8.3) yields the conformal variational formulas

$$v_2^{\bullet}[\varphi]=-2v_2\varphi+1/2\Delta\varphi,\quad v_4^{\bullet}[\varphi]=-4v_4\varphi-5/2c\Delta\varphi,\quad v_6^{\bullet}[\varphi]=-6v_6\varphi+5c^2\Delta\varphi.$$

Then a calculation shows that

$$7!a_6^{\bullet}[\varphi]=-4(\Delta+24c)(3\Delta^2-120c\Delta+1600c^2)(\varphi),\ \Delta=-\delta d.$$

The proof is complete. □

For any $c\in\mathbb{R}$, the polynomial $3\lambda^2-120c\lambda+1600c^2$ is positive for all real λ. Since φ is orthogonal to 1 (by preservation of volume), the quadratic form on the right-hand side of (14.36) is negative semi-definite. More precisely, it is negative definite iff $\ker(\Delta+24c)=0$, i.e., if (M^6,g) is not isometric to a rescaled round sphere. Hence Proposition 14.4 shows that the restriction of $\log\det(-P_2)$ to $[g]_1$ has a *local maximum* at g. This is a *local* version of a global maximum result at the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^6$ due to Branson [B95].

Similar arguments yield the following result.

[31] In other words, M is a closed space form.

Proposition 14.5. *Let (M^4, g) be a closed locally conformally flat Einstein manifold. Assume that $P_2(g)$ has trivial kernel. Then the restriction of the functional* $\log\det(-P_2)$ *to $[g]_1$ is critical at g and the second variation at g is given by*

$$(4\pi)^2(\log\det(-P_2))^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi] = \frac{1}{15}\int_{M^4}\varphi(\Delta - 8c)(\Delta + 16c)(\varphi)dv. \tag{14.37}$$

Here $\Delta = -\delta d$ is the non-positive Laplacian and $c = \mathrm{scal}(g)/48$.

Proof. By arguments as in the proof of Proposition 14.4, it suffices to prove the variational formula

$$180a_4^{\bullet}[\varphi] = -6(\Delta - 8c)(\Delta + 16c)(\varphi)$$

at g. In order to prove this relation, we note that the explicit formula for a_4 in Corollary 14.2 yields

$$180a_4^{\bullet}[\varphi] = -32v_4^{\bullet}[\varphi] - 12\Delta(v_2^{\bullet}[\varphi]).$$

Now at the metric g we have $v_2 = -4c$, $v_4 = 6c^2$, and (8.3) shows that

$$v_2^{\bullet}[\varphi] = -2\varphi v_2 + 1/2\Delta\varphi \quad \text{and} \quad v_4^{\bullet}[\varphi] = -4\varphi v_4 - 3/2c\Delta\varphi.$$

Then the assertion follows by a simple calculation. □

Proposition 14.5 implies that, if $\mathrm{scal}(g) > 0$, then the restriction of $\log\det(-P_2)$ to $[g]_1$ has a *local minimum* at g. This is a *local* version of a global minimum result at the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^4$ (see [B95], [CY95]). In contrast, if $\mathrm{scal}(g) < 0$, then small eigenvalues of Δ may lead to a non-definite second variation. In other words, there is no local extremal result on compact quotients $\Gamma\backslash\mathbb{H}^4$.

Finally, in dimension $n = 2$, we have $P_2 = \Delta$ and the analogous relation

$$4\pi(\log\det(-\Delta))^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi] = 2/3\int_{M^2}\varphi(\Delta + 8c)(\varphi)dv, \quad c = \mathrm{scal}(g)/8 \tag{14.38}$$

at an Einstein metric g shows that the restriction of the functional $\log\det(-\Delta)$ to $[g]_1$ has a *local maximum* at g. In particular, $\log\det(-\Delta)$ has a local maximum at the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^2$. This is a *local* version of Onofri's global maximum result at the round metric on $\mathbb{S}^2$ (see [B95] and the reference therein). For general global results see [OPS88].

14.6. **Polyakov formulas for functional determinants of P_2.** Here we recall the *global* Polyakov formulas for the determinant of the conformal Laplacian in dimension $n = 4$ and $n = 6$. For the two-dimensional case see (1.2). These results support (13.27). For proofs of the following results we refer to [B95].

In the following, we use the notation $P_2 = P_2(g)$, $\hat{P}_2 = P_2(\hat{g})$ and $Q_n = Q_n(g)$, $\hat{Q}_n = Q_n(\hat{g})$ for $\hat{g} = e^{2\varphi}g$.

Proposition 14.6. *For any locally conformally flat closed manifold (M^4, g) with trivial* $\ker(P_2(g))$*, we have*

$$(4\pi)^2\log\left(\frac{\det(-\hat{P}_2)}{\det(-P_2)}\right) = \frac{1}{90}\int_{M^4}\varphi(\hat{Q}_4 dv_{\hat{g}} + Q_4 dv_g) + \int_{M^4}(I_4(\hat{g})dv_{\hat{g}} - I_4(g)dv_g)$$

with

$$I_4 = \frac{1}{45}\mathsf{J}^2.$$

Proposition 14.7. *For any locally conformally flat closed manifold (M^6, g) with trivial* $\ker(P_2(g))$*, we have*

$$(4\pi)^3 \log\left(\frac{\det(-\hat{P}_2)}{\det(-P_2)}\right) = -\frac{10}{7!\,3}\int_{M^6} \varphi(\hat{Q}_6 dv_{\hat{g}} + Q_6 dv_g) - \int_{M^6} (I_6(\hat{g})dv_{\hat{g}} - I_6(g)dv_g)$$

with

$$I_6 = \frac{1}{7!}\frac{1}{3}(68\mathsf{J}^3 - 64\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 + 26|d\mathsf{J}|^2).$$

14.7. **Polyakov type formulas for renormalized volumes.** In the present section, we prove a global Polyakov type formula for the renormalized volume of Poincaré-Einstein metrics with conformal infinities of *even* dimension (see (12.7)). We recall that $\mathcal{V}(g_+;\cdot)$ is a conformal invariant in odd dimensions [G00]. The main result will be a consequence of the holographic formulas for Q-curvatures [GJ07], [J11]. It is an improved version of the Polyakov formulas stated in [GMS12].

We first recall the holographic formulas for Q-curvatures. For generic λ, assume that

$$u \sim \sum_{j\geq 0} r^{\lambda+2j} a_{2j}(\lambda) + \sum_{j\geq 0} r^{n-\lambda+2j} b_{2j}(\lambda), \quad a_{2j}, b_{2j} \in C^\infty(M)$$

is a formal approximate solution of the equation

$$-\Delta_{g_+} u = \lambda(n-\lambda)u$$

with $i^*(r^2 g_+) = g$. Then the coefficients $a_{2j}(g;\lambda)$ (for $2j \leq n$) are recursively determined by a_0 through the relations

$$a_{2j}(g;\lambda) = \mathcal{T}_{2j}(g;\lambda)(a_0),\ a_0 \in C^\infty(M)$$

with certain differential operators $\mathcal{T}_{2j}(g;\lambda)$ of respective order $2j$ which are natural in g and rational in λ. Let

$$c_{2N} = (-1)^N (2^{2N-1} N!(N-1)!)^{-1}.$$

In these terms, the holographic formula for Q_{2N} states that

$$2Nc_{2N}Q_{2N}(g) = 2Nv_{2N}(g) + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1}(2N-2j)\mathcal{T}^*_{2j}\left(g;\frac{n}{2}-N\right)(v_{2N-2j}(g)). \tag{14.39}$$

In particular, for the critical Q-curvature Q_n, we have the relation

$$nc_nQ_n(g) = nv_n(g) + \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(n-2j)\mathcal{T}^*_{2j}(g;0)(v_{2N-2j}(g)). \tag{14.40}$$

Theorem 14.1. *Let n be even. Assume that $(M^n, [g])$ is the conformal infinity of a Poincaré-Einstein space (X^{n+1}, g_+). Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_n(g_+;\hat{g}) - \mathcal{V}_n(g_+;g) \\ = c_n\frac{1}{2}\int_{M^n}\varphi(Q_n(g)dv_g + Q_n(\hat{g})dv_{\hat{g}}) - \int_{M^n}(\Phi_n(\hat{g})dv_{\hat{g}} - \Phi_n(g)dv_g),\end{aligned} \tag{14.41}$$

where

$$\Phi_n(g) \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{2n}\sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(n-2j)\dot{\mathcal{T}}_{2j}(g;0)(1)v_{2n-2j}(g). \tag{14.42}$$

Here the dot denotes the derivative with respect to λ.

Proof. We first note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_n(g_+;\hat{g}) - \mathcal{V}_n(g_+;g) &= \int_0^1 (d/dt)(\mathcal{V}_n(g_+;e^{2t\varphi}g))dt = \int_0^1 (d/ds)|_0(\mathcal{V}_n(g_+;e^{2s\varphi}e^{2t\varphi}g))dt\\ &= \int_0^1 \left(\int_M \varphi v_n(e^{2t\varphi}g)dv_{e^{2t\varphi}g}\right)dt.\end{aligned}$$

Now the holographic formula (14.40) and the transformation law

$$e^{n\varphi}Q_n(e^{2\varphi}g) = Q_n(g) + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}P_n(g)(\varphi)$$

imply

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}_n(g_+;\hat{g}) - \mathcal{V}_n(g_+;g) &= c_n\int_0^1 \left(\int_M \varphi Q_n(e^{2t\varphi}g)e^{nt\varphi}dv_g\right)dt + \cdots\\ &= c_n\int_0^1 \left(\int_M \varphi(Q_n(g) + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}P_n(g)(t\varphi))dv_g\right)dt + \cdots\\ &= c_n\int_M \varphi\left(Q_n(g) + (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}\frac{1}{2}P_n(g)(\varphi)\right)dv_g + \cdots\\ &= c_n\frac{1}{2}\int_M \varphi(Q_n(g)dv_g + Q_n(\hat{g})dv_{\hat{g}}) + \cdots.\end{aligned}$$

This yields the Q_n-term in (14.41). In the latter calculation, the hidden terms are given by

$$-\frac{1}{n}\int_0^1\int_M \varphi F_n(e^{2t\varphi}g)dv_{e^{2t\varphi}g}dt$$

with the local scalar invariant

$$F_n(g) = \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}(n-2j)\mathcal{T}^*_{2j}(g;0)(v_{2N-2j}(g)). \tag{14.43}$$

But, if $\Psi_n(g)$ is a conformal primitive of $F_n(g)$, i.e., if $\Psi_n^\bullet(g)[\varphi] = \int_M \varphi F_n(g)dv_g$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^1\int_M \varphi F_n(e^{2t\varphi}g)dv_{e^{2t\varphi}g}dt\\ &\qquad = \int_0^1 \Psi_n^\bullet(e^{2t\varphi}g)[\varphi]dt = \int_0^1 (d/dt)(\Psi_n(e^{2t\varphi}g)dt = \Psi_n(e^{2\varphi}g) - \Psi_n(g).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it remains to verify that the functional $\Psi_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_M \Phi_n dv$ is a conformal primitive of $\frac{1}{n}F_n$. But

$$\left(\int_M (Q_{2N} - c_{2N}^{-1}v_{2N})dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-2N)\int_M \varphi(Q_{2N} - c_{2N}^{-1}v_{2N})dv$$

and the holographic formula (14.39) imply

$$\left(\int_M F_{2N} dv\right)^\bullet [\varphi] = (n-2N) \int_M \varphi F_{2N} dv,$$

where

$$F_{2N}(g) = \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} (2N-2j) \mathcal{T}_{2j}^* \left(g; \frac{n}{2} - N\right) (v_{2N-2j}(g)).$$

We divide the latterrelation by $n-2N$ and set $n=2N$; note that $\mathcal{T}_{2j}(\lambda)$ does not have a pole at $\lambda = 0$. This gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\int_M \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{n}{2}-1} (n-2j) \dot{\mathcal{T}}_{2j}^*(0)(v_{n-2j}) dv \right)^\bullet [\varphi] = \int_M \varphi F_n dv.$$

Now partial integration completes the proof. □

Remark 14.4. *There is a unique solution $U \in C^\infty(X)$ (modulo $O(r^n)$) of the equation*

$$-\Delta_{g_+} U = n$$

being of the form

$$U = \log r + A + Br^n \log r + O(r^n).$$

In the latter expansion, the coefficient A is completely determined by g. In fact, the terms $\dot{\mathcal{T}}_{2j}(0)(1)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n/2-1$ are just the Taylor coefficients of A. Moreover, $B|_{r=0} = -c_n Q_n$. For the details we refer to [FG02].

Theorem 14.1 implies a global result on extremal values.

Corollary 14.5. *Let n be even and let $g_+ = 4/(1-|x|^2)^2 \sum_i dx_i^2$ be the hyperbolic metric of sectional curvature -1 on the unit ball. Then, for all metrics on $\mathbb{S}^n$ which are conformal to the round metric g_0 and have the same volume, the functional*

$$(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\mathcal{V}_n(g_+; \cdot) + \int_M \Phi_n dv \right)$$

is minimal exactly at the pull-backs $\kappa^*(g_0)$ of g_0 under conformal diffeomorphisms.

Proof. By Corollary 3.8 in [B95], we have the inequality

$$\frac{n}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} \varphi (Q_n(g) dv_g + Q_n(g_0) dv_{g_0}) \geq Q_n(g_0) \log \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dv_g / \int_{\mathbb{S}^n} dv_{g_0} \right)$$

for $g = e^{2\varphi} g_0$ with equality exactly for $g = \kappa^*(g_0)$. It suffices to combine this result with Theorem 14.1. Note that $\mathcal{V}_n(g_+; g_0)$ can be defined by using the boundary defining function $2\frac{1-|x|}{1+|x|}$. □

Finally, we make Theorem 14.1 explicit in low-order cases. In fact, calculations using

$$\mathcal{T}_2(g; \lambda) = \frac{1}{2(n-2-2\lambda)} (\Delta - \lambda \mathrm{J})$$

and

$$\mathcal{T}_4(g;\lambda)=\frac{1}{8(n-2-2\lambda)(n-4-2\lambda)}[(\Delta-(\lambda+2)\mathsf{J})(\Delta-\lambda\mathsf{J})$$
$$-\lambda(n-2-2\lambda)|\mathsf{P}|^2-2(n-2-2\lambda)\delta(\mathsf{P}d)-(n-2-2\lambda)(d\mathsf{J},d)]$$

(see [BJ10], [J09a]) yield the following results. Let $Q_n=Q_n(g)$, $\hat{Q}_n=Q_n(\hat{g})$ and $v_{2k}=v_{2k}(g)$, $\hat{v}_{2k}=v_{2k}(\hat{g})$.

Lemma 14.5.

$$\mathcal{V}_2(g_+;\hat{g})-\mathcal{V}_2(g_+;g)=-\frac{1}{4}\int_{M^2}\varphi(\hat{Q}_2dv_{\hat{g}}+Q_2dv_g).$$

Lemma 14.6.

$$\mathcal{V}_4(g_+;\hat{g})-\mathcal{V}_4(g_+;g)=\frac{1}{32}\int_{M^4}\varphi(\hat{Q}_4dv_{\hat{g}}+Q_4dv_g)-\frac{1}{8}\int_{M^4}\left(\hat{v}_2^2dv_{\hat{g}}-v_2^2dv_g\right).$$

Lemma 14.7.

$$\mathcal{V}_6(g_+;\hat{g})-\mathcal{V}_6(g_+;g)=-\frac{1}{768}\int_{M^6}\varphi(\hat{Q}_6dv_{\hat{g}}+Q_6dv_g)$$
$$+\frac{1}{192}\int_{M^6}((\hat{v}_2\hat{P}_2(\hat{v}_2)-32\hat{v}_2\hat{v}_4)dv_{\hat{g}}-(v_2P_2(v_2)-32v_2v_4)dv_g).$$

By combining these results with the integrated versions of (14.33) and (14.34) for the determinants one may reprove the global Polyakov formulas in Section 14.6.

14.8. **Heat kernel coefficients of round spheres and hyperbolic spaces.** One can use harmonic analysis on the spheres $\mathbb{S}^n$ of curvature 1 to derive the following description of heat kernel coefficients of the Laplace operators. These results are closely related to analogous results for the hyperbolic spaces $\mathbb{H}^n$ of curvature -1. For details we refer to [CW76] and [C90].

Proposition 14.8. *For even n and $0\le k\le n/2-1$, we define the numbers $\beta_{k,n}$ by the relation*

$$\prod_{j=\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(j^2-t^2)=\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\beta_{k,n}t^{2k}$$

and set

$$b_k=(-1)^{\frac{n}{2}-1}\frac{1}{(\frac{n}{2}-1)\cdots(\frac{n}{2}-k)}\beta_{\frac{n}{2}-1-k,n}.$$

Similarly, for odd n and $0\le k\le(n-3)/2$, we define the numbers $\beta_{k,n}$ by the relation

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}(j^2-t^2)=\sum_{k=0}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}\beta_{k,n}t^{2k}$$

and set

$$b_k=(-1)^{\frac{n-3}{2}}\frac{1}{(\frac{n}{2}-1)\cdots(\frac{n}{2}-k)}\beta_{\frac{n-3}{2}-k,n}.$$

Then the heat kernel coefficients a_{2k} with $0 \leq 2k \leq n-2$ of the Laplacian of $\mathbb{S}^n$ are given by the formula

$$a_{2k} = b_k + \left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^2 b_{k-1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{k!}\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)^{2k} b_0. \tag{14.44}$$

For any k, Proposition 14.8 yields a formula for a_{2k} as a polynomial of degree $2k$ in n which is valid for sufficiently large n. The same formula continues to hold for small n although the analogs of the formulas in Proposition 14.8 may look differently. For instance, on $\mathbb{S}^2$ we have the classical formula

$$b_k = (-1)^k \frac{1}{k!}(2^{1-2k} - 1)B_{2k}$$

with the Bernoulli numbers B_{2k}.

Now Proposition 14.8 can be used to derive explicit formulas for the first few heat kernel coefficients of the Laplace operators on the round spheres of curvature 1. We find that $a_0 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= \frac{n(n-1)}{6}, \\ a_4 &= \frac{n(n-1)(5n^2 - 7n + 6)}{3 \cdot 5!}, \\ a_6 &= \frac{n(n-1)(35n^4 - 112n^3 + 187n^2 - 110n + 96)}{9 \cdot 7!}. \end{aligned}$$

By $P_2 = \Delta - n/2(n/2-1)$ and $e^{t(\Delta+c)} = e^{t\Delta}e^{tc}$ (for any real constant c), these results imply that the first four heat kernel coefficients of the conformal Laplacian on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ are given by $a_0 = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &= -\frac{n(n-4)}{2 \cdot 3!}, \\ a_4 &= \frac{n(n-6)(5n^2 - 18n + 4)}{2 \cdot 6!}, \\ a_6 &= -\frac{n(n-8)(35n^4 - 308n^3 + 688n^2 - 184n - 96)}{9!}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, in the respective critical dimensions, we find

$$a_2 = 1/3, \quad a_4 = -1/15 \quad \text{and} \quad a_6 = 5/63.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)}\int_{S^2} a_2 dv = \frac{1}{6}\chi(S^2), \quad \frac{1}{(4\pi)^2}\int_{S^4} a_4 dv = -\frac{1}{180}\chi(S^4)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi)^3}\int_{S^6} a_6 dv = \frac{1}{1512}\chi(S^6)$$

using

$$\int_{S^2} dv = 4\pi, \quad \int_{S^4} dv = \frac{8}{3}\pi^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{S^6} dv = \frac{16}{15}\pi^3.$$

These results for the round spheres $\mathbb{S}^2,\dots,\mathbb{S}^6$ are special cases of Proposition 4.3 in [PR87] (up to a correction of the sign for $\mathbb{S}^6$). Note also that the values

$$v_2=-1/2,\quad v_4=3/8\quad\text{and}\quad v_6=-5/16$$

(in the respective critical dimensions $n=2,4,6$) yield the relations

$$a_2=-2/3v_2,\quad a_4=-8/45v_4\quad\text{and}\quad a_6=-16/63v_6. \tag{14.45}$$

These relations may serve as a cross-check of the coefficient of $\mathcal{V}^\bullet[\varphi]$ in (14.34) and (14.33).

Next, we observe that the identity $\mathcal{H}(r)=(1-r^2/4)^{-2}P_2$ on $\mathbb{S}^n$ (see (1.11)) implies

$$\operatorname{Tr}(e^{t\mathcal{H}(r)})=\operatorname{Tr}(e^{t(1-r^2/4)^{-2}P_2}).$$

Hence the heat kernel coefficients of $\mathcal{H}(r)$ for the round sphere $\mathbb{S}^n$ are given by the closed formula

$$a_{2N}(r)=(1-r^2/4)^{n-2N}a_{2N}(\mathbb{S}^n)$$

in terms of the heat kernel coefficients of the conformal Laplacian on $\mathbb{S}^n$. In particular, we obtain

$$a_0(r)=(1-r^2/4)^n\quad\text{and}\quad a_2(r)=-n(n-4)/12(1-r^2/4)^{n-2}. \tag{14.46}$$

The latter formulas can be used for a cross-check of Lemma 6.1 and Theorem 1.8. Note also that, for even n, the critical coefficient $a_n(r)$ does not depend on r.

Finally, the above results for the conformal Laplacian on the spheres $\mathbb{S}^n$ of curvature 1 imply analogous results for the conformal Laplacian on the hyperbolic spaces $\mathbb{H}^n$ of curvature -1 by using the well-known duality

$$a_{2N}(\mathbb{S}^n)=(-1)^Na_{2N}(\mathbb{H}^n). \tag{14.47}$$

14.9. **The correction terms as polynomials in v_{2k}.** The coefficients ω_{2k} in the expansion of the correction term $(\dot w(r))^2$ of the second heat-kernel coefficient $a_2(r)$ can be written as non-linear polynomials in renormalized volume coefficients. The first few of these formulas are

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_0&=0,\\
\omega_2&=v_2^2,\\
\omega_4&=4v_2v_4-v_2^3,\\
\omega_6&=6v_2v_6+4v_4^2-5v_4v_2^2+v_2^4,\\
\omega_8&=8v_2v_8+12v_4v_6-8v_2v_4^2-7v_6v_2^2+6v_4v_2^3-v_2^5.
\end{aligned}$$

14.10. **Product metrics and consequences.** We describe an alternative method for the derivation of an explicit formula for the second Taylor coefficient $a_{(2,2)}$ of $a_2(r)$. For this purpose, we consider product manifolds $M^n=M_1^p\times M_2^q$ ($n=p+q$ with $p,q\geq 3$) with the product metrics $g=g_1+g_2$ given by Einstein metrics g_i on the factors so that

$$\lambda\overset{\text{def}}{=}\frac{\operatorname{scal}(g_1)}{4p(p-1)}=-\frac{\operatorname{scal}(g_2)}{4q(q-1)}. \tag{14.48}$$

An important feature of these product metrics is that their Schouten tensors decompose as the sum of the respective Schouten tensors of the factors. In fact, we have

$$\mathsf{P}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathsf{P}(g_1) & 0 \\ 0 & \mathsf{P}(g_2) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2\lambda g_1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2\lambda g_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{14.49}$$

In particular, we find $\mathsf{J}(g) = 2\lambda(p-q)$. The following result describes the associated Poincaré-Einstein metrics.

Proposition 14.9 ([GL09])**.** *The metric*

$$g_+ = r^{-2}\left(dr^2 + (1-\lambda r^2)^2 g_1 + (1+\lambda r^2)^2 g_2\right) \tag{14.50}$$

satisfies $\mathrm{Ric}(g_+) = -n g_+$.

Equation (14.50) implies that

$$v(r) = (1-\lambda r^2)^p (1+\lambda r^2)^q \quad \text{and} \quad w(r) = (1-\lambda r^2)^{p/2}(1+\lambda r^2)^{q/2}. \tag{14.51}$$

Now let $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ be the corresponding holographic Laplacian. A calculation yields the explicit formula

$$\mathcal{H}(r;g) = (1-\lambda r^2)^{-2} P_2(g_1) + (1+\lambda r^2)^{-2} P_2(g_2) = \mathcal{H}(r;g_1) + \mathcal{H}(r;g_2). \tag{14.52}$$

Thus, by the functoriality of heat kernel coefficients (see Section 4.8 of [G84]), the coefficient $a_2(r)$ for $\mathcal{H}(r;g)$ equals

$$a_0((1-\lambda r^2)^{-2} P_2(g_1)) a_2((1+\lambda r^2)^{-2} P_2(g_2)) \\ + a_2((1-\lambda r^2)^{-2} P_2(g_1)) a_0((1+\lambda r^2)^{-2} P_2(g_2)),$$

i.e.,

$$(1-\lambda r^2)^p (1+\lambda r^2)^{q-2} a_0(P_2(g_1)) a_2(P_2(g_2)) \\ + (1-\lambda r^2)^{p-2}(1+\lambda r^2)^q a_2(P_2(g_1)) a_0(P_2(g_2)).$$

Hence, using $a_0 = 1$ and $a_2 = -(n-4)/6\mathsf{J}$ (for any manifold of dimension n), we find

$$a_2(r) = \frac{q-4}{6} 2\lambda q (1-\lambda r^2)^p (1+\lambda r^2)^{q-2} - \frac{p-4}{6} 2\lambda p (1-\lambda r^2)^{p-2}(1+\lambda r^2)^q. \tag{14.53}$$

We apply the latter formula to prove the following result.

Proposition 14.10. *The coefficient* $a_{(2,2)}$ *is given by*

$$a_{(2,2)} = \frac{n-5}{12}\mathsf{J}^2 - \frac{n-8}{12}|\mathsf{P}|^2. \tag{14.54}$$

Proof. On the one hand, the coefficient $a_{(2,2)}$ is a universal scalar Riemannian invariant of weight 4. Hence it can be written as a linear combination

$$a\mathsf{J}^2 + b|\mathsf{P}|^2 + c\Delta\mathsf{J} + d|W|^2$$

with universal coefficients depending on n. On the other hand, the coefficient of r^2 in the Taylor expansion of (14.53) is given by

$$\frac{1}{3}\lambda^2((n^3-6n^2+8n) - p(4n-20)n + p^2(4n-20)).$$

Now we have

$$a\mathsf{J}^2 + b|\mathsf{P}|^2 = 4\lambda^2 a(p-q)^2 + 4\lambda^2 bn = 4\lambda^2((an^2+bn) - 4pan + 4p^2 a)$$

and a comparison of the decompositions $R = W - \mathsf{P} \owedge g$ on the product space and on the factors yields the relation $|W|^2 = |W_1|^2 + |W_2|^2$ of the Weyl tensors.[32] But the universal equality of

$$4\lambda^2((an^2+bn) - 4pan + 4p^2 a) + d|W|^2$$

and

$$\frac{1}{3}\lambda^2((n^3-6n^2+8n) - p(4n-20)n + p^2(4n-20))$$

for *all* p holds precisely if $d = 0$ and a and b take the given values. In order to determine c, we use the fact that the integrands

$$\Lambda_2 = a_{(2,2)} - v_2^2 = a_{(2,2)} - \mathsf{J}^2/4$$

define variational integrals. By Lemma 14.8, this property implies the relation

$$2(a+b-1/4) + (n-4)c = 0.$$

Hence $c = 0$. □

Lemma 14.8. *The linear combination*

$$I_4 = a\mathsf{J}^2 + b|\mathsf{P}|^2 + c\Delta\mathsf{J}$$

satisfies the conformal variational formula

$$\left(\int_{M^n} I_4 dv\right)^\bullet [\varphi] = (n-4)\int_{M^n} \varphi I_4 dv$$

iff

$$2(a+b) + (n-4)c = 0. \tag{14.55}$$

Proof. The claim easily follows from the usual conformal variational formulas for J and P. Note that the assertion already can be found as Equation (5.4) in [BO88]. □

Note that $8v_4 = \mathsf{J}^2 - |\mathsf{P}|^2$ and $Q_4 = \frac{n}{2}\mathsf{J}^2 - 2|\mathsf{P}|^2 - \Delta\mathsf{J}$ both satisfy the relation (14.55).

Remark 14.5. *By* $-2v_2 = \mathsf{J}$*, the formula* $\Lambda_0 = -(n-4)/6\mathsf{J}$ *can be restated as*

$$\Lambda_0 = (n-4)/3v_2.$$

Similarly, using $8v_4 = \mathsf{J}^2 - |\mathsf{P}|^2$*, Proposition 14.10 implies that the difference*

$$\Lambda_2 = a_{(2,2)} - v_2^2 = a_{(2,2)} - \mathsf{J}^2/4$$

equals

$$\frac{n-8}{12}(\mathsf{J}^2 - |\mathsf{P}|^2) = (n-8)\frac{2}{3}v_4.$$

These are the special cases $k = 1$ *and* $k = 2$ *of Theorem 1.8.*

[32] In particular, the product space is conformally flat if the factors (of opposite curvature) are. The conformal flatness of the product $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{H}^q$ also follows directly from its well-known realization as the complement of a sphere in a sphere.

For the product metrics in Proposition 14.9, the identity

$$a_2(r) - (\dot{w}(r))^2 = -\frac{1}{3}\left(\ddot{v}(r) - \frac{n-2}{2} r^{-1}\dot{v}(r)\right)$$

can be verified by a straightforward calculation using the explicit formulas (14.51) and (14.53). This is a special case of Theorem 1.8.

It seems difficult to determine the higher-order Taylor coefficients of $a_2(r)$ by a generalization of the above method. The main reason is that special metrics with explicitly known Poincaré-Einstein metrics are rare. In particular, considerations of the product spaces $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{H}^q$ do not suffice to determine the coefficient of r^4 in $a_2(r)$. Nevertheless, this method can be used to obtain the following information on the coefficient of r^2 in the expansion of $a_4(r)$.

Proposition 14.11. *For locally conformally flat metrics, the coefficient of r^2 in the expansion of $a_4(r)$ has the form*

$$360 a_{(4,2)} = 48(n-4)(n-6)v_6 + 48(n-4)(n-12)v_2v_4 - 12(n-4)(n-16)v_2^3,$$

up to terms which involve derivatives of curvature.

Proof. The coefficient $a_{(4,2)}$ is a universal linear combination (with coefficients depending on n) of the three terms v_6, v_2v_4 and v_2^3 and of terms which involve derivatives of curvature: $|dv_2|^2$, $\Delta(v_4)$, $\Delta(v_2^2)$, $\delta(\mathsf{P}dv_2)$ and $\Delta^2(v_2)$. Now, for the spaces $\mathbb{S}^p \times \mathbb{H}^q$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_4(r) = {} & (1-\lambda r^2)^{p-4}(1+\lambda r^2)^q a_4(P_2(\mathbb{S}^p)) \\ & + (1-\lambda r^2)^{p-2}(1+\lambda r^2)^{q-2} a_2(P_2(\mathbb{S}^p)) a_2(P_2(\mathbb{H}^q)) \\ & + (1-\lambda r^2)^{p}(1+\lambda r^2)^{q-4} a_4(P_2(\mathbb{S}^p)) \end{aligned}$$

with $\lambda = 1/4$. Formulas for the heat kernel coefficient a_2 and a_4 of the conformal Laplacian on round spheres and hyperbolic spaces were given in Section 14.8. We use the resulting formula for $360 a_{(4,2)}$ to represent this quantity as a linear combination of v_6, v_2v_4 and v_2^3 with quadratic coefficients in n. A calculation shows that the general solution has the form

$$\begin{aligned} & (c_2 n^2 + c_1 n + 1152) v_6 \\ & \quad + \left((96-c_2)n^2 + (-1280 - c_1 + 2/3 c_2)n + (2624 + 2/3 c_1)\right) v_2 v_4 \\ & \quad + \left((-28 + 1/3 c_2)n^2 + (416 + 1/3 c_1 - 1/3 c_2)n + (-928 - 1/3 c_1)\right) v_2^3 \end{aligned}$$

with arbitrary coefficients c_1, c_2. In particular, the solution is unique once the coefficient of v_6 is known. Therefore, it suffices to combine the result with those arguments in Section 14.11 which yield the coefficient of v_6. □

In the following section, we shall use an alternative method to derive a fully explicit version of Proposition 14.11 for general metrics.

14.11. **On the coefficient $a_4(r)$.** We apply the method of Section 11 to determine the first two terms in the asymptotic expansion of $a_4(r)$. We continue to use the notation of Section 11. The following result is a consequence of Gilkey's formula for the heat kernel coefficient a_4 of second-order operators of the form (11.3).

Proposition 14.12. *The fourth-order heat kernel coefficient of the operator*

$$L = -(\Delta_g + g(d\eta, d) + b),\ \eta, b \in C^\infty(M)$$

is given by

$$360a_4(L) = 2|R|_g^2 - 2|\operatorname{Ric}|_g^2 + 5\operatorname{scal}^2 + 12\Delta_g(\operatorname{scal} + 5E) + 60\operatorname{scal} E + 180E^2,$$

where

$$E = b - \frac{1}{4}|d\eta|_g^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta_g(\eta).$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.18.16/c) in [G84], the heat kernel coefficient a_4 of an operator L as in (11.3) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 360a_4 = {} & 2|R|_g^2 - 2|\operatorname{Ric}|_g^2 + 5\operatorname{scal}^2 \\ & + 12\Delta_g(\operatorname{scal}) + 60\operatorname{tr}_g(\nabla^2)(E) + 60\operatorname{scal} E + 180E^2 + 30|\Omega|_g^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here ∇ and the endomorphism E are given by (11.4) and (11.5). Ω is the curvature of ∇. Now Ω vanishes in the present case, and for the endomorphism $E(r)$ of $\mathscr{L}$ we have $\nabla(E(r)) = dE(r) \otimes \mathrm{id}$. Hence $\operatorname{tr}_{g(r)}(\nabla^2)(E(r)) = \Delta_{g(r)}(E(r))$. This proves the claim. □

As a consequence, we obtain

Corollary 14.6. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} 360a_4(r) = {} & \big(2|R(r)|_{g(r)}^2 - 2|\operatorname{Ric}(r)|_{g(r)}^2 + 5\operatorname{scal}(r)^2 \\ & + 12\Delta_{g(r)}(\operatorname{scal}(r) + 5(E(r)) + 60\operatorname{scal}(r)E(r) + 180E(r)^2\big)v(r) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$R(r) = R(g(r)), \quad \operatorname{Ric}(r) = \operatorname{Ric}(g(r)), \quad \operatorname{scal}(r) = \operatorname{scal}(g(r))$$

and $E(r)$ as in Lemma 11.2.

The latter result can be used to derive formulas for the coefficients of the expansion of $a_4(r)$ in terms of g. In the following, we shall make explicit the coefficient of r^2.

We start with the discussion in the special case of locally conformally flat metrics. As before, we define $h(\rho)$ so that $h(r^2) = g(r)$.

Lemma 14.9. *Assume that g is locally conformally flat, i.e., $W = 0$. Then*

$$R(r) = h \owedge \dot{h} - \frac{1}{2}(\dot{h} \owedge \dot{h})r^2$$

and

$$\operatorname{Ric}(r) = -(n-2)\dot{h} - h\operatorname{tr}(h^{-1}\dot{h}) + (\dot{h}\operatorname{tr}(h^{-1}\dot{h}) - \dot{h}h^{-1}\dot{h})r^2.$$

In these formulas, $\owedge$ is the Kulkarni-Nomizu product (7.4), the dot denotes differentiation with respect to ρ and the dependence of h on $\rho = r^2$ has been suppressed.

Proof. On the one hand, we have

$$R(g_+) = W(g_+) - \mathsf{P}(g_+) \owedge g_+ = W(g_+) + \frac{1}{2}(g_+ \owedge g_+).$$

But it is well-known that $W(g) = 0$ implies $W(g_+) = 0$ (see Chapter 7 of [FG12] or Section 6.14 of [J09a]). Therefore, we obtain

$$R(g_+) = \frac{1}{2}(g_+ \owedge g_+).$$

On the other hand, we recall that the curvature tensor R of a metric g is given by

$$R_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}\left[g_{ik,jl} + g_{jl,ik} - g_{jk,il} - g_{il,jk}\right] + g_{pq}(\Gamma^p_{ik}\Gamma^q_{jl} - \Gamma^p_{il}\Gamma^q_{jk}),$$

where

$$\Gamma^k_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}g^{kl}(g_{il,j} + g_{jl,i} - g_{ij,l}).$$

It follows that the tangential components of $R(g_+)$ are given by

$$R(g_+)_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{r^2}R(r)_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{r^2}(\Gamma^0_{ik}\Gamma^0_{jl} - \Gamma^0_{il}\Gamma^0_{jk});$$

here the upper index 0 refers to $\partial/\partial r$. But using

$$\Gamma^0_{ij} = \frac{1}{r}g(r)_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{g}(r)_{ij},$$

we obtain

$$R(g_+)_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{r^2}R(r)_{ijkl} + \frac{1}{r^2}\Big(\left(\frac{1}{r}g(r)_{ik} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{g}(r)_{ik}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r}g(r)_{jl} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{g}(r)_{jl}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{r}g(r)_{il} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{g}(r)_{il}\right)\left(\frac{1}{r}g(r)_{jk} - \frac{1}{2}\dot{g}(r)_{jk}\right)\Big).$$

Now the first assertion follows by comparing both formulas for the components $R(g_+)_{ijkl}$. The result for the Ricci tensor follows by contraction. We omit the details. □

Remark 14.6. *Under the assumption $W = 0$, we have $2\ddot{h} = \dot{h}h^{-1}\dot{h}$. This is a consequence of the explicit formula $h(\rho) = g - \rho\mathsf{P} + \rho^2/4\mathsf{P}^2$. This shows that the formula for* $\mathrm{Ric}(r)$ *in Lemma 14.9 is compatible with* (10.18).

Lemma 14.9 has the following consequences.

Lemma 14.10. *For locally conformally flat metrics, we have the expansions*

$$|R(r)|^2_{g(r)} = |R|^2_g + (4(n-4)\,\mathrm{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) + (12\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2)r^2 + \cdots$$

and

$$|\,\mathrm{Ric}(r)|^2_{g(r)} = |\,\mathrm{Ric}\,|^2_g + ((n-2)(n-4)\,\mathrm{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) + 5(n-2)\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 + 2\mathsf{J}^3)r^2 + \cdots.$$

Moreover,

$$|R|^2_g = 4(n-2)|\mathsf{P}|^2 + 4\mathsf{J}^2 \quad \textit{and} \quad |\,\mathrm{Ric}\,|^2_g = (n-2)^2|\mathsf{P}|^2 + (3n-4)\mathsf{J}^2.$$

Proof. In view of

$$|R(r)|^2_{g(r)} = R(r)_{ijkl}R(r)_{abcd}g(r)^{ai}g(r)^{bj}g(r)^{ck}g(r)^{dl},$$

the coefficient of r^2 in the expansion of $|R(r)|^2_{g(r)}$ is given by the sum

$$2g(\dot{R}(0), R) + 4R_{ijkl}R_a{}^{jkl}\mathsf{P}^{ai},$$

where the dot denotes differentiation with respect to ρ. First, using $R = -\mathsf{P} \owedge g$, we find

$$R_{ijkl}R_a{}^{jkl}\mathsf{P}^{ai} = 2(n-4)\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) + 6\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2.$$

Next, Lemma 14.9 and $h(\rho) = g - \rho\mathsf{P} + \rho^2/4\mathsf{P}^2$ imply that

$$\dot{R}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(g \owedge \mathsf{P}^2 + \mathsf{P} \owedge \mathsf{P}). \tag{14.56}$$

Therefore, the results

$$\begin{aligned} g(g \owedge \mathsf{P}^2, R) &= -4(n-2)\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) - 4\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2, \\ g(\mathsf{P} \owedge \mathsf{P}, R) &= 8\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) - 8\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 \end{aligned}$$

yield

$$g(\dot{R}(0), R) = -2(n-4)\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) - 6\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2.$$

Now the first claim follows by combining these formulas. Similarly, we observe that

$$|\operatorname{Ric}(r)|^2_{g(r)} = |\operatorname{Ric}|^2_g + (2g(\dot{\operatorname{Ric}}(0), \operatorname{Ric}) + 2\operatorname{Ric}_{ij}\operatorname{Ric}_a^j\mathsf{P}^{ia})r^2 + \cdots.$$

Lemma 14.9 implies that

$$\operatorname{Ric}(r) = \operatorname{Ric} + \frac{1}{2}(|\mathsf{P}|^2 g - n\mathsf{P}^2)r^2 + \cdots, \tag{14.57}$$

i.e., $\dot{\operatorname{Ric}}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(|\mathsf{P}|^2 g - n\mathsf{P}^2)$. Now the second claim follows by a direct calculation using $\mathsf{P} = (n-2)\operatorname{Ric} + \mathsf{J}g$. □

The extension of Lemma 14.10 to general metrics requires formulas for the first two terms of the expansions of $R(r)$ and $\operatorname{Ric}(r)$ in terms of g. The following result provides that information.

Lemma 14.11. *The expansions of $R(r)$ and $\operatorname{Ric}(r)$ start with*

$$(W - g \owedge \mathsf{P}) + Tr^2 + \cdots$$

with

$$T_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_k(\mathcal{C})_{lij} - \nabla_l(\mathcal{C})_{kij}) - \frac{1}{2}(R_i{}^a{}_{kl}\mathsf{P}_{aj} + R^a{}_{jkl}\mathsf{P}_{ai})$$

and

$$\operatorname{Ric} + \frac{1}{2}(|\mathsf{P}|^2 g - n\mathsf{P}^2 + \mathcal{B})r^2 + \cdots.$$

Here $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are the respective Bach and Cotton tensors (14.10)*. These expansions generalize* (14.56) *and* (14.57)*.*

Proof. We recall the variational formula

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{R}_{ijkl}[h] &= (d/dt)|_0(R(g+th)_{ijkl}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_i\nabla_k h_{jl} + \nabla_j\nabla_l h_{ik} - \nabla_i\nabla_l h_{jk} - \nabla_j\nabla_k h_{il} + R_{ijk}{}^a h_{al} - R_{ijl}{}^a h_{ka})\end{aligned}$$

([B87] Theorem 1.174). For $g(\rho) = g - \rho\mathsf{P}$, it yields

$$\dot{R}_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}(-\nabla_i\nabla_k \mathsf{P}_{jl} - \nabla_j\nabla_l \mathsf{P}_{ik} + \nabla_i\nabla_l \mathsf{P}_{jk} + \nabla_j\nabla_k \mathsf{P}_{il} - R_{ijk}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{al} + R_{ijl}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{ka}).$$

By interchanging covariant derivatives, we find

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{R}_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}(&\nabla_k(\nabla_j \mathsf{P}_{il} - \nabla_i \mathsf{P}_{jl}) + \nabla_l(\nabla_i \mathsf{P}_{jk} - \nabla_j \mathsf{P}_{ik}) - R_{ijk}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{al} + R_{ijl}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{ak} \\ &- [\nabla_i, \nabla_k]\mathsf{P}_{jl} - [\nabla_j, \nabla_l]\mathsf{P}_{ik} + [\nabla_j, \nabla_k]\mathsf{P}_{il} + [\nabla_i, \nabla_l]\mathsf{P}_{jk}).\end{aligned}$$

This identity can be written as the sum of

$$\dot{R}_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_k(\mathcal{C})_{lij} - \nabla_l(\mathcal{C})_{kij}) + \frac{1}{2}(-R_{ijk}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{al} + R_{ijl}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{ak})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}(R_{ikj}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{al} + R_{ikl}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{aj} + R_{jli}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{ak} + R_{jlk}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{ai}) \\ &- \frac{1}{2}(R_{jki}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{al} + R_{jkl}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{ai} + R_{ilj}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{ak} + R_{ilk}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{aj}).\end{aligned}$$

By the first Bianchi identity, the latter sum equals

$$-\frac{1}{2}(R_{jik}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{al} + R_{kli}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{aj} + R_{ijl}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{ak} + R_{lkj}{}^a \mathsf{P}_{ai}).$$

Summarizing yields

$$\dot{R}_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_k(\mathcal{C})_{lij} - \nabla_l(\mathcal{C})_{kij}) - \frac{1}{2}(R_i{}^a{}_{kl} \mathsf{P}_{aj} + R^a{}_{jkl} \mathsf{P}_{ai}).$$

This proves the first assertion. Let $g_{(4)}$ be the coefficient of r^4 in the expansion of $g(r)$. Then

$$4(n-4)g_{(4)} = |\mathsf{P}|^2 g - 4\mathsf{P}^2 - 2\dot{\mathrm{Ric}}(0)$$

by (6.9.14) of [J09a] and

$$4(n-4)g_{(4)} = (n-4)\mathsf{P}^2 - \mathcal{B}$$

by (2.1). This proves the second assertion. □

The following result extends Lemma 14.10 to general metrics.

Lemma 14.12. *For general metrics, the coefficients of r^2 in the expansions of the quantities $|R(r)|^2_{g(r)}$ and $|\operatorname{Ric}(r)|^2_{g(r)}$ are*

$$4(n-4)\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) + 12\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 + 2\nabla_k(\mathcal{C})_{lij}R^{ijkl} + 2W_{ijkl}W^{ajkl}\mathsf{P}^i_a - 8W_{ijkl}\mathsf{P}^{jl}\mathsf{P}^{ik}$$

and

$$(n-2)(n-4)\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) + 5(n-2)\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 + 2\mathsf{J}^3 + (n-2)(\mathcal{B}, \mathsf{P}),$$

respectively.

Proof. It suffices to combine Lemma 14.11 with the arguments in the proof of Lemma 14.10. Note also that

$$(\mathsf{P} \owedge g)_{ijkl}(\mathsf{P} \owedge g)^{ajkl}\mathsf{P}^i_a = 2(n-4)\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) + 6\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2.$$

The proof is complete. □

Lemma 14.9 (or (10.20)) implies that the expansion of $\operatorname{scal}(r)$ starts with

$$\operatorname{scal}(r) = \operatorname{scal} + ((n-2)|\mathsf{P}|^2 + \mathsf{J}^2)r^2 + \cdots . \tag{14.58}$$

Next, we expand the potential $E(r)$. Lemma 11.2 yields

Lemma 14.13.

$$E(r) = (n-2)v_2 + (2(n-4)v_4 - (n-3)v_2^2)r^2 + \cdots \overset{def}{=} E_0 + E_2 r^2 + \cdots .$$

Now, by Corollary 14.6, $360a_{(4,2)}$ equals the coefficient of r^2 in the expansion of the sum

$$\begin{aligned}\big(&2|R(r)|^2_{g(r)} - 2|\operatorname{Ric}(r)|^2_{g(r)} + 5\operatorname{scal}(r)^2 \\ &+ 12\Delta_{g(r)}(\operatorname{scal}(r) + 5E(r)) + 60\operatorname{scal}(r)E(r) + 180E(r)^2\big)v(r).\end{aligned} \tag{14.59}$$

We use the above results to make the latter sum explicit. First, in the conformally flat case, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}&(2|R(r)|^2_{g(r)} - 2|\operatorname{Ric}(r)|^2_{g(r)} + 5\operatorname{scal}(r)^2)v(r) \\ &\qquad = (-2(n-6)(n-2)|\mathsf{P}|^2 + 2(10n^2-23n+18)\mathsf{J}^2) \\ &\quad + (-2(n-6)(n-4)\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) + 3(7n^2-26n+32)\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 - (10n^2-43n+42)\mathsf{J}^3)r^2 + \cdots .\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&(60\operatorname{scal}(r)E(r) + 180E(r)^2)v(r) \\ &\qquad = -15(n^2-4)\mathsf{J}^2 + \frac{15}{2}((n^2-2n-4)\mathsf{J}^3 - 2(n^2-8)\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2)r^2 + \cdots .\end{aligned}$$

These results imply that the coefficient of r^2 in (14.59) is the sum of

$$\begin{aligned}&-2(n-4)(n-6)\operatorname{tr}(\mathsf{P}^3) + 6(n-4)(n-9)\mathsf{J}|\mathsf{P}|^2 - \frac{1}{2}(n-4)(5n-36)\mathsf{J}^3 \\ &\qquad = 48(n-4)(n-6)v_6 + 48(n-4)(n-12)v_2v_4 - 12(n-4)(n-16)v_2^3\end{aligned} \tag{14.60}$$

and the coefficient of r^2 in the expansion of

$$12\Delta_{g(r)}(\operatorname{scal}(r) + 5E(r))v(r). \tag{14.61}$$

We continue with an analysis of the contribution (14.61). Lemma 8.1 and (14.58) yield the expansion

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{g(r)}(\operatorname{scal}(r))v(r) &= -\delta(g(r)^{-1}v(r)d(\operatorname{scal}(r))) \\ &= \Delta(\operatorname{scal}) + \big(\Delta((n-2)|\mathsf{P}|^2 + \mathsf{J}^2) - \delta((\mathsf{P} + v_2)d(\operatorname{scal}))\big)\, r^2 + \cdots ,\end{aligned}$$

where δ and Δ are defined by g. Note that

$$\operatorname{scal} = -4(n-1)v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad (n-2)|\mathsf{P}|^2 + \mathsf{J}^2 = -8(n-2)v_4 + 4(n-1)v_2^2.$$

Similarly, Lemma 8.1 implies that the expansion of the term $\Delta_{g(r)}(E(r))v(r)$ starts with

$$\Delta(E_0) + (\Delta(E_2) - \delta((\mathsf{P} + v_2)dE_0))\, r^2 + \cdots .$$

Hence, by

$$E_0 = (n-2)v_2 \quad \text{and} \quad E_2 = 2(n-4)v_4 - (n-3)v_2^2$$

(see Lemma 14.13), the coefficient of r^2 can be written in the form

$$2(n-4)\Delta(v_4) - (n-3)\Delta(v_2^2) - (n-2)\delta((\mathsf{P} + v_2)dv_2).$$

These results show that the coefficient of r^2 in the expansion of (14.61) is given by the sum

$$24(n-12)\Delta(v_4) - 12(n-11)\Delta(v_2^2) - 12(n-6)\delta((\mathsf{P} + v_2)dv_2).$$

Simplifying and summarizing, we have proved the following result.

Proposition 14.13. *For locally conformally flat (M^n, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} 360a_{(4,2)} = {} & 48(n-4)(n-6)v_6 + 48(n-4)(n-12)v_2v_4 - 12(n-4)(n-16)v_2^3 \\ & + 24(n-12)\Delta(v_4) - 6(n-16)\Delta(v_2^2) - 12(n-6)\delta(\mathsf{P}dv_2). \quad (14.62) \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the convention $\Delta = -\delta d$.

Note that Proposition 14.13 improves Proposition 14.11. For general metrics, the corresponding formula for $a_{(4,2)}$ contains a few more terms. In fact, we find

Proposition 14.14. *For general manifolds (M^n, g) of dimension $n \geq 3$, the formula for $a_{(4,2)}$ contains the additional terms*

$$4W_{ijkl}W^{ajkl}\mathsf{P}^i_a - 16W_{ijkl}\mathsf{P}^{ik}\mathsf{P}^{jl} - \mathsf{J}|W|^2 + 4\nabla_k(\mathcal{C})_{lij}R^{ijkl} - 8(\mathcal{B}, \mathsf{P}).$$

Proof. The additional terms are contained in the contributions

$$(2|R(r)|^2_{g(r)} - 2|\operatorname{Ric}(r)|^2_{g(r)})v(r).$$

These are described in Lemma 14.12. We omit the details of the calculation and note only that the resulting contribution $-2(n-2)(\mathcal{B}, \mathsf{P})$ splits as the sum of

$$-2(n-6)(\mathcal{B}, \mathsf{P}) \qquad (\text{from } 48(n-4)(n-6)v_6)$$

and $-8(\mathcal{B}, \mathsf{P})$. □

Remark 14.7. *Let g be Einstein. Then $\mathsf{P} = 2cg$ and $v(r) = (1-cr^2)^n$ for some constant c. In this case, Proposition 14.13 yields*

$$360a_{(4,2)} = -4c^3n(n-4)(n-6)(5n^2-18n+4) - 2c(n-4)|W|^2 = -c(n-4)360a_4.$$

This relation fits with the consequence $a_{(4,2)} = -c(n-4)a_4$ of (1.20).

Remark 14.8. *As a byproduct of the calculations, we find that the coefficient $360a_4 = 360a_{(4,0)}$ equals the sum*

$$\begin{aligned} & (-2(n-6)(n-2)|\mathsf{P}|^2 + 2(10n^2-23n+18)\mathsf{J}^2) - 15(n^2-4)\mathsf{J}^2 \\ & \qquad + 12(5\Delta(E_0) + \Delta(\text{scal})) + 2|W|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This formula implies the identity (14.5) for a_4 of the conformal Laplacian.

Next we use Proposition 14.13 to derive a closed formula for the coefficient $c_{(4,2)}$ for locally conformally flat metrics. We start by determining the conformal variation of the total integral of $a_{(4,2)}$. Let

$$360a'_{(4,2)} \overset{\text{def}}{=} 48(n-4)(n-6)v_6 + 48(n-4)(n-12)v_2v_4 - 12(n-4)(n-16)v_2^3.$$

Then

$$360\left(\int_M a_{(4,2)}dvol\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = 360\left(\int_M a'_{(4,2)}dvol\right)^\bullet[\varphi]$$

by Proposition 14.13. Now partial integration and the formulas in the proof of Proposition 14.2 show that the latter variation is given by the sum of the scaling term

$$360(n-6)\int_M \varphi a'_{(4,2)}dvol$$

and

$$\int_M \varphi\Big(24(n-4)(n-12)\Delta(v_4) - 24(n-4)(n-12)\delta(v_2 + 1/2\mathsf{P})dv_2 \\ - 18(n-4)(n-16)\Delta(v_2^2)\Big)dvol,$$

where $\Delta = -\delta d$. On the other hand, (13.2) implies

$$360\left(\int_M a_{(4,2)}dvol\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = 360(n-6)\int_M \varphi a_{(4,2)}dvol - 180\int_M \varphi c_{(4,2)}dvol.$$

These identities hold true for arbitrary $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$. Hence a comparison using Proposition 14.13 shows that

$$-180c_{(4,2)} = 48(n-12)\Delta(v_4) + 48(n-3)\delta(\mathsf{P}dv_2) + 36n\Delta(v_2^2). \tag{14.63}$$

But

$$\tilde{c}_{(4,2)} = 240v_2^3 - 576v_2v_4 - 48\Delta v_4 - 36\Delta v_2^2 - 48\delta(\mathsf{P}dv_2)$$

is a conformal primitive of $-180c_{(4,2)}$ in the sense that

$$\left(\int_M \tilde{c}_{(4,2)}dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-6)\int_M \varphi\tilde{c}_{(4,2)}dv - 180\int_M \varphi c_{(4,2)}dv.$$

Hence the modified heat kernel coefficient

$$a'_{(4,2)} \overset{\text{def}}{=} 360a_{(4,2)} - \tilde{c}_{(4,2)}$$

satisfies

$$\left(\int_M a'_{(4,2)}dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi] = (n-6)\int_M \varphi a'_{(4,2)}dv.$$

A calculation shows that

$$a'_{(4,2)} = 48(n-6)(n-4)v_6 + 48(n-6)(n-10)v_2v_4 - 12(n-6)(n-14)v_2^3 \\ + 24(n-10)\Delta v_4 - 6(n-22)\Delta v_2^2 - 12(n-10)\delta(\mathsf{P}dv_2).$$

Finally, we discuss extremal properties of the functional

$$\mathcal{A}_{(4,2)} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \int_{M^6} a_{(4,2)}dv \tag{14.64}$$

for locally conformally flat metrics in the critical dimension $n = 6$.

Proposition 14.15. *Let M^6 be closed with a locally conformally flat Einstein metric g.*[33] *Then the restriction of the scale-invariant functional $\mathcal{A}_{(4,2)}$ to the conformal class $c = [g]$ has a local maximum at g. The local maximum is strict (modulo rescalings) unless (M^6, g) is isometric to a (rescaled) round sphere.*

Proof. We argue as in the proof of Theorem 12.1 using a formula for the second conformal variation. Let g be locally conformally flat Einstein and $c = \mathrm{scal}(g)/(4n(n-1)) = \mathrm{scal}(g)/120$. Let $\gamma(t) = e^{2\varphi(t)}$ be a curve in c so that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi'(0) = \varphi$. Then the second conformal variation

$$(\mathcal{A}_{(4,2)}(g))^{\bullet\bullet}[\gamma] = (\partial^2/\partial t^2)|_0(\mathcal{A}_{(4,2)}(\gamma(t)))$$

of $\mathcal{A}_{(4,2)}$ at g does not depend on $\psi = \varphi''(0)$ and is given by the formula

$$(\mathcal{A}_{(4,2)})^{\bullet\bullet}[\varphi] = -2\int_{M^6} \varphi\Delta(\Delta + 24c)(\varphi)dv = -2\int_{M^6} \varphi\Delta(\Delta + 4nc)(\varphi)dv \qquad (14.65)$$

at g. Now the spectrum of $\Delta = -\delta d$ consists only of non-positive eigenvalues. If $c \leq 0$, then it follows that the quadratic form on the left-hand side of (14.65) is negative semi-definite with kernel $\mathbb{C}$. On the other hand, if $c > 0$, then the first non-trivial eigenvalue λ_1 of $-\Delta$ satisfies $\lambda_1 \geq \mathrm{scal}(g)/(n-1) = 24c$ [O62]. In this case, the quadratic form on the right-hand side of (14.65) is negative semi-definite with kernel spanned by $\mathbb{C}$ and the eigenspace of the eigenvalue $\mathrm{scal}(g)/(n-1)$ (being non-trivial only for rescaled spheres). In the remaining part of the proof we establish the formula (14.65). First, we observe that, in general dimensions n and for locally conformally flat g, Proposition 14.13 shows that

$$\int_{M^n} a_{(4,2)}dv = \int_{M^n} \bar{a}_{(4,2)}dv,$$

where $360\bar{a}_{(4,2)}$ is defined as the sum

$$48(n-4)(n-6)v_6 + 48(n-4)(n-12)v_2v_4 - 12(n-4)(n-16)v_2^3.$$

By partial integration and the formulas in the proof of Proposition 14.2, it follows that the conformal variation of $360\mathcal{A}_{(4,2)}$ at a locally conformally flat metric g is given by the sum of the scaling term

$$360(n-6)\int_{M^n} \varphi\bar{a}_{(4,2)}dv$$

and

$$\int_{M^n} \varphi\Big(24(n-4)(n-12)\Delta(v_4) - 24(n-4)(n-12)\delta(v_2+1/2\mathsf{P})dv_2 \\ - 18(n-4)(n-16)\Delta(v_2^2)\Big)dv$$

at g. Note that the latter integral vanishes at Einstein metrics. This follows from the fact that, for Einstein metrics, v_2 and v_4 are constant, and that P is a constant multiple of the metric. This observation confirms that the functional $\mathcal{A}_{(4,2)}$ is critical at Einstein

[33] Thus g is a metric of constant sectional curvature, i.e., (M^6, g) is a closed space form.

metrics in dimension $n = 6$. Now, for $n = 6$ and for any locally conformally flat metric g, the above results show that

$$360\left(\int_{M^6} a_{(4,2)}dv\right)^\bullet[\varphi] \\ = \int_{M^6}\varphi(-288\Delta(v_4) + 288\delta(v_2{+}1/2\mathsf{P})dv_2 + 360\Delta(v_2^2))dv \quad (14.66)$$

at g. We use this formula to prove (14.65) at the Einstein metric g. Note that

$$v_2 = -6c, \quad v_4 = 15c^2, \quad v_2 g + 1/2\mathsf{P} = -5gc \quad \text{at } g.$$

Now, by arguments as in the proof of Theorem 12.1, the second conformal variation of $360\mathcal{A}_{(4,2)}$ at the Einstein metric g equals

$$\int_{M^6}\varphi\Delta(-288v_4^\bullet[\varphi] + 1440cv_2^\bullet[\varphi] + 360(v_2^2)^\bullet[\varphi])dv;$$

the independence on $\psi = \varphi''(0)$ follows from the vanishing of the integrand in (14.66) if g is Einstein. A calculation using the formulas for the conformal variation of v_2 and v_4 (displayed in the proof of Proposition 14.2) shows that the latter sum simplifies to

$$-720\int_{M^6}\varphi\Delta(\Delta + 24c)(\varphi)dv.$$

This completes the proof. □

References

[AJPS97] A. Albeverio, J. Jost, S. Paycha and S. Scarlatti, *A Mathematical Introduction to String Theory*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series **225**, 1997.

[A09] P. Albin, Renormalizing curvature integrals on Poincaré-Einstein manifolds, *Advances in Math.* **221**, 1 (2009), 140–169.

[A12] S. Alexakis, *The Decomposition of Global Conformal Invariants*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **182**, Princeton University Press, 2012, x+449.

[A00] I. Avramidi, *Heat Kernels and Quantum Gravity*, Lecture Notes in Physics Monographs **M64**, 2000.

[A04] I. Avramidi, Gauged gravity via spectral asymptotics of non-Laplace type operators, *J. High Energy Phys.* **7**, 030, 2004 (electronic). `arXiv:hep-th/0406026`

[AB01] I. Avramidi and T. Branson, Heat kernel asymptotics of operators with non-Laplace principal part, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **13**, 7 (2001), 847–890. `arXiv:math-ph/9905001`

[BJ10] H. Baum and A. Juhl, *Conformal Differential Geometry: Q-curvature and Conformal Holonomy*. Oberwolfach Seminars **40**, 2010.

[BFT00] F. Bastianelli, S. Frolov and A. Tseytlin, Conformal anomaly of $(2,0)$ tensor multiplet in six dimensions and AdS/CFT-correspondence, *J. High Energy Phys.* **2**, 13, 2000. `arXiv:hep-th/0001041`

[BGM71] M. Berger, P. Gauduchon and E. Mazet, *Le Spectre d'une Varieté Riemannienne*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics **194** (1971).

[B87] A. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **110**, Springer, 1987.

[BGV92] N. Berline, E. Getzler and M. Vergne, *Heat Kernels and Dirac Operators*, Grundlehren **298**, Springer, 1992.

[BD82] N. D. Birrell and P. C. W. Davies, *Quantum fields in curved space*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics **7**, Cambridge University Press, 1982.

[B95] T. P. Branson, Sharp inequalities, the functional determinant, and the complementary series, *Trans. AMS* **347**, (1995), 3671–3742.

[B96] T. Branson, Spectral theory of invariant operators, sharp inequalities and representation theory. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, Serie II, Suppl. **46**, (1997), 29–54.

[B04] T. Branson, Q-curvature and spectral invariants, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo*, Serie II, Suppl. **75**, (2005), 11–55.

[B07] T. Branson, Q-curvature, spectral invariants, and representation theory. *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **3** (2007), paper 090, 31p.

[BO86] T. Branson and B. Ørsted, Conformal indices of Riemannian manifolds, *Compositio Math.* **60**, 3, (1986), 261–293.

[BO88] T. Branson and B. Ørsted, Conformal deformation and the heat operator, *Indiana University Math. Journal* **37**, 1, (2008), 83–110.

[BGO90] T. Branson, P. Gilkey and B. Ørsted, Leading terms in the heat invariants, *Proceedings AMS* **209**, 2, (1990), 437–450.

[BG08] T. P. Branson and A. R. Gover. Origins, applications and generalisations of the Q-curvature. *Acta Appl. Math.* **102** no. 2-3 (2008), 131–146.

[CW76] R. Cahn and J. Wolf, Zeta functions and their asymptotic axpansions for compact symmetric spaces of rank one, *Comment. Math. Helvetici* **5**, (1976), 1–21.

[C90] R. Camporesi, Harmonic analysis and propagators on homogeneous spaces. *Physics Reports* **196**, No. 1-2, (1990), 1–134.

[CEOY08] S. -Y. A. Chang, M. Eastwood, B. Ørsted and P. C. Yang, What is Q-curvature? *Acta Appl. Math.* **102**, (2008), 119-125.

[CF08] S.-Y. A. Chang and H. Fang, A class of variational functionals in conformal geometry, *Intern. Math. Research Notices*, (2008), 008. `arXiv:0803.0333`

[CFG12] S.-Y. A. Chang, H. Fang and C. R. Graham, A note on renormalized volume functionals. *Differential Geom. Appl.* (2014), **33**, Supplement, 246–258. `arXiv:1211.6422`

[CY95] S.-Y. A. Chang and P. Yang, Extremal metrics of zeta function determinants on 4-manifolds, *Annals of Mathematics* **142**, (1995), 171–212.

[Ch84] I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian Geometry*, Academic Press, 1984.

[DW64] B. S. DeWitt, Dynamical theory of groups and fields, in *Relativité, Groupes et Topologie (Lectures, Les Houches, 1963 Summer School of Theoret. Phys., Univ. Grenoble)*, Gordon and Breach, 1964, 585–820.

[DG75] J. J. Duistermaat and V. W. Guillemin, The spectrum of positive elliptic operators and periodic bicharacteristics, *Invent. Math.* **29**, (1975), 39–79.

[ES85] M. Eastwood and M. Singer. A conformally invariant Maxwell gauge. *Physics Letters* **107A**, 2, (1985), 73–74.

[E97] J. Erdmenger, Conformally covariant differential operators: properties and applications. *Class. Quantum Grav.* **14** (1997), 2061. `arXiv:hep-th/9704108`

[FG85] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham. Conformal Invariants. In 'Elie Cartan et les Mathematiques d'Adjourd'hui.' *Astérisque* Numero Hors Serie (1985), 95–116.

[FG02] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, Q-curvature and Poincaré metrics. Math. Res. Lett. **9**, 2-3, (2002), 139–151.

[FG12] C. Fefferman and C. R. Graham, *The Ambient Metric*. Annals of Math. Studies **178**, Princeton University Press, 2012. `arXiv:0710.0919`

[FG12] C. Feffermann and C. R. Graham, Juhl's formulae for GJMS-operators and Q-curvatures. *Journal Amer. Math. Soc.* **26**, 4, (2013), 1191–1207. `arXiv:1203.0360`

[Fo08] G. B. Folland, *Quantum Field Theory. A Tourist Guide for Mathematicians*, Surveys and Monographs **149**, AMS, 2008.

[FT82] E. S. Fradkin and A. A. Tseytlin, Asymptotic freedom in extended conformal supergravity. *Physics Letters* **110B**, 2, (1982), 117–121.

[G75] P. Gilkey, The spectral geometry of a Riemannian manifold, *J. Diff. Geom.* **10**, 4, (1975), 601–618.

[G84] P. Gilkey, *Invariance Theory, the Heat Equation, and the Atiyah-Singer Index Theorem*, Publish of Perish Inc., 1984.

[G01] P. Gilkey, *Geometric Properties of Natural Operators Defined by the Riemann Curvature Tensor*. World Scientific, 2001.

[GPS05] C. Gordon, P. Perry and D. Schüth, Isospectral and isoscattering manifolds: a survey of techniques and examples, *Contemp. Math.*, **387**, Amer. Math. Soc. (2005), 157–179.

[G06] A. R. Gover, Laplacian operators and Q-curvature on conformally Einstein manifolds, *Math. Ann.* **336**, 2, (2006), 2, 311–334. arXiv:math/0506037

[GL09] A. R. Gover and P. Leitner, A sub-product construction of Poincaré-Einstein metrics, *Intern. J. Math.* **20**, (2009), 1263. arXiv:math/0608044

[G00] C. R. Graham, Volume and area renormalizations for conformally compact Einstein metrics, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2) Suppl.* **63**, (2000), 31–42. arXiv:math/9909042

[G09] C. R. Graham, Extended obstruction tensors and renormalized volume coefficients, *Advances in Math.* **220**, 6, (2009), 1956–1985. arXiv:0810.4203

[GJMS92] C. R. Graham, R. Jenne, L. J. Mason and G. A. J. Sparling, Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian. I. Existence. *J. London Math. Soc.* **46**, 2, (1992), 557–565.

[GJ07] C. R. Graham and A. Juhl, Holographic formula for Q-curvature, *Advances in Math.* **216**, 2, (2007), 841–853. arXiv:0704.1673

[GZ03] C. R. Graham and M. Zworski, Scattering matrix in conformal geometry, *Invent. Math.* **152**, 1, (2003), 89–118. arXiv:math/0109089

[GSZ11] K. Groh, F. Saueressig and and O. Zanusso, Off-diagonal heat-kernel expansion and its application to fields with differential constraints. arXiv:1112.4856

[GMS12] C. Guillarmou, S. Moroianu and J.-M. Schlencker, The renormalized volume and uniformization of conformal strctures. arXiv:1211.6705

[GL11] B. Guo and H. Li, The second variational formula for the functional $\int_M v^{(6)}(g)dV_g$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **139**, (2011) 2911–2925.

[H77] S. Hawking, Zeta function regularization of path integrals in curved spacetime, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **55**, (1977), 133–148.

[HS98] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, The holographic Weyl anomaly. *JHEP* **7** paper 23 (electronic), 1998. arXiv:hep-th/9806087

[ISTY00] C. Imbimbo, A. Schwimmer, S. Theisen and S. Yankielowicz, Diffeomorphisms and holographic anomalies, *Classical Quantum Gravity* **17**, 5, (2000), 1129–1138. arXiv:hep-th/9910267

[J09a] A. Juhl, *Families of Conformally Covariant Differential Operators, Q-Curvature and Holography*, volume **275** of Progress in Mathematics. Birkhäuser Verlag, 2009.

[J09b] A. Juhl, On conformally covariant powers of the Laplacian. arXiv:0905.3992

[J11] A. Juhl, Holographic formula for Q-curvature. II, *Advances in Math.* **226**, 4, (2011), 3409–3425. arXiv:1003.3989

[J13] A. Juhl, Explicit formulas for GJMS-operators and Q-curvatures. *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **23**, (2013), 1278–1370. arXiv:1108.0273

[J14] A. Juhl, On the recursive structure of Branson's Q-curvatures, *Math. Res. Lett.* **21**, 3, (2014), 1–13. arXiv:1004.1784.

[JK14] A. Juhl and C. Krattentahler, Summation formulas for GJMS-operators and Q-curvatures on the Möbius sphere, *J. Approx. Theory*, 2014. arXiv:0910.4840

[MP49] S. Minakshisundaram and A. Pleijel, Some properties of the eigenfunctions of the Laplace-operator on Riemannian manifolds, *Canadian Journal of Mathematics* **1**, (1949), 242–256.

[McS67] H.P. McKean and I. M. Singer, Curvature and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian, *J. Diff. Geom.* **1**, (1967), 43–69.

[MO09] N.-M. Moller and B. Ørsted, Rigidity of conformal functionals on spheres. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **24** (2013). arXiv:0902.4067

[O62] M. Obata, Certain conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be isometric to a sphere, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **14**, 3, (1962), 333–340.

[OPS88] B. Osgood, R. Phillips and P. Sarnak, Extremals of determinants of Laplacians, *J. Funct. Anal.* **80**, 1, (1988), 148–211.

[P08] S. Paneitz, A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (summary), *SIGMA* **4** (2008), paper 036, 3p. arXiv:0803.4331

[PR87] T. Parker and S. Rosenberg, Invariants of conformal Laplacians, *J. Diff. Geom.* **25**, 2, (1987), 199–222.

[P81] A. M. Polyakov. Quantum geometry of bosonic strings. *Phys. Lett. B* **103**, 3, (1981), 207–210.

[P13] R. Ponge, The logarithmic singularities of the Green functions of the conformal powers of the Laplacian. arXiv:1306.3104

[RS71] D. B. Ray and I. M. Singer, R-torsion and the Laplacian on Riemannian manifolds, *Advances in Math.* **7**, (1971) 145–210.

[R84] R. Riegert, A nonlocal action for the trace anomaly. *Phys. Lett. B* **134**, no. 1–2, (1984), 56–60.

[R97] S. Rosenberg, The variation of the de Rham zeta function, *Trans. AMS* **299**, 2, (1987), 535–557.

[S01] M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*, second edition, Springer 2001.

[V03] D. V. Vassilevich, Heat kernel expansion: user's manual, *Phys. Rep.* **388**, (2003), 279–360. arXiv:hep-th/0306138

[V00] J. Viaclovsky, Conformal geometry, contact geometry, and the calculus of variations, *Duke Math. J.* **101**, 2, 2000, 283–316.

[W04] G. Weingart, Combinatorics of heat kernel coefficients. Habilitation, University Bonn, 2004.

[W98] E. Witten. Anti de Sitter space and holography. *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 2, (1998), 253–291.arXiv:hep-th/9802150

[Zee10] A. Zee, *Quantum Field Theory in a Nutshell*, Princeton University Press, 2010.

[Zeld07] S. Zelditch, The inverse spectral problem, Surveys in differential geometry. 401–467. With an appendix by Johannes Sjöstrand and Maciej Zworski. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2004.

University Uppsala, Department of Mathematics, P.O. Box 480, S-75106 Uppsala
E-mail address: andreasj@math.uu.se

Humboldt-Universität, Institut für Mathematik, Unter den Linden, D-10099 Berlin
E-mail address: ajuhl@math.hu-berlin.de